

Productivity and Phosphorus Use Efficiency of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as Influenced by Biofertilizers and Phosphorus under Subtropical Conditions of UP

ASHAQ AHMAD LONE¹, S. S. MAHDI¹, M. I. BHAT², R. A. BHAT³
 FAISUL-UR-RASOOL⁴ AND O. P. SINGH¹

¹*Division of Agronomy, Dr. B. R. Ambedkar University, Agra, UP, India*

²*Division of Soil Science, (SKUAST-K), J & K, India*

³*Department of Agronomy, A. A. I. D. U., Allahabad, UP, India*

⁴*Division of Agronomy, (SKUAST-K)*

E-mail : Sheerazsyed@rediffmail.com

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during the *rabi* season of the year 2002-2003 and 2003-04 to study the effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus on productivity and phosphorus use efficiency of PBW 373 wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Biofertilizers and various levels of phosphorus exerted significant effect on various yield attributes viz. effective spikelets/spike, number of grains/ spike, weight of grains/spike and 1,000-grain weight (g). Crop dry matter production, P-uptake and yield followed similar pattern as they increased with increase in P-levels upto 45 kg P₂O₅/ha. The treatment B₂P₃ (PSB and 45 kg P₂O₅ /ha) proved best in terms of their positive influence on major parameters especially on crop growth and yield. Crop stand per meter row length and dry matter trends were similar and supported the crop yield trends. With increase in the P fertility level beyond 45 kg P₂O₅/ha decrease in maximum yield attributing factors was recorded. Hence, the application of phosphorus at 45 kg P₂O₅/ha along with PSB can save up to 15 kg P₂O₅/ha. The treatment B₁P₃ (VAM and 45 kg P₂O₅/ha) gave the second highest result in almost all attributes. The treatment combinations B₂ (PSB) and B₁ (VAM) was therefore, found most remunerative at 45 kg P₂O₅/ha (P₃ level). However, maximum benefit was recorded with treatment B₂P₃ (PSB and 45 kg P₂O₅/ha). Therefore this particular treatment combination could be recommended for profitable cultivation of wheat under subtropical conditions.

Key words : Biofertilizer, Nutrient uptake, Phosphorus, Wheat, Yield.

For a sustainable agriculture, it is imperative to utilize renewable inputs which can maximize the ecological benefits and minimize the environmental hazards. One possible way of achieving this is to decrease dependence on use of chemical phosphate fertilizers by solubilizing and mobilizing the native and applied phosphorus through biological processes. An integrated approach for use of biofertilizers with chemical fertilizers is considered as the need of hour, as biofertilizers are not replacement of fertilizers but can supplement their requirement. Therefore, its efficient use in the cultivable crops, mainly to wheat, which is heavy feeder of nitrogen and phosphorus, is much more relevant. The increase in eco-friendly production of wheat can be made possible by wide-spread adoption of improved technologies of which fertilizer management particularly that of nitrogen and phosphorus through biofertilizers can play a key role. Hence present investigation was carried out to study

the effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus on productivity and phosphorus use efficiency of wheat.

Methods

The experiment was carried out at research farm of Shri F. H. (PG) College of agricultural and sciences, Nidholi Kalan, Etah, UP, during the winter season 2002-2003 and 2003-04 in alluvial soil. The available nutrients N, P and K in soil were 270, 13.4 and 309 kg/ha, respectively, with pH 6.8. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications, comprising three levels of biofertilizer, B₀ (control), B₁ (VAM), and B₂ (PSB), and four levels of phosphorus, P₁(15), P₁(30), P₁(45) and P₁(60) kg/ha. For inoculation, 10% sugar solution was prepared by dissolving 100g sugar in 1 liter of water and heated for 20—25 minutes. The solution was cooled at room temperature and mixed with cultures treatment-wise. The

Table 1. Growth and yield attributes and grain and straw yield of wheat as influenced by biofertilizers and phosphorus levels (pooled data of 2 years).

