

Integrated Nutrient Management and Formulation of Targeted Yield Equations for Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]

Antaryami Mishra, Subhashis Saren, Pradip Dey

Received 16 June 2017; Accepted 18 July 2016; Published online 20 August 2016

Abstract A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of graded doses of fertilizers on yield of cowpea and to formulate the targeted yield equation for cowpea. Three fertility gradient stripes were created in *Inceptisols* of Odisha by applying no fertilizer, recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) and double the RDF and paddy was grown during *kharif* season of 2012-13. These three stripes were sub-divided into 24 sub plots and cowpea was grown with different graded doses of fertilizers and manure during *rabi*.

Initial and post harvest soil nutrient status, nutrient uptake, nutrient requirement, soil efficiency, fertilizer efficiency and yield data were observed. The highest yield (51.5 q ha^{-1}) was achieved with 40:60:40 (N:P₂O₅:K₂O). Based on the data targeted yield equations were formulated for targeted yield of cowpea. The equations are very much useful for target based yield achievement.

Keywords Cowpea *Inceptisols*, Targeted yield, Targeted yield equations.

Introduction

Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.], a true diploid ($2n = 2x = 22$) species, belongs to the family Leguminosae, tribe Phaseoleae, genus *Vigna*, and section *Catjang* [1]. It is a global legume whose cultivation is believed to have begun from Africa more than 5000 years ago [2, 3]. Cowpea is considered as one of the most important food and forage legumes in the semi-arid tropics that include parts of Asia, Africa, Southern Europe, Southern United States, and Central and South America [4, 5]. The West African sub-region contributes to about 95% of global cowpea production [6]. Of the 12.5 million tons of cowpea grains produced worldwide, over 64% takes place on low-input, subsistence farms in West and Central Africa [7]. It is a multipurpose drought hardy crop used as a source of food, fodder, feed, green manuring [8]. It also protects the soil from moisture loss and ero-

A. Mishra, S. Saren*
 Department of Soil Science and
 Agricultural Chemistry
 College of Agriculture, OUAT,
 Bhubaneswar, India

P. Dey
 Indian Institute of Soil Science,
 Bhopal, India
 e-mail : saren.soil@yahoo.co.in

*Correspondence :

Dr Subhashis Saren
 Assistant Professor,
 AICRP on STCR, Department of
 Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry,
 Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology,
 Bhubaneswar, Odisha 751003, India

Table 1. The chemical properties of a typifying pedon of the experimental site.

| Depth (cm) | Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹) | pH (1:2) | EC (dSm ⁻¹) | Ca | Exchangeable cations [c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹] in soil | | | Sum | Exchange acidity [c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹ soil] | CEC [c mol (p ⁺) kg ⁻¹ soil] | Base saturation (%0) |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------|--|------|------|-------|--|---|----------------------|
| | | | | | Mg | Na | K | | | | |
| 0–16 | 03.7 | 5.39 | 0.21 | 1.78 | 0.94 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 2.93 | 1.32 | 4.50 | 65.11 |
| 16–35 | 02.9 | 6.31 | 0.23 | 1.70 | 0.90 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 2.78 | 1.32 | 4.28 | 64.95 |
| 35–57 | 01.8 | 6.91 | 0.20 | 8.78 | 2.80 | 0.12 | 0.42 | 12.12 | 2.26 | 12.48 | 83.70 |
| 57–130 | 0.9 | 7.58 | 0.51 | 12.14 | 4.20 | 0.22 | 0.97 | 17.53 | 2.31 | 20.10 | 87.21 |

sion by water or wind. The crop is consumed in many forms. Young leaves, green pods, green leaves and dried leaves are used as vegetables whereas dry seeds and roots are used in a variety of food preparations [9]. Cowpea leaves are also known to contain a high amount of protein and minerals, such as calcium, phosphorus and vitamin B [10]. Protein concentrations of 29 to 43% have been reported for cowpea leaves but only from 21 to 33% in seed [9, 11]. Additionally, leaves contain considerably larger amounts of the essential amino acids, methionine and cysteine and they are a significant source of β -carotene, a vitamin A precursor [9]. The seed is reported to contain 24% crude protein, 53% carbohydrates, and 2% fat [12]. Cowpea grows well in poor soils that have more than 85% sand, less than 0.2% organic matter and low levels of phosphorus [13]. Furthermore, many cowpea cultivars can withstand drought and have short growing periods, making them very attractive to farmers in marginal, drought-prone areas that have low rainfall and poorly developed irrigation systems [14].

