Environment and Ecology 37 (3): 695—703, July—September 2019 Website: environmentandecology.com ISSN 0970-0420

First Report on Trophic State of Daroji Lake, Ballari, Karnataka

Suresh E., Akash P.S., Sandya S., Suvarna Metigouda, Sreenivasa V.

Received 11 January 2019; Accepted 17 February 2019; Published on 11 March 2019

Abstract The present study objectively conducted to analysis of trophic state and physico-chemical nature of water in Daroji Lake, Ballari, Karnataka. The composite water, plankton and benthic samples were collected from 3 sampling stations from July 2018 to December 2018. The results of the present study showed variation in all the physico-chemical parameters. Mean temperature ranges from 20.4 °C \pm 0.02 to 22.9 °C \pm 0.32, pH varies from 5.7 \pm 0.12 to 6.67 \pm 0.03, DO form 5.37 \pm 0.29 mg/l to 7.52 \pm 0.49 mg/l. Alkalinity varied from 4.67 ± 0.67 mg/l to 7.3 ± 0.7 mg/l. Chloride from 19.9 ± 0.61 to 29.11 \pm 5.04 mg/l, total hardness from 106.67 \pm 1.86 to 142.67 ± 22.98 mg/l, conductivity varied from 165 \pm 24.58 to 247 \pm 12.01 µs/cm, carbon dioxide range from 7.39 ± 0.95 to 9.86 ± 1.74 , nitrate- nitrogen from 0.46 ± 0.28 to 4.9 ± 0.03 and phosphorus values varied from 1.93 ± 0.25 to 4.46 ± 1.28 . Among the total biota, the Bacillariophyceae comprises 28.1% followed by Chlorophycea 16.8% and Cyanophy-

Suresh E, Akash P.S., Sandya S., Suvarna Metigouda Department of Studies in Zoology, VSK University, Ballari, Karnataka

Sreenivasa V.*

Department of Zoology, Veerashaiva College, Cantonment, Ballari, Karnataka, India

e-mail: drsreenivasa13@gmail.com *Corresponding author ceae (11.3%). The zooplankton, rotifers were found to be more (16.4%) compared to that of Copepod (5.1%) and Cladocera (2.2%). Among the benthic invertebrates Hemiptera was found more (7.7%) followed by Odonata (2.9%), Plecoptera (2.9%), Ephemeroptera (2.2%), Megaloptera (2.2%) and Trichoptera (2.2%). However, the shanon diversity index indicates that Cladocera and Ephemeroptera were found to be highest with H' = 1.79 among the biota. Whereas, Simpson index indicates Bacillariophyceae was found to be more with the index value D = 0.91. During the study period it was also clear that all biotic components shows increasing trends. The correlation among all the parameters and other possible factors influence the development of better trophic state of the lake are discussed.

Keywords Daroji Lake, Plankton, Benthos, Trophic state.

Introduction

The freshwater especially lentic habitat across the globe, supply essential resources for both terrestrial and aquatic organisms. These water bodies are live laboratories by which we can observe the effects of weather change in our own communities. With respect to the geological time, shallow water impoundement of alien property, are short- lived ecological units which become filled up with inorganic, organic and various abiotic factors. Any alteration of these factors can lead cascading consequences like change the living conditions, especially in the number, diversity and distribution of the biota which intern limits the



Fig. 1. Map of the study area and location of study sites.

production. The composition, distribution, diversity and abundance of biological system of any aquatic body depend on the water quality (Lei and Li 2000). The importance of plankton in tropical reservoir ecosystems include its use in estimating potential fish yield (Hecky and Kling 1987), productivity (Park et al. 2001), water quality (Walsh et al. 2005), energy flow (Simeiv 2005), trophic status (Reynolds 1999) and management (Beyruth 2000). Phytoplankton are being the primary producers, they act as the main food source for zooplankton and other aquatic biota (Akomeah et al. 2010). They act as biological indicators, where their presence, absence, diversity, abundance and distribution are used to determine the health (nutrient) status or quality of an aquatic environment (Sreenivasa et al. 2017). Anneville et al. (2004) reported that the sedimentation, grazing pressure, light, CO₂ and nutrient concentration act as forces responsible for the species composition of phytoplankton. Therefore, to tap from the potential (benefit) of phytoplankton, it is imperative to study their taxonomy (Atici 2002). Ahmad et al. (2011) reported that rotifers, cladocerans, copepods and ostracods constitute the major zooplankton popu-

