

## Evaluation of Effect of Inoculation of *Azospirillum* Strains for Sustainable Cotton Production in Vertisols

R. GALLANI AND R. S. S. TOMAR

*Cotton Improvement Project, Sub-Center AICCIP & TMC  
 College of Agriculture, Indore, India  
 E-mail : roshanjgallani@yahoo.co.in*

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted in 2004-05 to study the effect of different *Azospirillum* strains and graded dose of fertilizers on sustainable and cost effective production of cotton. The Khandwa-2 cotton variety was taken in the experiment. Four main treatments were taken comprising three different fertility levels viz. 100, 75 and 50% recommended dose fertilizer of N and P and control (no N and P). The subplot treatments comprised three different strains of *Azospirillum* viz. *Azospirillum* TNAU, *Azospirillum* HAU, *Azospirillum* Surat. The TNAU PSB was common with all the *Azospirillum* strains. All the seeds were duly treated with both *Azospirillum* and PSB and soil application was also done. The experiment was conducted in split plot design with three replications. Application of 75% RDF of N and P was found to be better in yield, yield attributes (boll number, boll weight and seed cotton yield/plant), and B : C Ratio over rest fertilizer doses. However, 100% RDF of N and P exhibited maximum nitrogen and phosphorus uptake with better growth parameters. The seed treatment and soil application of *Azospirillum* strains recorded on an average 145 to 84 kg numerically higher yield over control. The difference in increase among the strain was not significant but the increase was significant over control. The study concluded that application of 75% RDF of N and P was found to be optimum for sustainable cotton production and the *Azospirillum* strain of TNAU was found to be suitable strain for the region.

**Key words :** Cotton production, *Azospirillum*, Inoculation, Seed treatment, Soil application.

Cotton is a premier commercial crop of the country. It has enormous potential in sustaining rural and urban employment generation, economic and trade activity both within and outside the country. About 60 m people depend on cotton cultivation, trade and processing. Indian cotton meets diverse requirements of mills, power looms, handlooms. India stands first in area, third in production and has got lower rank in productivity among the major cotton growing countries (1). To increase the productivity of cotton, various measures are being taken. The use of biofertilizer is one of the important measures is being practiced and popularized for sustainable cotton production. The application of *Azospirillum* for the cotton crop is gaining momentum among the farmers mainly due to its beneficial effects besides the escalating cost of chemical fertilizers and also due to the ill effects caused by chemical fertilizers. High atmospheric nitrogen fixation capacity with low energy requirements and abundant establishments in roots and tolerance to high soil temperature (30—40 C) have contributed to its sustainability under tropical conditions (2). The iso-

lation of *Azospirillum* was made for the first time in Indian soils by Lakshmi Kumari et al. (3). After this several *Azospirillum* strains were isolated and identified in various of the country. The performance of these strains depends mainly on soil and climatic conditions of the region. Keeping this in consideration, present study was undertaken to find out the suitable strain for soils of the Indore region. Besides the present investigation was also carried out to evaluate rescheduling of fertilizer recommendations and set an INM module based on the utilization of efficient strains of bioinoculants.

### Methods

The experiment was conducted in 2004-05 *kharif* season, under TMC at College of Agriculture. The soil of the experimental field was a representative of medium black cotton soils of *malwa* region. The soil of the experimental area is non-saline, non-alkaline with the pH value of 7.6, electrical conductivity of 0.30 dS/m, low in available nitrogen (218 kg/ha),