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Dry matter accumulation at harvest (q/ha)	Effective ear heads/m	Length of spike (cm)	No. of effective spikelets/spike	No. of grains/spike	Test weight (g)	Straw yield (q/ha)	Grain yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
Biofertilizers										
B ₀ (Control)	89.38	77.97	62.91	7.12	12.50	51.75	37.37	87.77	38.25	30.09
B ₁ (VAM)	90.35	83.25	65.75	9.97	14.00	55.25	40.87	94.87	40.54	29.73
B ₂ (PSB)	91.78	89.19	67.66	10.60	14.75	56.75	43.37	96.83	46.00	32.12
SE	0.09	1.16	3.56	0.10	0.48	0.55	0.25	1.68	0.95	0.59
CD (5%)	0.19	2.46	NS	0.23	1.03	1.17	0.53	3.55	2.01	1.25
Phosphorus (kg/ha)										
P ₁	90.0	75.97	61.63	6.55	9.33	48.66	36.33	86.19	33.83	28.06
P ₂	90.35	82.06	64.55	8.66	12.66	52.66	39.50	92.38	40.05	30.15
P ₃	90.68	86.45	67.00	10.99	16.00	58.00	42.66	97.08	46.16	32.10
P ₄	90.98	89.41	68.88	10.72	17.00	59.00	42.33	96.97	46.33	32.28
SE	2.23	2.90	2.50	0.14	1.64	2.68	2.74	0.97	1.13	0.54
CD (5%)	NS	6.09	5.25	0.29	3.46	5.63	5.77	2.03	2.38	1.14

wheat seeds were inoculated (1 kg + 10g culture) with the solution and then dried under shade before sowing. The VAM was applied by 'inoculation in furrows' method. Wheat PBW 373 was sown on 14 and 16 December of 2001 and 2002, respectively, at 125kg/ha between 20 cm apart rows at a depth of 4 cm from the top of the soil in lines using *kera* method of sowing by opening furrows through a liner. The half dose of recommended nitrogen was applied at the time of sowing as basal dose and rest N at two equal splits, at crown-root initiation and ear initiation stage, phosphorus (P₂O₅) based on treatment was applied at the time of sowing along with full recommended dose of potassium (K₂O). The total rainfall received during the crop season was 87.7 mm and 77 mm during 2001-2002 and 2002-03 respectively. Wheat crop was harvested in the third week of April in both the years.

Results and Discussion

Biofertilizers

Plant height, crop stand/m row length and dry matter accumulation, spike length, number of grains/spike and test weight significantly increased by the treatment of B₂ (PSB) over B₁ (VAM) and B₀ (control)

at all crop growth stages (Table 1). However, in number of effective spike lets/ spike, the treatment B₂ was at par with B₁, however, both B₁ and B₂ were statistically significant over control. This might be partly owing to their additive effect of phosphorus solubilization and its mobilization and synthesis of biologically active substances like vitamins, auxins and gibberellins etc., which in turn might have stimulated the plant growth parameters. Singh and Kapoor (1) and Hazara (2) have observed the similar results.

The biofertilizer inoculation has significantly influenced the yield parameters and harvest index. The variations in grain, straw yield and harvest index were found statistically significant with treatment B₂ over B₁ and B₀. But in straw yield, the treatment B₂ found at par with B₁, however, both the treatments proved statistically significant over B₀ and in case of harvest index the treatment B₁ remained at par with B₀ (Table 1). The magnitude of increase in grain, straw yield and harvest index with treatment B₂ recorded 5.46 and 7.75 (q/ha), 1.96 and 9.06 (q/ha) and 2.39 and 2.03 (%) over B₁ and B₀ respectively.

These increments are similar as the findings of Gaur (3) and Singh and Kapoor (1). The nitrogen content and its uptake were quite marginal and thus were

Table 2. Nitrogen content, its uptake and phosphorus content, its uptake in grain and straw as affected by various treatments (Average data of 2002-03 and 2003-04).