lation in the sewage fed fish ponds and contributed significantly to secondary production of the ponds. Benthic invertebrates are diverse group, play a pivotal role in linking the transfer of energy from producers to aquatic consumers like fishes, crustaceans, mollusks (Sharma et al. 2013). These animals are deferentially sensitive to many biotic and abiotic factors, thus these have been used as indicator of the condition of an aquatic system (Reice and Wohlenberg 1993). According to Daly (1996), Ward (1996) less than 3% of all species of insects begin their life cycle as aquatic larvae before emergence as winged land creatures. Cummins et al. (1995) stated that if sufficient dissolved oxygen and appropriate substrata are available, many species of benthic organisms, especially insects and crustaceans, can accelerate microbial processing of dead organic material. Edward and Ugwumba (2011), Andem et al. (2012), Romero et al. (2013) revealed the loss of some species will likely alter or degrade critical ecosystem processes because of the unavailability of replacement species. With respect to the anthropogenic and antural stress, it is understood that frequent assessment is very much required especially for the lakes and reservoirs which are build for mulpurpose utility. Considering the significance, the proposed research work has been designed to investigate the biotic components and their assemblages with respect to abiotic influences in the Daroji Lake, Karnataka.

Materials and Methods

Daroji Lake is geographically located at latitude 15^o 15'0'' and longitude 15^o 15'0''. It is named after the village Daroji, located in the Sandur taluk of Bellary district in Karnataka (Fig.1). The Daroji Lake is located in the Sandur taluk of Bellary district in Karnataka, was built in the 13th century, the lake is now managed by the Watershed Department. The lake is regarded as the second largest water bodies in Karnataka, which can irrigate a total of 4,700 acres of land and serving thousands around Hosa Daroji, Hale Daroji, Madapura, Somalapura, Honnalli, Mavinahalli, Suggenahalli and Gonala villages. Other than its agricultural importance, it is also serving as comfortable habitat for many numbers of flora and fauna.

The composit water samples, plankton and benthos were collected from 3 sampling stations of Lake Daroji for 6 months (July 2018 to December 2018). The benthic samples were collected by using quadrate method. The samples were sieved through 200-500 μ m and fixed in 5% for maldehyde for further identification. The qualitative and quantitative analyses were done as described by Arbačiauskas et al. (2008). Water temperature and pH were measured in the field by using digital probes after making standard calibrations. CO₂, alkalinity, hardness, chlorides, dissolved oxygen in the water samples were estimated methods explained in APHA (1995),

Table 1. Physico-chemical parameters of Daroji Lake water.



Fig. 2. Physico-chemical parameters of Daroji Lake water.

Strickland and Parsons (1972). The total hardness in the water was determined by EDTA method as described in (Strickland and Parsons 1972). Nitrate in the water were estimated by ascorbic acids the methods (APHA 1995). The total phosphorus was estimated (po4-p) by phenoldisulphonic acids method of Olsen et al. (1954).

Results and Discussion

The results of the present study showed variation in all the physico-chemical parameters. Mean temperature ranges from 20.4 °C \pm 0.02 to 22.9 °C \pm 0.32, pH varies from 5.7 \pm 0.12 to 6.67 \pm 0.03, DO form 5.37 \pm 0.29 mg/l to 7.52 \pm 0.49 mg/l, Alkalinity varied from 4.67 \pm 0.67 mg/l to 7.3 \pm 0.7 mg/l, Chloride from 19.9 \pm 0.61 to 29.11 \pm 5.04 mg/l, total hardness from 106.67 \pm 1.86 to 142.67 \pm 22.98 mg/l, conductivity varied from165 \pm 24.58 to 247 \pm 12.01 µs/cm, carbon dioxide range from 7.39 \pm 0.95 to 9.86 \pm 1.74, nitrate -nitrogen from 0.46 \pm 0.28 to 4.9 \pm 0.03 and phosphorus values varied from 1.93 \pm 0.25 to 4.46 \pm 1.28 (Table 1 and Fig. 2). During the study period the temperature showed variation form onset

	Y 1		0	0.4	N	D
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
pН	5.7 ± 0.12	5.77 ± 0.03	5.87 ± 0.03	6.03 ±0.03	6.53 ± 0.03	6.67 ± 0.03
Temp ^o C	22.17 ± 0.6	20.45 ± 0.02	22.93 ± 0.32	21.6 ± 0.31	21.37 ± 0.23	20.51 ± 0.26
DO mg/l,	6.96 ± 0.75	7.52 ± 0.49	5.67 ± 0.09	6.1 ± 0.29	6.47 ± 0.28	5.37 ± 0.29
CO, mg/l CaCO,	8.23 ± 1.39	7.39 ± 0.95	9.86 ± 1.74	8.8 ± 2.2	8.8 ± 2.2	8.8 ± 2.2
Alk mg/l CaCO	4.67 ± 0.67	6.07 ± 0.58	7.07 ± 0.58	7.3 ± 0.7	7.27 ± 0.73	5.1 ± 0.59
Nitrate-nitrogen mg/l	0.76 ± 0.04	0.51 ± 0.04	2.12 ± 1.64	0.46 ± 0.28	4.9 ± 0.03	0.5 ± 0.01
Phosphate-	2.17 ± 0.61	4.46 ± 1.28	3.08 ± 0.8	1.93 ± 0.25	1.93 ± 0.25	1.93 ± 0.25
phosphorus mg/l						
Cond µs/cm	225.67 ± 7.4	240 ± 20.55	247 ± 12.01	165 ± 24.58	211 ± 3.84	211.3 ± 1.86
Hard mg/l CaCO,	119.67 ± 5.0	142.67 ± 22.9	122.67 ± 2.6	111.3 ± 6.2	131.6 ± 1.76	106.6 ± 1.86
Chl mg/l	24 ± 2.52	28.33 ± 1.45	19.9 ± 0.61	22 ± 1.53	21.3 ± 0.91	29.11 ± 5.04