**Table 1.** Plant height and dry biomass as influenced by different treatments.

| Treatments                                | Plant height on DAS (CM) |       |        | Dry biomass production on DAS (g/plant) |       |        |
|---|--------------------------|-------|--------|---|-------|--------|
|   | 45                       | 90    | 135    | 45                                      | 90    | 135    |
| M <sub>0</sub> : Control                  | 29.00                    | 43.04 | 90.25  | 9.00                                    | 36.00 | 86.00  |
| M <sub>1</sub> : 50% RDF of N & P         | 33.15                    | 46.11 | 105.12 | 12.74                                   | 38.00 | 101.00 |
| M <sub>2</sub> : 75% RDF of N & P         | 32.89                    | 51.86 | 109.88 | 13.98                                   | 39.23 | 106.45 |
| M <sub>3</sub> : 100% RDF of N & P        | 35.92                    | 53.98 | 111.96 | 16.95                                   | 45.65 | 111.23 |
| SE ±                                      | 1.551                    | 2.10  | 2.14   | 1.16                                    | 1.89  | 2.02   |
| CD at 0.5%                                | 3.80                     | 5.15  | 5.25   | 2.85                                    | 4.65  | 4.95   |
| S <sub>0</sub> : Control                  | 33.00                    | 45.11 | 100.21 | 11.13                                   | 35.32 | 92.23  |
| S <sub>1</sub> : Azospirillum TNAU + PSB  | 34.12                    | 51.12 | 111.12 | 14.65                                   | 41.94 | 111.00 |
| S <sub>2</sub> : Azospirillum HAU + PSB   | 33.14                    | 49.21 | 104.78 | 13.32                                   | 41.22 | 103.22 |
| S <sub>3</sub> : Azospirillum SURAT + PSB | 32.04                    | 47.02 | 100.22 | 13.54                                   | 41.05 | 98.00  |
| SE ±                                      | 1.511                    | 1.86  | 2.25   | 1.40                                    | 2.35  | 2.96   |
| CD at 0.5%                                | NS                       | NS    | 4.65   | NS                                      | NS    | 6.10   |

medium in available phosphorus (16.80 kg/ha) and high in available potassium (610 kg/ha).

The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The Khandwa-2 cotton variety was taken in the experiment. Four main treatments were taken comprising three different fertility levels viz. 100% RDF of N and P (M<sub>3</sub>), 75% RDF of N and P (M<sub>2</sub>), 50% RDF of N and P (M<sub>1</sub>) and control (no N and P fertilizer M<sub>0</sub>). The RDF was 80 kg N + 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 20 kg K<sub>2</sub>O. The sub-plot treatments comprised three different strains of *Azospirillum* viz. *Azospirillum* TNAU (S<sub>1</sub>), *Azospirillum* HAU (S<sub>2</sub>), *Azospirillum* Surat (S<sub>3</sub>) and control (no bioinoculant S<sub>0</sub>). The TNAU PSB was common with all the *Azospirillum* strains. All the seeds were treated with both *Azospirillum* and PSB

at 20 g/kg seed and soil application at 2 kg/ha. The field observations like growth (plant height and dry matter production) and nutrient uptake were taken at three stages (45, 90 and 135 DAS).

## Results and Discussion

### *Effect on Biometric Parameters*

The height of the plant was grew well due to various levels of N and P. The application of 100% RDF of N and P exhibited the maximum plant height while lowest under control at all three stages (Table 1). The similar trend was observed in respect of dry biomass production at 45, 90 and 135 day stages ; whereas the minimum value was recorded in control.