Treatments	N content (%) in grains	N content (%) in straw	N uptake by grain (kg/ha)	N uptake by straw (kg/ha)	P content (%) in grains	P content (%) in straw	P uptake by grain (kg/ha)	P uptake by straw (kg/ha)
Biofertilizers								
B ₀ (Control)	1.66	0.58	65.34	51.69	0.42	0.05	16.90	4.48
B ₁ (VAM)	1.74	0.68	71.02	64.92	0.42	0.06	17.34	5.75
B ₂ (PSB)	1.89	0.59	87.38	57.52	0.43	0.07	20.12	6.84
SE	0.18	0.08	8.90	5.25	0.008	0.00	0.89	0.10
CD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.00	1.89	0.22
Phosphorus (kg/ha)								
P ₁	1.46	0.43	50.06	37.82	0.26	0.03	9.02	2.89
P ₂	1.83	0.61	73.83	57.08	0.42	0.06	16.99	5.93
P ₃	1.96	0.71	91.04	69.73	0.50	0.073	23.49	7.18
P ₄	1.79	0.69	83.38	67.54	0.49	0.070	23.09	6.76
SE	0.30	0.19	19.55	14.31	0.01	0.003	1.03	0.35
CD (5%)	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.03	0.008	2.17	0.74

statistically non-significant (Table 2). However, the phosphorus content and its uptake were highest with B₂ treatment, followed by B₁ and B₀. Both the biofertilizers showed significant result over B₀ (control). The increase in P content and its uptake might be due to enhanced P solubilization by PSBs and its mobilization by effectively developed root system, increasing the availability of P from non-labile sources.

Phosphorus

The various levels of phosphorus did not show any significant effect on crop stand/m and shoot height. However, treatment P₃ (45 kg P₂O₅/ha) showed improvement in crop stand, while in terms of shoot height only numerical increase were shown but none of the treatment could cross the level of statistical significance (Table 1). Earlier workers working under different agro-climatic conditions have also reported the same influence of phosphorus on crop stand and shoot height (4—7). The dry matter accumulation recorded maximum with treatment P₄ (60 kg P₂O₅/ha) was statistically significant over treatment P₂ (30 kg P₂O₅/ha) and P₁ (15 kg P₂O₅/ha) but remained at par with treatment P₃ (45 kg P₂O₅/ha). Phosphorus being strongly related with leaf expansion, leaf surface area

and also number of leaves and efficiency per unit chlorophyll. Thus efficient P might have attributed to accumulation of more photosynthates and resultant dry matter production. A positive influence of phosphorus application on dry matter yield was also observed by Sharma and Parmar (8). The yield attributing parameters were significantly influenced by various levels of phosphorus and the treatment P₄ (60 kg P₂O₅/ha) showed significant variation in effective ear head/meter, number of effective spike lets/spike and 1000-grain weight and found to be statistically significant over P₂ (30 kg P₂O₅/ha) and P₁ (15 kg P₂O₅/ha) (Table 1). Similar trend has been observed with treatment P₃ (45 kg P₂O₅/ha) and both the treatments P₃ and P₄ were at par with preceding one (P₂). However, in spike length (cm) the maximum variation has been observed with treatment P₃ and found to be statistically significant over treatment P₂ and P₁ and also slight decrease in variation were noticed with treatment P₄. The probable reason for the appreciable enhancement in all yield attributing characters could be that phosphate being one of the most important constituents of RNA and DNA, played a vital role in energy translocation in various metabolic processes like photosynthesis, glycolysis and respiration. This enhanced the various growth parameters and result-

Table 3. Interaction effect of biofertilizers and phosphorus on grain yield.

Treat-ments	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	Total	Mean
B ₀	30.16	36.33	41.50	45.00	153.00	38.25
B ₁	32.16	38.33	46.66	45.00	162.16	40.54
B ₂	39.16	45.50	50.33	49.01	184.00	46.00
Total	101.49	120.16	138.49	139.01		
Mean	33.38	40.05	46.16	46.33		
SE	1.78					
CD (5%)	3.75					

ant yield attributes. The results are in close agreement with the findings of Bhendia (9), and Singh et al. (10).