Phytoplankton Pinnularia sp. / / / / / / Bacillanöphyceae Gomphone's sp. / / / / / Nittschiu sp. / / / / / / Asterionella sp. / / / / / / Diatoma sp. / / / / / / / Gomphonena sp. / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Group	Species	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Buichlariophyceae Promutaria sp. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · <th< td=""><td>Phytoplankton</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Phytoplankton							
Gomphonesis Sp. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Bacillariophyceae	<i>Pinnularia</i> sp.	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Naricula sp.········································································································································································································· <th< td=""><td>in in ir yr ini</td><td>Gomphoneis sp.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>\checkmark</td></th<>	in in ir yr ini	Gomphoneis sp.						\checkmark
Niteschia 'pIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII <th< td=""><td></td><td>Navicula sp.</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>~</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td></th<>		Navicula sp.	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cyclorella sp.IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII<		Nitzschia sp.		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark
Asterionella sp. - - - - - - Cymbella sp. - - - - - - Fragilaria sp. - - - - - - Gomphonema sp. - - - - - - Tabellaria sp. - - - - - - Tabellaria sp. - - - - - - Diatoma sp. - - - - - - Diatoma vilgaris - - - - - - Synedra - - - - - - Synedra -		Cvclotella sp.	Π			Π	\checkmark	\checkmark
CynnolhyceaeCynolhella sp. Fragilaria sp. Gronyinena sp. I a and a sp. Fragilaria sp. Gornyinena sp. I belawine sp. I a and a sp. I belawine sp. I belawine sp. I belawine sp. I a and a sp. I a and a sp. I belawine sp. I a and a sp. I a and a sp. I a and a sp. I belawine sp. I a and a sp. <td></td> <td>Asterionella sp.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\checkmark</td>		Asterionella sp.						\checkmark
Diatoma sp.VVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVV		<i>Cymbella</i> sp.	\checkmark	 ✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fragilaria sp.·········································································································································································································<		Diatoma sp.		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark
Gynoxigma sp. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <		<i>Fragilaria</i> sp	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	 ✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Gomphonema sp. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Gvrosigma sp						\checkmark
Tabellaria sp.		Gomphonema sp	~	\checkmark		✓	✓	\checkmark
Didymosphenia - - - - - Bidymosphenia - - - - - - Diatoma hyemalis - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Tabellaria sp						~
Epithenia		Didemosphenia					~	1
Achnanites I I I I I Diatoma hyemalis I I I I I Diatoma vilgaris I I I I I Planothidium I I I I I I Neidium I I I I I I I Neidium I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I <td></td> <td>Enithemia</td> <td></td> <td>П</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>• □</td> <td></td>		Enithemia		П			• □	
Diatoma kyemalis - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Achnanthes					\checkmark	√
Diatoma vulgaris Didymosphenia Epithemia Planothidium <td></td> <td>Diatoma hyemalis</td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>· √</td> <td>· √</td>		Diatoma hyemalis	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	· √	· √
Diduming and S		Diatoma vulgaris	•	·	•	•	·	· √
Daymond - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td></td> <td>Didioma vaigaris</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td>		Didioma vaigaris						•
Durinemia		Enithemia						•
Synedra · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </td <td></td> <td>Epinemia Dimothi dium</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td>		Epinemia Dimothi dium					•	•
Sylical (dium) Image: Colones (dium) Im		Flanoiniaium						•
Netatum V I V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V </td <td></td> <td>Syneura Naidina</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td>		Syneura Naidina	•	•		•	•	•
Catonets Catonets Catonets Comments		Netatum	v			•	•	v
Brachystra		Caloneis					v	v
Crancula - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<		Brachystra						v
Micracinium V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V <th< td=""><td></td><td>Craticula</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>*</td></th<>		Craticula						*
CyanophyceaeAnabaena sp. \checkmark <td>0 1</td> <td>Micractinium</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td>v</td>	0 1	Micractinium	•	•		•	•	v
Oscillatoria sp. V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Cyanophyceae	Anabaena sp.	*	•	•	•	*	v
Lyngya sp. V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V <tdv< td=""><td>Oscillatoria sp.</td><td>v</td><td>•</td><td>~</td><td>v</td><td>•</td><td>v</td></tdv<>		Oscillatoria sp.	v	•	~	v	•	v
Chlorophyceae Achiastrum sp. I I V V V Ankistrodesmus sp. V V V V V V Cryptophyta sp. I I I V V V V Cosmarium sp. V V V V V V V Monorophidum sp. I I I I I V V Closterium V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V		<i>Lyngbya</i> sp.	v	v	v	•	*	v
Ankstrodesmus sp. V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	Chlorophyceae	Actinastrum sp.				v	•	v
Corpetophyta sp. - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Ankistrodesmus sp.	✓	✓	√	✓	~	v
Cosmarium sp. V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V <		<i>Cryptophyta</i> sp.					v	v
Monorophidum sp. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Cosmarium sp.	√	✓	√	√	✓	v
Selenastrum I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I <td< td=""><td></td><td>Monorophidum sp.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>V</td></td<>		Monorophidum sp.						V
Closterium V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V		Selenastrum	L	v	L	v	v	v
Penium		Closterium	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	√
Ankistrodesmus		Penium						✓
Micrasterias Image: Complankton Zooplankton Rotifers Asplanchna Image: Comochilus Euchlanis Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Euchlanis Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Brachionus quadridentatus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Philodina Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus Copepods Image: Comochilus Image: Comochilus		Ankistrodesmus						√
Zooplankton Asplanchna I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Micrasterias						\checkmark
RotifersAsplanchna \checkmark	Zooplankton							
ConochilusIIVVVEuchlanisIIVVVVBrachionus quadridentatusVVVVVVCephalodellaIIVVVVVKeratella quadrataVVVVVVVPhilodinaIIVVVVVLepadellaIIVVVVVRotaria sp.VVVVVVCopepodsIIVVVVDiaptomusVVVVVVCladoceransDaphniaVIIVVV	Rotifers	Asplanchna	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	√	\checkmark	√
EuchlanisIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII<		Conochilus				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Brachionus quadridentatusImage: CephalodellaImage: C		Euchlanis			✓	✓	√	√
Cephalodella I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I <t< td=""><td></td><td>Brachionus quadridentatus</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td><td>\checkmark</td></t<>		Brachionus quadridentatus	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Keratella quadrataImage: Constraint of the systemImage: Constraint of the systemIma		Cephalodella			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Keratella quadrata	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Philodina			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
FiliniaIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII </td <td></td> <td>Lepadella</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>\checkmark</td> <td>\checkmark</td>		Lepadella				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Filinia			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
$ \begin{array}{c} Copepods & Cyclops & \square & \square & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ Nauplius larva & \checkmark & \checkmark & \square & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark & \checkmark \\ Diaptomus & \checkmark & $		Rotaria sp.	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Nauplius larvaImage: Image: Imag	Copepods	Cyclops			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Diaptomus \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark CladoceransDaphnia \checkmark \square \square \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark Moina \square \square \square \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	-	Nauplius larva	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Cladocerans Daphnia ✓ □ □ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ Moina □ □ □ ✓ ✓ ✓		Diaptomus	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Moina 🗆 🗆 🗸 🗸	Cladocerans	Daphnia	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
		Moina					\checkmark	\checkmark