**Table 2.** Nitrogen and phosphorus plant uptake as influenced by different treatments.

| Treatments                                | Nitrogen on DAS (kg/ha) |       |       | Phosphorus on DAS (kg/ha) |       |       |
|---|-------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|
|   | 45                      | 90    | 135   | 45                        | 90    | 135   |
| M <sub>0</sub> : Control                  | 18.97                   | 20.42 | 21.23 | 0.526                     | 0.555 | 0.623 |
| M <sub>1</sub> : 50% RDF of N & P         | 20.27                   | 21.70 | 22.27 | 0.536                     | 0.560 | 0.638 |
| M <sub>2</sub> : 75% RDF of N & P         | 20.79                   | 22.37 | 23.95 | 0.551                     | 0.570 | 0.652 |
| M <sub>3</sub> : 100% RDF of N & P        | 21.19                   | 23.23 | 24.56 | 0.561                     | 0.580 | 0.663 |
| SE ±                                      | 0.444                   | 0.206 | 0.173 | 0.008                     | 0.003 | 0.004 |
| CD at 0.5%                                | NS                      | 0.505 | 0.424 | NS                        | 0.008 | 0.010 |
| S <sub>0</sub> : Control                  | 19.02                   | 20.31 | 21.42 | 0.522                     | 0.541 | 0.621 |
| S <sub>1</sub> : Azospirillum TNAU + PSB  | 21.29                   | 23.07 | 24.27 | 0.563                     | 0.591 | 0.666 |
| S <sub>2</sub> : Azospirillum HAU + PSB   | 21.69                   | 22.45 | 23.47 | 0.547                     | 0.571 | 0.646 |
| S <sub>3</sub> : Azospirillum SURAT + PSB | 21.21                   | 21.89 | 22.84 | 0.543                     | 0.561 | 0.641 |
| SE ±                                      | 0.355                   | 0.250 | 0.327 | 0.012                     | 0.008 | 0.006 |
| CD at 0.5%                                | NS                      | 0.515 | 0.675 | NS                        | 0.018 | 0.015 |

**Table 3.** Yield and yield attributes as influenced by different treatments. The yield levels were recorded low, as the crop did not perform well due to high precipitation recorded in September 2004.

| Treatments                                | Seed cotton yield (kg/ha) | Seed cotton yield (g/plant) | Boll number (per plant) | Boll weight (g) | Ginning percentage (GP) |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| M <sub>0</sub> : Control                  | 672                       | 47                          | 19                      | 2.72            | 34.20                   |
| M <sub>1</sub> : 50% RDF of N & P         | 728                       | 53                          | 21                      | 2.61            | 33.98                   |
| M <sub>2</sub> : 75% RDF of N & P         | 818                       | 59                          | 25                      | 2.48            | 34.19                   |
| M <sub>3</sub> : 100% RDF OF N & P        | 788                       | 55                          | 23                      | 2.44            | 33.96                   |
| SE ±                                      | 11.71                     | 2.08                        | 1.04                    | 0.236           | 0.530                   |
| CD at 0.5%                                | 28.71                     | 5.10                        | 2.57                    | NS              | NS                      |
| S <sub>0</sub> : Control                  | 662                       | 50                          | 19                      | 2.61            | 34.13                   |
| S <sub>1</sub> : Azospirillum TNAU + PSB  | 807                       | 57                          | 25                      | 2.47            | 34.18                   |
| S <sub>2</sub> : Azospirillum HAU + PSB   | 792                       | 54                          | 23                      | 2.59            | 33.97                   |
| S <sub>3</sub> : Azospirillum SURAT + PSB | 746                       | 52                          | 22                      | 2.57            | 34.05                   |
| SE ±                                      | 2.93                      | 1.15                        | 1.0                     | 0.218           | 0.728                   |
| CD at 0.5%                                | 6.05                      | 2.37                        | 2.04                    | NS              | NS                      |

Similarly Donald (4) found that plant height continued to increase with application of N up to 112 kg N/ha. The height of plant and dry biomass production remained unaffected by bioinoculants at 45 and 90 DAS stages but reverse was the situation at 135 DAS. All *Azospirillum* strains produced taller plants as compared to control (no bioinoculant). Though TNAU culture responded well in respect of plant height and dry biomass production as compared to all rest strains. Similar results were recorded by Subbarao et al. (5) with *Azospirillum* and by Singh et al. (6)

in sorghum crop.

