Environment and Ecology 38 (4) : 783—791, October—December 2020 ISSN 0970-0420

# Diversity Pattern of Spiders (Araneae) from Two Selected Sites of Mango Orchard, Amreli District, Gujarat, India

Parin Dal\*, Varsha Trivedi

Received 28 June 2020 Accepted 26 July 2020 Published on 11 March 2021

## ABSTRACT

Proposed work was conducted from June to November 2019 (06 months) with concerning taxonomy, species composition, feeding guild structure and diversity indices of vegetative crop stage of spiders in Mango orchards at two villages namely Morjar and Dudhala, Amreli district, Gujarat. Overall 166 individuals spiders represent 47 morphospecies of Araneomorph group belonging to 30 genera and 15 families; dominated by family Araneidae (74 individuals, 20 species) followed by Salticidae (39 individuals, 13 species) and Oxyopidae (22 individuals, 5 species). Guild structure analysis revealed seven feeding guilds, namely stalkers, orb-web builders, space-web builders, ambushers, foliage hunters, ground runners and sheet-web builders. Orb-web builders and stalkers were the most dominant feeding guilds representing 51.20% and 36.75% respectively. According to the Sorensen similarity index, the result at the level of species were 64% similar in both study sites during the study period. Ecological

Parin Dal\*, Varsha Trivedi

indices like Simpson diversity (1-D and 1/D); Shannon diversity (H), and sheet-web builders. Orb-web builders and stalkers were the most dominant feeding guilds representing 51.20% and 36.75% respectively. According to the Sorensen similarity index, the result at the level of species were 64% similar in both study sites during the study period. Ecological indices like Simpson diversity (1-D and 1/D); Shannon diversity (H), Evenness index (e), Dominance (D) and Margalef richness index (d) were calculated. Diversity indices shown significant in Morjar site as compared to Dudhala site. It concluded that high diversity and species richness in Morjar site due to enough availability of food sources, good habitat structure, climatic factors and shelter to sustain. Evenness index (e), Dominance (D) and Margalef richness index (d) were calculated. Diversity indices shown significant in Morjar site as compared to Dudhala site. It concluded that high diversity and species richness in Morjar site due to enough availability of food sources, good habitat structure, climatic factors and shelter to sustain.

**Keywords**: Spider, Diversity, Guild, Ecological indices, Mango orchard.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Spiders are amongst the most omnipresent and

Animal Ecology and Conservation Biology Research Laboratory, Department of Biosciences, UGC-Center of Advance Studies, Saurashtra University, Rajkot 360005, Gujarat, India

Email:1\*dalparin@gmail.com, 2 vtrivedi\_2@rediffmail.com \*Corresponding author

numerous predators in both agriculture and natural ecosystems. Bristow (1941) reported that the availability of spiders in any locality depends on the occurrence of the number of insects in an area, being exclusively predatory to regulate insect populations (Wise 1993). They are one of the predatory fauna found in agriculture fields which feeds on a wide range of insects pests and hence acts as a buffer to limit pest populations. Their importance in agro ecosystems contribute greatly to biodiversity and play a beneficial role in natural pest control (Marc et al. 1999). Spiders are always known to be effective predators. The role of spiders in the biogenesis of different agro-ecosystem and urban area has been studied since 1943 (Kagan 1943, Whitcomb and Bell 1964, Neyffler and Benz 1987, Dean et al. 1982, Trivedi and Dal 2019) and they have an important role in controlling pests. Globally, spiders include about 48,407 described species under 4,163 genera and 120 families (WSC 2020). India also has a very rich diversity; represented by 1,686 species belonging to 438 genera of 60 families (Keswani et al. 2012). Checklist of spiders in Gujarat reveals a total of 415 species of spiders belong to 40 families and 169 genera updated by (Yadav et al. 2017).