 Table 2.
 Plankton and benthos species diversity in Daroji Lake.

Table 2. Continued

Group	Species	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Benthic fauna							
Ephemeroptera (Mayflies and their larvae) Odonata (dragonflies and	Epeorus sp. Leptophlebia sp. Lestes	□ ✓			\checkmark \checkmark	□ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓
damselflies and their larvae)	Calypteryx Acshua						√ √
	Epitheca Sympetrum						√ √
Plecoptera (stoneflies and nymphs)	Cordulegaster Pteronorsis Capnia			□ ✓	□ ✓ ✓	□ ✓ ✓	\checkmark
Hemiptera (true bugs)	Perla Buenoa				□ ✓	□ ✓	√ √
	Notonecta Nepa Lethocerus				✓ □ ✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Sigara Gerris	_ ↓	 □ ✔	 □ ✔	□ ✓	√ √	\checkmark
Megaloptera (dobsonflies and alderflies) Trichoptera (caddisflies)	Dysmicohermes disjusctus Sialis sp. Chimarra,				✓ ✓ ✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Lepidostoma				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

of monsoon to post-monsoon. It is true that early monsoon showed maximum temperature followed by slight depletion in any stagnant water (Nainwal et al. 2008). Water pH levels play an important role on the health of bodies of water and their ecosystems. A healthy habitat for aquatic life typically requires 6.5 to 8.0 pH (William and Robert 1992). The pH of the present study also in the permissible limits. The maximum solubility of oxygen in water is around 8 mg/L. at 30^o (Wetzel 2001). The oxygen and carbon dioxide level in the study lake favors good growth of flora and fauna (Tewari and Mishra 2005, Garg et al. 2006). Based on hardness standard, the Daroji alke water can be categorized into soft water to moderately hard water (Soni et al. 2013). Nitrate-nitrogen and phosphate-phosphorus were also found within the limit of WHO (Ayers and Westcot 1994).