Soil test based fertilizer recommendation is widely accepted as an important basis for higher yield with optimum use of resources. Soil test as a gimmick unless it gives a correct appraisal of fertility status and predicts fertilizer required for maximum return or a definite yield goals [15]. Targeted yield approach is the most appropriate method for balance fertilization. This approach provides the basis of optimum resources utilization and balance crop nutrient management. Soil test based fertilizer application is a useful tool and presumed that fertilizer prescription equation is a unique technology to optimize need based

fertilizer application. The concept of fertilizer prescription equation for desired yield target was first given by the earlier worker [16]. Later, theoretical basis and experimental techniques were established to suit it in Indian condition showing the linear relationship between yield and nutrient uptake [17]. For a given quantity of yield of any crop fertilizer requirement can be estimated considering efficiency of soil and fertilizer nutrients. Though fertilizer prescription equations have been developed for field crops like groundnut [18], sesamum [19], rice [20], mustard [21] and vegetables like pumpkin [22], lady's finger [23] and tomato [24] but no such equation has been developed for Cowpea for *Inceptisols*. Keeping in view on the above information the present study was carried out to formulate the targeted yield equations for cowpea in various soil fertility status in *Inceptisols*.

(The research work was conducted with the financial support of Indian Institute of Soil Science through Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Government of India and Government of Odisha, India).

Materials and Methods

Site characteristics

The experimental site is characterized by medium land, sandy loam in texture both in surface and subsurface layers. Soil was moderately acidic (pH 5.39) in reaction and low in organic carbon (3.7 g kg⁻¹). CEC of the surface soil was 4.5 cmol (p⁺) kg⁻¹ with 65.1% base saturation. The experimental site is low in soil avail-

Table 2. Range and average yield of cowpea (cv *Utkal Manika*), soil test values and N, P and K uptake in different fertility gradient strips.

| Particulars | | B-I | B-II | B-III |
|--|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹) | Range | 13.4–42.4 | 14.9–47.5 | 16.0–51.5 |
| | Average | 29.9 | 33.5 | 36.3 |
| Available N (kg ha ⁻¹) | Range | 113.6–174.0 | 123.2–186.0 | 136.8–194.2 |
| | Average | 164.2 | 176.0 | 185.9 |
| Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹) | Range | 30.6–38.8 | 39.6–48.8 | 44.6–57.3 |
| | Average | 34.8 | 44.7 | 53.1 |
| Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹) | Range | 99.2–115.4 | 114.8–128.2 | 120.6–148.4 |
| | Average | 107.0 | 121.3 | 135.3 |
| N uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | Range | 22.30–70.4 | 26.0–82.8 | 46.8–88.6 |
| | Average | 51.0 | 59.0 | 79.8 |
| P ₂ O ₅ uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | Range | 9.2–28.8 | 11.0–35.2 | 11.8–39.6 |
| | Average | 20.4 | 24.5 | 26.6 |
| K ₂ O uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | Range | 17.8–56.2 | 22.0–71.7 | 23.9–77.1 |
| | Average | 39.6 | 50.2 | 54.1 |

able N, medium in available P and low in available K. Micronutrients content in initial soil sample were found 50.76, 1.83, 2.22 and 0.52 mg kg⁻¹ for Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn and B respectively. The soil was classified as *fine, mixed, hyperthermic* family of *Vertic Haplustepts*. The chemical properties of a typifying pedon of the experimental site are presented in Table 1.

Experimental setup

The experimental site (0.3 ha) was divided into three equal blocks during *khariif* 2012-13 to create fertility gradient strips. Rice (cv *Lalat*) was grown in three fertility gradient stripes viz. without application of N, P, K in Block-I, N₈₀ P₄₀ K₄₀ (recommended dose) in Block-II and N₁₆₀ P₈₀ K₈₀ (double the recommended dose) in Block-III; thus, three fertility gradient stripes B-I, B-II and B-III were created. During *rabi* these three blocks were ploughed and each block was divided into 24 subplots (5.5 × 4.0 meter each). Initial soil samples were collected from each plot for initial nutrient status. Available soil N was analyzed by Alkaline Permanganate method, available P₂O₅ by Bray's No-1 method and soil available K₂O by Neutral Normal Ammonium Acetate method as described by Jackson [25]. In each strip, out of 24 subplots, 21 plots were super imposed with different graded doses of N, P, K; two plots were given FYM at 5 t and 10 t ha⁻¹ respectively and one plot was kept absolute control. Cowpea (cv *Utkal Manika*) was grown during *rabi*.