#### Effect on Nutrient Uptake

Table 2 shows that increasing N and P from 0 to 100% RDF increased uptake of N and P at all stages. The highest uptake of nutrients was recorded when 100% RDF was applied and the lowest uptake was noted under control plots (no N and P fertilizer). Working at Hisar, Verma and Jain (7) reported that by increasing application level of each nutrient, there

**Table 4.** Seed cotton yield and B : C ratio as influenced by both fertilizer and bioinoculants.

| Treatments   | Seed cotton yield (kg/ha) |      |       | B : C ratio |       |       |
|--|---------------------------|------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| M <sub>0</sub> S <sub>0</sub> : 0% N & P + No bioinoculant (Control) | 725                       |      |       | 1.64        |       |       |
| M <sub>0</sub> S <sub>1</sub> : 0% N & P + AZO TNAU + PSB            | 710                       |      |       | 1.83        |       |       |
| M <sub>0</sub> S <sub>2</sub> : 0% N & P + AZO HAU + PSB             | 677                       |      |       | 1.74        |       |       |
| M <sub>0</sub> S <sub>3</sub> : 0% N & P + AZO Surat + PSB           | 580                       |      |       | 1.70        |       |       |
| M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>0</sub> : 50% N & P alone (control)            | 785                       |      |       | 1.76        |       |       |
| M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> : 50% N & P + AZO TNAU + PSB           | 770                       |      |       | 1.95        |       |       |
| M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> : 50% N & P + AZO HAU + PSB            | 720                       |      |       | 1.86        |       |       |
| M <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub> : 50% N & P + AZO Surat + PSB          | 640                       |      |       | 1.82        |       |       |
| M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>0</sub> : 75% N & P alone (control)            | 860                       |      |       | 2.02        |       |       |
| M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> : 75% N & P + AZO TNAU + PSB           | 875                       |      |       | 2.22        |       |       |
| M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> : 75% N & P + AZO HAU + PSB            | 810                       |      |       | 2.13        |       |       |
| M <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> : 75% N & P + AZO Surat + PSB          | 730                       |      |       | 2.08        |       |       |
| M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>0</sub> : 100% N & P alone (control)           | 845                       |      |       | 1.87        |       |       |
| M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub> : 100% N & P + AZO TNAU + PSB          | 830                       |      |       | 2.07        |       |       |
| M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> : 100% N & P + AZO HAU + PSB           | 780                       |      |       | 1.98        |       |       |
| M <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub> : 100% N & P + AZO Surat + PSU         | 700                       |      |       | 1.93        |       |       |
| SE   | M                         | S    | MS    | M           | S     | MS    |
|  | 11.71                     | 2.93 | 30.23 | 0.355       | 0.019 | 0.355 |
| CD (0.05)  | 28.71                     | 6.05 | NS    | NS          | 0.040 | NS    |

was corresponding increase in concentration and uptake of N, P, K. The uptake of N and P influenced well at 90 and 135-day stages due to various sources of bioinoculants. The TNAU culture helps more in increasing the N and P uptake by the plants as compared to other treatments at all the three stages. Although other two were also found to be better in respect of nutrient uptake as compared to control (no bioinoculant). Similarly Gadagi et al. (8) observed an improved nutrient uptake in blanket flower *Gaillardia* due to inoculation of *Azospirillum*.

#### *Effect on Yield and Yield Attributing Characters*

The significant differences in yield and yield attributes were recorded due to application of various N and P levels. Application of 75% of RDF recorded highest seed cotton yield as compared to 100 and 50% RDF of N & P. Similar trend was recorded in seed cotton yield/plant boll numbers/plant. The various levels of N and P did not have any impact on the size of boll and ginning outturn (GOT) (Table 3). Similarly, Karthikeyan and Jayakumar (9) observed significant effect by graded levels of N and P fertilizers on yield and yield attributes of cotton. The seed cotton yield was influenced well based on the effect of various strains of *Azospirillum*. The seed treatment and soil application of all bioinoculant recorded higher yield over control although the TNAU culture gave significantly higher yield compared to other two strains. The similar trend was noted in yield/plant and boll/plant while boll weight and ginning outturn remained unaffected due to application of various sources of bioinoculants. Similar results were also obtained with cotton by Fayeze and Daw (10) and with pearl millet by Venkateshwarlu and Rao (11).