India is the major Mango growing country, contributing nearly 46.74% of the world's area and 40.48% world's production respectively. Kesar Mango is grown in an approximate area of 20,000 hectares in the district of Amreli and Junagadh in the Saurashtra region of Gujarat with an estimated annual production of 2 lakh tonnes. Many pests are damaging the Mango crops and how the spiders and their presence of diverse species communities play a role in controlling the pest were least studied. Phar- tale et al. (2014) reported diversity and abundance of spiders in mango fields of Latur district, Maharashtra State. Similarly, no data are available for spider diversity from Mango orchard from Gujarat, India. So the work



Figure 1. A. Location of study area in Gujarat B. Location of Morjar village and Mango orchard site 1 C. Location of Dudhala village and Mango orchard site 2.



Figure 2. Familial status of Spider Population, Genus and Species of Study sites (Sampling unit N=72).

is aimed to explore the diversity pattern, composition, functional guild analysis, diversity indices and

Sorensen similarity index of spiders from study sites.

Table 1. Checklist of spiders present in	both study area (+ = Present, -	– = absent, S1 = Morjar site, S2 =	<ul> <li>Dudhala site).</li> </ul>
--	---------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Equational guild	Desistuation No.	Survey Name	Sites				
r uncuonal gunu	Registration No.	Species Name	<b>S1</b>	<b>S2</b>			
	SUBIOZ1	Araneus mitificus (Simon, 1886)	+	+			
	SUBIOZ2	Araneus sp.	-	+			
	SUBIOZ4	Cyclosa spirifera Simon, 1889	+	+			
	SUBIOZ5	Cyclosa sp.1	+	-			
	SUBIOZ6	Cyclosa sp.2	+	-			
	SUBIOZ7	Eriovixia excelsa (Simon, 1889)	+	+			
	SUBIOZ9	Gasteracantha sp.	+	-			
Orb-web builders	SUBIOZ10	Gea subarmata Thorell, 1890	+	-			
	SUBIOZ11	Larinia chloris (Audouin, 1826)	+	-			
	SUBIOZ12	Neoscona achine (Simon, 1906)	+	+			
	SUBIOZ14	Neoscona theisi (Walckenaer, 1841)	+	+			
	SUBIOZ15	Neoscona vigilans (Blackwall, 1865)	+	-			
	SUBIOZ16	Neoscona sp. 1	+	+			
	SUBIOZ17	Neoscona sp. 2		-			
	SUBIOZ19	Neoscona sp. 3		+			
	SUBIOZ20	Neoscona sp. 4	+	+			
Sheet-web builders	Family: Filistatidae						
	SUBIOZ21	Pritha dharmakumarsinhjii Patel, 1978	+	-			
Crown d rown ow	Family: Gnaphosidae						
Ground runners	SUBIOZ22	Setaphis subtilis (Simon, 1897)	-	+			