The N levels were kept 20, 30 and 40; P levels were 45, 60 and 75 & K levels were 20, 30 and 40 kg ha⁻¹ each. Post harvest soil sample, stover and grain samplesm yield data were recorded to study the nutrients uptake followed by formulation of fertilizer prescription equation.

The required parameters for formulating fertilizer prescription equations for targeted yield were experimentally obtained for a given soil type-crop-agroclimatic condition. Nutrient requirement (NR), Soil efficiency (Cs) and Fertilizer efficiency (Cf) were found out as the procedure given by the earlier workers [17]. The available soil nutrient content is taken into consideration while estimating soil efficiency and fertilizer efficiency.

Therefore,

$$\text{NR (Nutrient requirement; kg q}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Uptake of nutrient by Cowpea (kg/ha)}}{\text{Yield of cowpea (q/ha)}}$$

$$\text{Cs (Soil efficiency)} = \frac{\text{Uptake of nutrient in absolute control plot (kg/ha)}}{\text{Initial soil test value of that particular nutrient in control plot (kg ha)}}$$

Table 3. Targeted yield equation developed by AICRP on STCR, Bhubaneswar for cowpea. Where, FN, F P₂O₅ and F K₂O = kg fertilizer N, P₂O₅ and K₂O required; T=specific yield target in (q); S N, S P₂O₅ and S K₂O=kg Soil available N, P₂O₅ and K₂O respectively; ON, O P₂O₅ and O K₂O=kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O added through FYM.

| Parameters | NR (kg q ⁻¹) | Cs (%) | Cf (%) | Co (%) | Fertilizer Prescription Equation |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| N | 0.75 | 14.9 | 45 | 28 | FN=1.67 T - 0.0.33 SN-0.62ON |
| P ₂ O ₅ | 0.71 | 27.0 | 22 | 25 | F P ₂ O ₅ = 1.22 T - 1.13 S P ₂ O ₅ - 1.13 O P ₂ O ₅ |
| K ₂ O | 1.43 | 18.6 | 95 | 21 | F K ₂ O = 1.5 T- 0.19 S K ₂ O - 0.22 O K ₂ O |

$$Cf \text{ (Fertilizer efficiency)} = \frac{\text{Uptake of nutrient in fertilizer treated plot (kg/ha)} - \text{initial soil test value (kg ha)} \times Cs}{\text{Amount of fertilizer nutrient applied (kg ha)}}$$

$$Co \text{ (Organic matter efficiency)} = \frac{\text{Total nutrient uptake in OM treated plot} - \text{Soil test value} \times \text{Soil efficiency}}{\text{Nutrient applied through OM}}$$

T- Targeted yield (q ha⁻¹) of cowpea desired to be obtained within its varietal limitation

SN- Initial soil available N (kg ha⁻¹) analyzed by Alkaline permanganate method

SP₂O₅-Initial soil available P₂O₅ (kg ha⁻¹) analyzed by Bray's No. 1 method

K₂O- Initial soil available K₂O (kg ha⁻¹) analyzed by Ammonium acetate method

Co- Efficiency of organic matter.

Soils were analyzed as per the methodologies laid down by Jackson [25].

These parameters are then transferred to a workable equation (targeted yield equation) as follows:

$$FD = \frac{(NR \times 100 \times T)}{Cf} - \frac{(Cs \times STV)}{Cf} - \frac{(Co \times Org)}{Cf}$$

Where FD=fertilizer dose (kg ha⁻¹); T=yield target (q ha⁻¹) and STV=soil test value.

Results and Discussion

Among three fertility gradient stripes maximum avail-

able soil nutrient found in B-III strip as it received double of the recommended doses during *khariif*. The mean value of soil available N, P and K increases with increase in fertility gradient strip from B-I to B-III. Mean available soil N was found 164.2, 176.0 and 185.9 kg ha⁻¹; that of P₂O₅ was found 34.8, 44.7 and 53.1 kg ha⁻¹ and mean available K₂O was found 107.0, 121.3 and 135.3 kg ha⁻¹ in B-I, B-II and B-III stripes respectively. As B-III strip received more amount of applied fertilizer (double of the recommended dose in rice) during *khariif* therefore much higher soil fertility status, nutrient uptake and yield were observed. In contrast, the lowest uptake and yield were found in the B-I as no fertilizer was added in rice during *khariif*. The range and average of initial soil test values, uptake of nutrients and yield of Cowpea are presented in Table 2.