The interaction effect was found to be non-significant with respect to seed cotton yield and B : C ratio (Table 4). The treatment with 75% N and P + *Azospirillum* TNAU recorded the highest seed cotton yield. The increase was significantly over the absolute control although the difference in increase among the rest treatment was not significant. In B : C ratio, treatment combination  $M_2S_1$  (75% N and P + AZO TNAU + PSB) showed numerically high benefit cost ratio (2.22) over rest treatment combinations. The lowest B : C Ratio (1.64) was recorded with absolute

control ( $M_0S_0$ -0% N and P + no bioinoculant). So the treatment  $M_2S_1$  (75% N and P + AZO TNAU + PSB) was found to be an economic integrated nutrient management dose under irrigated cotton based cropping system. Working at Tamilnadu Srinivasan and Sivasamy (12) found treatment with 75% N + Rhizobium + phosphobacterium + 100% P recorded significantly highest seed cotton yield and B : C ratio followed by 100% RDF.

The study concluded that application of 75% RDF of N and P was found to be optimum for sustainable cotton production and the *Azospirillum* strain of TNAU performed better in Malwa region soils.

#### References

1. Jain K. C. 2004. Status of cotton research in India and strategies to meet future challenges. 2nd meeting of the inter-regional network for research collaboration on sustainable cotton production in Asia and North Africa (INCANA), 6—8 Sep 2004, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
2. Tilak K. V. B. R. and G. Singh. 1994. Biofertilizer—research, gaps and future needs. *Fert. News* 39 : 11—17.
3. Lakshmi Kumari M., Kavimandan and N. S. Subbarao. 1976. Occurrence of nitrogen fixing *Spirillum* in roots of rice, sorghum, maize and other plants. *Indian J. Expt. Biol.* 14 : 638—639.
4. Donald J. Boquet. 2005. Cotton in ultra-narrow row spacing. *Agron. J. Am. Soc. Agron.* 97 : 279—287.
5. Subbarao N. S., K. V. B. R. Tilak, C. S. Singh and M. Lakshmi Kumari. 1979. Response of a few economic species of graminaceous plants to inoculation with *Azospirillum lipoferum*. *Curr. Sci.* 49 : 133—134.
6. Singh S. T., T. K. Ganguly, K. Neelakantan, A. Singh and P. S. Tomer. 1980. Note on the response of sorghum and cowpea to *Azospirillum brasiliense* and nitrogen. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 50 : 721—724.
7. Verma K. K. and R. K. Jain. 2006. Nutrient uptake as affected by inorganic fertilizers under *Meloidogyne incognita* infested conditions in cotton. *Indian J. Nemat.* 36 : 1.
8. Gadagi Ravi S., U. Krishnaraj, J. H. Kulkarni and S. Tongmin. 2004. The effect of combined *Azospirillum* inoculation and nitrogen fertilizer on plant growth promotion and yield response of the blanket flower *Gaillardia pulchella* *Scientia Horticulturae* 100 : 323—332.
9. Karthikeyan P. K. and R. Jayakumar. 2002. Effect of nitrogenous fertilisers and plant growth regulator cotton cultivar (MCU-7), 17th WCSS, 14-21 Aug, <http://ziraat.gop.edu.tr/dergiyayinlar/DERGI-2005-1/C.KARADEMIR%20VE%20ARK.doc>
10. Fayeze M. and Z. Y. Daw. 1987. Effect of inoculation

- with different strains of *Azospirillum brasilense* on cotton (*Gossipium barabadense*). Biol. Fert. Soils 4 : 91—95.
11. Venkateshwarlu B. and A. V. Rao. 1983. Response of pearl millet to inoculation with different strains of *Azospirillum brasilense*. Pl. and Soil 74 : 379—396.
12. Srinivasan G. and N. Sivasamy. 2000. Integrated nutrient management for summer irrigated cotton-green gram cropping systems. Madras Agric. J. 87 : 475—477.