In terms of yield, the highest grain and straw yield and harvest index (physiological efficiency) (Table 1) were recorded with the application of P₂O₅ at 45 kg/ha (P₃) and were statistically significant over treatment P₁ and P₂ but remained at par with P₄. As grain and straw yield is the product of yield attributes, increase in their values resulted in the increased grain and straw yields. Similar results were reported by Singh et al. (10), Rai and Sinha (11). Maximum N content N uptake was associated with the treatment P₃ and decreased with treatment P₄ in grain and straw. The total N uptake was more with treatment P₃ and found statistically significant over P₂ and P₁ but remained at par with treatment P₄ (Table 2). This might be attributed to beneficial influence of P on the root growth and development, biological nitrogen fixation and uptake of other essential nutrients, translocation of photosynthates to sink and reproductive activities in plant, which in turn have increased the N uptake and thereby content. The results are in accordance with the findings of Schilling et al. (12) and Sharma and Parmar (8). Similar trends were also observed with P content and its uptake in grain and straw, where treatment P₃ registered significantly higher values over P₂ and P₁ and remained at par with treatment P₄.

Interaction Effect of Bio-Fertilizers and Phosphorus on Grain Yield

The interaction indicates that interaction B₀P₁ (no biofertilizer + P₂O₅ @ 15kg/ha) gave lowest grain yield, while as B₂P₃ (PSB + P₂O₅@ 45 kg/ha) gave highest

grain yield and also proved to be significantly better than other treatment interactions under test but remained at par with B₂P₄ (PSB + P₂O₅ @ 60 kg/ha) (Table 3). The interaction data also reveals that P fertility level beyond 45 kg/ha had no significant effect on grain yield which might be due to inhibitory effect of higher P fertility level on activities of PSB and VAM. These results are in accordance with the findings of Majjigudda and Sreenivasa (13).

Conclusion

Thus it can be concluded that use of bio-fertilizers (PSB and VAM) along with 45 kg P₂O₅/ha may help to sustain higher grain and straw yield of wheat under western conditions of Uttar Pradesh against the general recommendation of 60 kg P₂O₅/ha or more. Thus 15—30 kg P₂O₅/ha can be saved, if bio-fertilizers are incorporated in cultivation of wheat.

References

1. Singh S. and K. K. Kapoor. 1999. Inoculation with phosphate solubilizing fungus improves dry matter yield and nutrient uptake by wheat grown in sandy soil. *Ind. J. Agron.* 28 : 139—144.
2. Hazara C. R. 1994. Response of biofertilizer in forage and fodder crops. *Fertil. News* 39 : 43—52.
3. Gaur A. C. 1985. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and their role in plant growth and crop yield. *Proc. Soil Biol. Symp. Hisar, India.* Feb. 125—138 pp.
4. Borgohin B. 1978. Response of oats to nitrogen and phosphorus application. *Ind. J. Agron.* 23 : 381—382.
5. Gosh D. C. 1985. Influence of nitrogen, phosphorus and cutting on yield of oats. *Ind. J. Agron.* 30 : 172—176.
6. Vyas M. N., R. P. S. Ahlovat, J. C. Patel, N. M. Baldha and D. D. Malviva. 1988. Response of forage oats to varying levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Ind. J. Agron.* 33 : 204—205.
7. Patel R. M. and P. N. Upadhyay. 1993. Response of wheat to irrigation under varying levels of nitrogen and phosphorus. *Ind. J. Agron.* 38 : 113—115.
8. Sharma P. K. and D. K. Parmar. 1998. The effect of phosphorus and mulching on efficiency of phosphorus use and productivity of wheat grown on mountain Alfisols in the Western Himalayas, *J. Crop. Res.* 14 : 25—29.
9. Bhendia M. L. 1972. *Response of dwarf wheat variety Kalyansona to phosphorus in relation to WSP in phosphatic fertilizer.* Ph. D. thesis. IARI, New Delhi, India.

10. Singh T., K. N. Singh, A. S. Bali and G. N. Bhatt. 1995. Response of wheat genotypes to nitrogen and phosphorus. *Ind. J. Agron.* 40 : 99—101.
11. Rai R. K. and M. N. Sinha. 1993. Studies on phosphorus management in wheat/cowpea/groundnut/green gram/maize sequence. *Ann. Agric. Res.* 7 : 16—23.
12. Schilling G., W. Romar and J. Agustic. 1986. Dependence of root growth on some exogenous and indigenous factors and implication for plant phosphate nutrition. *Field Crops Abs.* 39 : 368—369.
13. Majjigudda I. M. and M. N. Sreenivasa. 1997. Response of wheat to the inoculation of VAM fungi at different P-levels. *Ind. J. Agron.* 22 : 196—198.