Uptake of N, P and K shows an increasing trend with increasing fertility gradient strips from B-I to B-II as it was found in case of initial soil nutrients status. The mean uptake of N was found 51.0, 59.0 and 79.8 kg ha⁻¹; that of P₂O₅ was found 20.4, 24.5 and 26.6 kg ha⁻¹ and mean K₂O uptake was found 39.6, 50.2 and 54.1 kg ha⁻¹ in B-I, B-II and B-III stripes respectively.

The uptake of nutrient co-relates well with grain yield of cowpea. Result shows that higher the N, P, K uptake higher is the yield of grains. The average pod yield ranges from 29.9 q ha⁻¹ in the lowest fertility gradient strip (B-I) to 36.3 q ha⁻¹ in the highest fertility gradient strip (B-III).

Based on the basic parameters nutrient requirement (NR) soil efficiency (Cs) and fertilizer efficiency (Cf) calculated as given and computing them into

Table 4. Ready reckoner for fertilizer recommendations for specific yield targets of cowpea (cv *Utkal Manika*) under different soil fertility status.

| Available soil nutrient (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | Fertilizer nutrient required (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | Targeted yield (60 q ha ⁻¹) | | | Targeted yield (70 q ha ⁻¹) | | | Targeted yield (80 q ha ⁻¹) | | |
| | | | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O |
| 100 | 10 | 80 | 67 | 62 | 60 | 74 | 74 | 75 | 101 | 86 | 90 |
| 120 | 15 | 90 | 61 | 56 | 56 | 67 | 68 | 71 | 94 | 81 | 86 |
| 140 | 20 | 100 | 54 | 51 | 52 | 61 | 63 | 67 | 87 | 75 | 82 |
| 160 | 25 | 110 | 47 | 45 | 48 | 54 | 57 | 63 | 81 | 69 | 78 |
| 180 | 30 | 120 | 41 | 39 | 44 | 48 | 52 | 59 | 74 | 64 | 74 |
| 200 | 35 | 130 | 34 | 34 | 41 | 41 | 46 | 56 | 68 | 58 | 71 |
| 220 | 40 | 140 | 28 | 28 | 37 | 34 | 40 | 52 | 61 | 52 | 67 |
| 240 | 45 | 150 | 21 | 22 | 33 | 28 | 35 | 48 | 54 | 47 | 63 |
| 260 | 50 | 160 | 14 | 17 | 29 | 21 | 29 | 44 | 48 | 41 | 59 |

workable equations. The fertilizer requirement for targeted yield of cowpea was formulated which is given in Table 3. In the equations, the target (T) has to be fixed by the extension scientists/farmers concerned and the SN, SP₂O₅ and SK₂O values have to be put for available soil nitrogen, soil phosphorus and soil potassium of that particular field which has to be precisely determined in the laboratory by analyzing the soil samples of that field.

A ready reckoner of fertilizer doses has been prepared taking into consideration different yield targets at different fertility status of the soils and presented in Table 4 which will be useful for extension officers, scientists and farmers alike in balanced fertilization of crop for targeted yield. These equations will be useful in red, laterite and yellow soils (*Inceptisols* and *Alfisols*) which constitute 84% of the total geographical area of Odisha, India.