Among the phytoplankton bacillariophyceae comprises 26 species found in December. *Pinnularia* sp., *Navicula* sp., *Cymbella* sp., *Fragilaria* sp. and *Diatoma hyemalis* present throughout the study period. *Gomphonema* sp., *Micractinium* and *Synedra* showed inconsistency in September. Cyanophyceae showed

only 3 species, Anabaena sp., Oscillatoria sp. and Lyngbya sp. found all the time in the present studies. In the chlorophyceae, Actinastrum sp. Cosmarium sp. and Closterium sp. found all the time and Actinastrum sp., Cryptophyta sp., Monorophidum sp., Selenastrum, Penium, Ankistrodesmus and Micrasterias were found non-frequently. Among zooplankton, rotifers showed maximum number. Asplanchna, Brachionus quadridentatus, Keratella quadrata and Rotaria sp. were the most frequently seed and other species were present only in few months. From the copepods, diaptomus was found regularly and Nauplius larva and Cyclops were seen rarely. Moina and Daphnia developed later stage of the study period (Table 2). In the present study Bacillariophyceae was found more than other groups. This could be due to the presence of more minerals, high temperature, light intensity and rapid multiplication of diatoms. This also might be due alteration of pH by death and decay of water plants (Chindah et al. 2004). The other groups like Chlorophyceae and Cyanophyceae were found lesser in number due the less adherent character and slight water current (Kadiri 1999). The result corroborates the research findings reported by Udoh and Akpan

Table 3.	Percentage	composition,	diversity	of plankton	and ben-
thos in D	aroji Lake.				

Individuals	AvG	%	H' Shanon diversity	D Simpson
Bacillariophyceae	12.8	28.1	1.62	0.91
Cyanphyceae	5.2	11.3	1.71	0.54
Chlorophyceae	4.5	16.8	1.71	0.88
Rotifers	7.5	16.4	1.69	0.60
Copepods	2.3	5.1	1.73	0.53
Cladocerans	1.0	2.2	1.79	0.41
Ephemeroptera	1.0	2.2	1.79	0.41
Odonata	1.3	2.9	0.74	-
Plecoptera	1.3	2.9	1.32	-
Hemiptera	3.5	7.7	1.62	0.22
Megaloptera	1.0	2.2	0.69	-
Trichoptera	1.0	2.2	0.69	-

(2007) and Omonona and Babalola (2007) in the Southern Nigeria, Sreenivasa et al. (2017) in Ethiopian river water.

Among the benthic invertebrates Hemiptera was found more (7.7%) and comprises the larvae and nymphs of *Buenoa, Notonecta, Nepa, Lethocerus, Sigara* and *Gerris.* Among Odonata; *Lestes, Calypteryx, Aeshna, Epitheca, Sympetrum* and *Cordulegaster* comprises 2.9% of the total biotic components. Plecoptera showed 3 species, *Pteronorsis, Capnia* and *Perla* (2.9%), Ephemeroptera with *Epeorus* sp. and *Leptophlebia* sp. (2.2%), under Megaloptera, *Sialis* sp. and *Dysmicohermes disjusctus* (2.2%) and Trichoptera showed *Lepidostoma* and *Chimarra* with 2.2% of total biotic components (Table 2). Among the plankton Bacillariophyceae were to be the dom-



Fig. 3. Percentage composition of plankton in composite sample in Daroji Lake.

inant species, were found about 28.1% followed by chlorophyceae (16.8%), rotifers (16.4%), cladocera (11.3%), copepods (5.1%) and Cladocera with 2.2%(Fig. 2). The Shanon diversity index indicates that Cladocera and Ephemeroptera were found to be highest with H' = 1.79 among the biota. Whereas, Simpson index indicates Bacillariophyceae was found to be more with the index value D = 0.91 (Table 3). The percentage composition of insect benthos showed that Hemiptera comprises 38% followed by Odonata (15%) and Plecoptera (14%). Whereas, Epimeroptera, Megaploptera and Tricoptera comprises minimum of 1% each of the total benthos population present in the Daroji Lake during the study period (Figs. 3 and 4). Benthic macro invertebrates are an important part of any aquatic ecosystems. These invertebrates respond to changes in the physical and chemical environment. Invertebrates in water are known to be influenced by environmental conditions such as : Hydraulic stress, temperature and water chemistry (Nicola et al. 2010, Rosin et al. 2010). Rainfall distribution pattern have great impact on both the chemistry of water as well as the population dynamics of the fauna (Onyema et al. 2009). It is true in the present study some of the water parameters significantly correlated with Dipterans, Trichoptera, Ephemeroptera and Odonata and water parameters mainly, such as dissolved oxygen, pH and Alkalinity (Cummins et al. 2005). Among the aquatic insects, Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera (EPT) are considered an important taxonomic groups significantly correlated with Cyanophyceae, Chlorophyceae and rotifers at p<0.05. Their abundance and species richness also by represents abundant



Fig. 4. Percentage composition of benthos in composite sample in Daroji Lake.

	Correlations										
	pН	Temp	DO	CO_2	Alk	Ni	Phos	Cond	Hard	Chl	Bac
pH Temp DO CO ₂ Alk Nit Phos Cond Hard Chl Bac Cya Chl Rot Cope Clad Epi Odo Pleco	pH 1	Temp 070 1	DO .073 245 1	CO ₂ 184 489 617 1	Alk .432 .278 142 475 1	Ni .932* .230 045 280 .529 1	Phos 320 173 .574 324 .021 237 1	Cond 099 .183 .250 085 279 .113 .644 1	Hard .333 148 .783 595 .220 .351 .751 .551 1	Chl 354 844* .195 .624 675 566 .298 .119 .013 1	Bac .189 697 299 .902* 373 .003 331 -141 .342 .645 1
Mega Tric											
Table 4. Co	ontinued.										

Table 4. Pearson correlation between the physico-chemical and biological parameters. ******, Correlation in significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed), *****, Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

	Correlations										
	Суа	Chl	Rot	Cope	Clad	Epi	Odo	Pleco	Hemi	Mega	Tric
рН	610	379	484	408	- 082	011	- 055	283	576	457	457
Temp	588	304	185	628	.230	298	533	267	468	384	384
DO	364	410	679	124	.261	480	638	786	508	492	492
CO,	.599	.468	.884	.393	083	.548	.978**	.683	.569	.451	.451
Alk	.088	045	.348	.023	685	144	355	.221	.255	.294	.294
Nit	.407	.148	.374	.091	137	-210	192	.189	.413	.256	.256
Phos	498	877*	754	589	367	807	439	659	.553	700	700
Cond	397	781	662	781	.041	848*	267	569	496	784	784
Hard	219	623	553	331	199	800	625	635	319	488	488
Chl	.260	.054	143	.276	.014	.168	.562	.040	.113	.009	.009
Bac	.843*	.593	.584	.623	107	.535	.933**	.704	.764	.604	.604
Суа	1	.761	.839*	.814*	253	.577	.726	.823*	.972**	.863*	.863*
Chl		1	.843*	.901*	.184	.926**	.619	.769	.772	.899*	.899*
Rot			1	.744	290	741	.639	.958**	.930**	.958**	.958*
Cope				1	019	.822*	.560	.673	.791	. 894*	.894*
Clad					1	.164	129	369	374	261	261
Epi						1	.670	.737	.619	.816*	.816*
Odo							1	.800	.711	.626	.626
Pleco								1	.914*	.904*	.904*
Hemi									1	.925**	.925**
Mega										1	1.00**
Tric											1
Tric											1

resources in the food in the lake. Buss et al. (2004), Sreenivasa et al. (2017) stated that EPT sensitive to environmental perturbations and usually live mainly in clean and well oxygenated waters. Caddis fly

(Trichoptera) and may fly (Ephemeroptera) are less abundant in the river water- soil interface because they tend to prefer specific substratum type in the stream (Harper and Hawksworth 1994). The pH of the water is correlated with Nitrate (r=0.932), carbon dioxide is directly correlated to the presence of Odonata (r=.978), Odonata is correlated with Bacillariophyceae (r=0.933), Plecoptera is stronlgly correlated with cyanophyceae (r = 0.823) and rotifer (r = 0.958). Megaloptera is strongly correlated with rotifer (r=0.958) and Hemiptera (0.926). Tricoptera having positive correlation with Cyanophyceae (r =.863), rotifers (r=0.958), Hemiptera (r=0.926) and Megaloptera (r=1.00) at p<0.01 level significance. Bacillariophyceae with carbon dioxide (r=.902), Cyanophyceae with Bacillariophyceae (r=.843), Chlorophyceae with rotifers (r = 0.843), copepods (r = 0.901), Megaloptera (r=0.899) and Tricoptera (r=0.899). Cyanophycea significantly correlated with rotifers (r=0.839), copepods (0.814) Plecoptera (r=0.823), Megaloptera (r=0.863) and Tricoptera (r=0.863) at p<0.05 level. Whereas, chloride negatively significant and correlated to water temperature (r=-.844), phosphate with chloride (r = -.877) and Epimeroptera with conductivity (r= -.848) at p<0.05 (Table 4).