References

- Verdcourt B (1970) Studies in the Leguminosae- Papilionoideae for the flora of tropical East Africa IV. Kew Bull 24 : 507—569.
- Davies DW, Oelke EA, Oplinger ES, Doll JD, Hanson CV, Putman DH (2005) Cowpea: Alternative field crop manual. Center for Alternative Plant and Animal Product, Minnesota Exten Serv, Univ Minnesota and Cooper Exten Serv, Univ Wisconsin-Madison.
- Jafferson T (2005) Cowpea: A versatile crop for hot dry conditions. Thomos Jafferson Agric Inst, West Nifong Boulevard, Colombia.
- Singh BB (2005) Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. In: Singh RJ, Jauhar PP (eds). Genetic resources, chromosome engineering and crop improvement. Vol 1, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp 117—162.
- Timko MP, Ehlers JD, Roberts PA (2007) Cowpea. In: Kole C (ed). Genome mapping and molecular breeding in plants. Vol 3. Pulses, sugar and tuber crops. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 49—67.
- FAO (2012) The second report on the state of the world's plant genetic resources for food and agriculture.
- FAO (2009) FAO Quarterly Bull Statistics. Food and Agric Organ of United Nations, Rome.
- Mali PC, Burman U, Lodha S (2000) Effect of planting dates and development of yellow mosaic virus on biochemical constituents of mothbean genotypes. Ind Phytopathol 53 : 379—383.
- Nielsen SS, Ohler TA, Mitchell CA (1997) Cowpea leaves for human consumption: production, utilization, and nutrient composition. In: Singh BB, Mohan Raj DR, Dashiell KE, Jackai LEN (eds). Advances in cowpea research, pp 326—332. Ibadan Co-publ Int Inst of Tropi Agriculture (IITA) and Japan Int Res Cen for Agric Sci (JIRCAS).
- Maynard DN (2008) Underutilized and underexploited horticultural crops (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Hort Sci 43 : 279.
- Ahenkora K, Dapaah HA, Agyemang A (1998) Selected nutritional components and sensory attributes of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) leaves. PI Foods for Human Nutr 52 : 221—229.
- FAO (2012) Grassland species index. *Vigna unguiculata*-<http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPC/doc/Gbase/data/pf000090.htm> (accessed 6 Jun 2012).
- Singh BB, Ajeigbe HA, Tarawali SA, Fernandez-Rivera S, Abubakar M (2003) Improving the production and utilization of cowpea as food and fodder. Field Crops Res 84 : 169—177.
- Hallensleben M, Polreich S, Heller J, Maass BL (2009) Assessment of the importance and utilization of cowpea

- (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) as leafy vegetable in small-scale farm households in Tanzania-East Africa. In: Conf on Int Res on Food Security, Natural Resource Management and Rural Development. Univ Hamburg. Tropentag 6–8 Oct.
15. Kanwar JS (1971) Soil fertility evaluation. In : Proc Int Symp Soil Fertility Evaluation. New Delhi 1 : 103—113.
 16. Troug E (1960) Fifty years of soil testing. Trans 7th Int Cong Soil Sci Wisconsin, USA. Part-III and IV, pp 36—45.
 17. Ramamoorthy B, Narasimhan RL, Dinesh R (1967) Fertilizer application for specific yield targets of Sonera-64 (wheat). Ind Fmg 17 : 43—45.
 18. Pradhan NK, Mishra A, Padhy GP, Jena B (2007) Soil test based fertilizer recommendation for targeted yield of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) under rice-groundnut cropping system in an *Inceptisol* of Orissa. Environ and Ecol 25 : 478—480.
 19. Mishra A, Pradhan NK, Nanda SK, Jena B (2008) Soil test based fertilizer recommendation for targeted yield of sesamum (*Sesamum indicum*) under rice-sesamum cropping system in an *Inceptisol* of Orissa. Environ Ecol 26 : 1756—1758.
 20. Mishra A, Nanda SK, Pradhan NK, Jena B, Mukhi SK (2009) Soil test based fertilizer recommendation for targeted yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*) under rice-rice cropping system in an *Inceptisol* of Orissa. Extended summaries-Symp on resource management in crops and cropping system under changing climate, 7–8 May. ISA and OUAT, Bhubaneswar.
 21. Mishra A, Dash BB, Nanda SK, Das D, Sarangi J, Panda N, Mishra HT (2011) Study of soil taxonomy as a basis for extrapolation of fertilizer prescription equations for targeted yield of crops to different areas- A case study in a Vertic *Ustochrept* of Orissa. J Res Orissa Univ Agric Tech Spl Issue 1 : 207—214.
 22. Gogoi A, Mishra A, Jena B (2011) Soil test based fertilizer recommendation for targeted yield of pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata*) under rice-pumpkin cropping system in an *Inceptisol* of Orissa. Environ Ecol 29 : 574—576.
 23. Mishra A, Dash BB, Nanda SK, Das D (2013) Soil test based fertilizer Recommendation for targeted yield of lady's finger (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) under rice-lady's finger cropping system in an *Ustochrept* of Orissa. Environ Ecol 31 : 58—61.
 24. Mishra A, Dash BB, Nanda SK, Das D, Dey P (2013) Soil test based fertilizer recommendation for targeted yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) under rice-tomato cropping system in an *Ustochrept* of Odisha. Environ Ecol 31 : 655—658.
 25. Jackson ML (1973) Soil chemical analysis, Prentice Hall Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi, pp 497.