The present study it is therefore can be concluding that the Daroji Lake is slightly mesotrophic with minimum amount of nutrients. This might be due inflow of water from agricultural surrounding areas during rainy season. This is also having conformity with that of the studies carried out by Spence (1964), Vollenwelder (1968). The present results also indicated that the varied physico-chemical characteristics of water, diversity of plankton and benthos, water body which might tern towards eutrophication in the later summer. Further, the trophic condition clearly demands a proper conservation and management strategies.

References

- Ahmad U, Parven S, Khan AA, Kabir HA, Mola HRA, Ganai AH (2011) Zooplankton population in relation to physico-chemical factors of a sewage fed pond of Aligarh (UP), India. Biol and Med 3 (2) : 336—341.
- Akomeah PA, Ekhator O, Udoka C (2010) Dry season phytoplankton composition of Ibiekuma dam, Edo State. Ethiop J Environ Stud Manag 3 (3) : 36—40.

- Andem AB, Okorafor KA, Udofia U, Okete KA, Ugwumba AAA (2012) Composition and diversity of benthic macroinvertebrates of Ona River, Southwest, Nigeria. Europ J Zool Res 1 (2): 47—53.
- Anneville O, Souissi S, Gammeter S, Straile D (2004) Seasonal and inter-annual scales of variability in phytoplankton assemblages : Comparison of phytoplankton dynamics in 3 peri-alpine lakes over a period of 28 years. Freshwater Biol 49 : 98—115.
- APHA (1995) American Public Health Association Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20th (edn): American Water Works Association/Water Environmental Federation, Washington, 1995.
- APHA (1995) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 19th (edn). American Public Health Association Inc., New York.
- Arbačiauskas K, Semenchenko VP, Grabowski M, Leuven RSEW, Paunovic' M, Son MO, Csa' nyi B, Gumuliauskaite S, Konopacka A, Nehring S, van der Velde G, Vezhnovetz V, Panov VE (2008) Assessment of biocontamination of benthic macroinvertebrate communities in European inland waterways. Aquatic Invasions 3 : 211–230.
- Atici T (2002) Sariyar baraji planktonik algleri. Kisim: II Chlorophyta.: Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Eğirdir Su Ürünleri Dergisi 8 : 128—151.
- Ayers RS, Westcot DW (1994) Water Quality for Agriculture. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 29, Revision I, FAO, Rome, pp 174.
- Beyruth Z (2000) Periodic disturbances, trophic gradient and phytoplankton characteristics related to cyanobacterial growth in Guarapiranga reservoir. Sao Paulo State, Brazil. Hydrobiologia 424 : 51–60.
- Buss DF, Baptista DF, Nessimian JL (2004) Bases conceituais para a aplicação de biomonitoramento em programs de avaliação da qualidade da água de rios. Cad Saúde Publica 19 : 465—473.
- Chindah AC, Braide AS, Sibeudu OC (2004) Distribution of hydrocarbons and heavy metals in sediment and a crustacean (*Penaeus notialis*) from the Bonny River/New Calabar River Estuary, Niger Delta. Afr J Environm Assess and Manag 9: 1–17.
- Cummins KW, Cushing CE, Minshall GW (1995) Introduction : An overview of stream ecosystems. In : Cushing CE, Cummins KW, Minshall GW (eds). River and Stream Ecosystems. Amsterdam : Elsevier, pp 1–8.
- Cummins KW, Merritt RW, Andrade PCN (2005) The use of macroinvertebrate functional groups to characterize ecosystem attributes in selected streams and rivers in South Brazil. Stud Neotrop Fauna E 40 : 69–89.
- Daly HV (1996) Gn 7 feneral classification and key to the orders of aquatic and semi-aquatic insects. In : Merritt RW, Cummins KW (eds). An introduction to aquatic insects of North America. 3rd (edn), Kendall/Hunt, Dubuque, Lowa, pp 108—122.
- Edward JB, Ugwumba AAA (2011) Physico-chemical parameters and plankton community of Egbe reservoir, Ekiti State. Nigeria Res J Biol Sci 5 : 356—367.
- Garg RK, Rao RJ, Saksena Devendra (2006) Assessment of physico-chemical water quality of Harsi reservoir, District Gwalior. Madhya Pradesh J Ecophysiol and Occupational Hlth 6 (1): 33—40.

- Harper JL, Hawksworth DL (1994) Biodiversity : Measurement and estimation preface. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society, Series B, London, 345 (1994), pp 5—12.
- Hecky RE, Kling HJ (1987) Phytoplankton ecology of the great lakes in the rift valleys of Central Africa. Arch Hydrobiol Beih, Ergebn Limnol 25 : 197—228.
- Kadiri MO (1999) Phytoplankton distribution in the coastal areas of Nigeria. Nigeria J Bot 12 : 51–62.
- Lei S, Li D (2000) Effect of temperature on energy budget of Taiwanese red tilapia hybrid (*Oreochromis niloticus* and *O. mossambicus*). Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao 11 : 618— 620.
- Nainwal HC, Negi BDS, Chaudhary M, Sajwan KS, Gaurav A (2008) Temporal changes in rate of recession: Evidences from Satopanth and Bhagirath Kharak glaciers, Uttarakhand, using Total Station Survey. Curr Sci 94 (5): 653—660.
- Nicola GG, Almodóvar A, Elvira B (2010) Effects of environmental factors and predation on benthic communities in headwater streams. Aquat Sci 72: 419–429.
- Olsen S, Cole C, Watanabe F, Dean L (1954) Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Circular Nr 939, US Govt Print Office, Washington, DC.
- Omonona BT, Babalola DA (2007) Determinants of the production of Fluted Pumpkin among farmers in Nigeria. J Agric and Food Inform 8 (1): 95—105.
- Onyema IC, Lawal-Are AO, Akinremi TA, Bassey OB (2009) Water quality, parameters, chlorophylla and zooplankton of an Estuarine Creek in Lagos. J Am Sci 5 : 76—94.
- Park JG, Huh SH, Jeong HJ (2001) Phytoplankton in Chinhae Bay. I. Photosynthetic properties and primary production in variant light environments. Algae 16: 189–196.
- Reice SR, Wohlenberg M (1993) Monitoring freshwater benthic macroinvertrates and benthic process : Measures for assessment of ecosystem health. London : Chapman & Hall, pp 488.
- Reynolds CS (1999) Non-determinism to probability or N:P in the community ecology of phytoplankton : Nutrient ratios. Arch Hydrobiol 146 : 23—35.
- Romero RDM, Ceneviva-Bastos M, Baviera GH, Casatti L (2013) Community structure of aquatic insects (Ephemeroptera, Plecopteras and Trichoptera) in Cerrado stream of Paraguay, Parana and São Francisco river basins. Biota Neotropica 13 (1): 97—107.
- Rosin GR, Margarotti DPO, Takeda AM (2010) Chironomidae (Diptera) community structure in 2 subsystems with

different states of conservation in a Flood plain of Southern Brazil. Acta Limnol Bras 22 : 276–286.

- Sharma S, Dubey S, Chaurasia R (2013) Benthic macro-invertebrate abundance and its correlations with physi-chemical param eters from Kunda River, Khargone (MP), India. Int J Adv Res 1 (2) : 8—13.
- Simciv T (2005) The role of plankton, zoobenthos and sediment in organic matter degradation in oligotrophic and eutrophic mountain lakes. Hydrobiologia 532 : 69–79.
- Soni G, Malik VS, Shukla L, Chabbra S, Gaur N (2013) Morphometric analysis of the suprascapular notch. Internet J Biol Anthropol 5 : 1.
- Spence DHN (1964) The macrophytes vegetation of lochs, swamps and associated fens. Burnott JH (ed). The vegetation of Scotland. Edinburgh, pp 306—425.
- Sreenivasa V, Alemu K, Prabhadevi L (2017) Studies on the influence of water quality on periphyton and benthic fauna along the River Gora a Tributary of Blue Nile, Ethiopia. Innovat Techni in Agric 2 (1): 301–306.
- Strickland JDH, Parsons TR (1972) A Practical Hand Book of Sea-water Analysis. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bulletin 157. 2nd (edn). pp 310.
- Tewari DD, Mishra SM (2005) Limnological study during rainy season of Seetadwar Lake at Shrawasti district. J Ecophysiol Occup Hlth 5 : 71—72.
- Udoh EJ, Akpan SB (2007) Estimating exportable tree crop relative price variability and inflation movement under different policy regimes in Nigeria. Europ J Soc Sci 5 (2) : 17–26
- Vollenwelder RA (1968) Scientific fundamentals of the eutrophication of the lakes and flowing waters with particular reference to nitrogen and phosphorus as factors in eutrophication. OECD, Directorate of Scientific Affairs Paris, DAS/ CSI, pp 27.
- Walsh CJ, Sharpe AK, Breen PF, Sonneman JA (2005) Effects of urbanization on streams of the Melbourne region, Victoria, Australia. I. Benthic macroinvertebrate communities. Freshwater Biol 46 : 535—551.
- Ward JV (1996) Aquatic insects ecology. 1. Biology and habitat, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Wetzel RG (2001) Limnology: Lake and River Ecosystems. 3rd (edn). Academic Press, San Diego, pp 1006.
- William A Wurts, Robert M. Durborow (1992) Interactions of pH, Carbon dioxide, Alkalinity and Hardness in Fish ponds, Southern Regional Aquaculture Center, SRAC Publication, pp 464.