Ta	bl	e 1	. (	Сс	nt	in	uec	I.
----	----	-----	-----	----	----	----	-----	----

				Sites				
Functional guild	Registration No. Species Name		<b>S1</b>	S2				
	Family: Hersilidae							
Foliage nunters	SUBIOZ23 Hersilia sp.		+	+				
C	Family: Lycosidae							
Ground runners	SUBIOZ24	Lycosa sp.	+	-				
Spage web buildow	Family: Oecobidae							
Space-web builders	SUBIOZ25	Oecobius sp.	+	+				
	Family: Oxyopidae							
	SUBIOZ26	Oxyopes bharatae Gajbe, 1999	+	+				
Stallrow	SUBIOZ27	Oxyopes birmanicus Thorell, 1887	+	+				
Starkers	SUBIOZ28	Oxyopes chittrae Tikader, 1965	+	-				
	SUBIOZ29	Oxyopes javanus Thorell, 1887	+	+				
	SUBIOZ34	Peucetia viridana (Stoliczka, 1869)	+	+				
	Family: Philodromidae							
Ambushers	SUBIOZ35	Thanatus sp. 1	+	+				
	SUBIOZ36	Thanatus sp. 2	+	-				
Ambushows	Family: Pisauridae							
Ambushers	SUBIOZ37	Parenethis sp.	+	-				
	Family: Salticidae							
	SUBIOZ38	Hasarius adansoni (Audouin, 1826)	+	+				
	SUBIOZ40	Langona aperta (Denis, 1958)	+	+				
	SUBIOZ42	Langona sp. 1	-	+				
	SUBIOZ44	Langona sp. 2		+				
Stalkers	SUBIOZ45	Menemerus bivittatus (Dufour, 1831)	+	+				
	SUBIOZ46	Phintelloides sp.		-				
	SUBIOZ47	Phintella vittata (C. L. Koch, 1846)		+				
	SUBIOZ48	Plexippus paykulli (Audouin, 1826)		+				
	SUBIOZ49	Stenaelurillus jagannathae Das, Malik & Vidhel, 2015		+				
	SUBIOZ50	Telamonia sp.	-	+				
	SUBIOZ51	Thyene imperialis (Rossi, 1846)	+	+				
Foliogo huntors	Family: Scytodidae							
ronage numers	Foliage hunters SUBIOZ52 Scytodes sp.		-	+				
Orth much build and	Family: Tetragnathidae							
Ord-web builders	SUBIOZ53	Leucage sp.	+	-				
	Family: Therididae							
Space-web builders	SUBIOZ54	Theridion melanostictum O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1876	+	-				
	Family: Thomisidae							
Ambushers	SUBIOZ55	Tmarus sp.	+	-				
	SUBIOZ56	Thomisus sp.	+	-				
	Family: Uloboridae							
Orb-web builders	SUBIOZ57	Uloborus plumipes Lucas, 1846	+	+				
	SUBIOZ58	Uloborus sp.	-	+				

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

# Study area

ı

The experiment was conducted from June 2019 to November 2019 (for 06 months) from vegetative stages of the Mango orchards at the Village Morjar (21°22'43.5"N, 71°06'51.9"E) and Village Dudhala (21°09'34.8"N, 71°03'21.1"E). Both sites are located at the North - East of Peninsular Saurashtra region, Tehsil Dhari, District Amreli, Gujarat, India (Fig. 1). A total area of the Morjar site is spread over 69,618 m<sup>2</sup> and the Dudhala site is spread over 45,017 m<sup>2</sup>.

## Methods of collection

Proposed work was conducted during June to November 2019 (06 months) of vegetative crop stage of spiders in Mango orchards at two villages namely Morjar and Dudhala, Amreli district, Gujarat. For the collection of taxa a quadrate method was employed at random and samplings completed under total 36



Figure 3. Distribution of spiders according to guild structure from Study sites (Sampling unit N=72).

visits continuous 06 days in a month (03 days/site). Total 72 quadrates (single tree as a quadrate with size between  $04 \times 04$  m to  $07 \times 07$  m as per diameter of a single tree) were obtained for samplings in morning (08:00 to 10:00hours) for two hours using aerial hand collection, ground hand collection pitfall traps, sweeping net, umbrella, beating vegetation and litter sampling methods on suitability.

## Preservation and identification

As spiders invented, the collection was kept into plastic bottles with small holes for aeration. In the laboratory, only voucher specimens were transferred to 70% alcohol for later identification and kept into specimen tubes 6.0 x 2.5 cm sized glass vials with screw caps with labelling and remaining common spiders were free to nature for the conservation aspects. The detailed examination for identification of species made under stereo-zoom dissecting binocular

 
 Table 2. Diversity Indices of spiders in Mango orchards of Amreli District, Gujarat, India

Diversity Indices	Site1	Site 2	Overall
Taxa—S	39	30	47
Individuals	88	78	166
Dominance—D	0.05	0.08	0.06
Simpson—1/D	19.17	13	17.20
Simpson—1-D	0.95	0.92	0.94
Shannon—H	3.33	2.99	3.35
Evenness-e^H/S	0.71	0.66	0.61
Margalef richness d	8.48	6.65	8.99
Sorensen similarity index	_	_	64%

microscope (Stemi 305 Zeiss ISH500) up to generic and species level with updates. Accurate identification on the family, genus and species level is only feasible with an adult specimen. A detailed taxonomic study was carried out based on the various references available on (WSC 2020). Microscopic Photographs of spider were taken using Power Shot A2300 HD Digital Camera, Canon along with above mentioned binocular stereomicroscope and habitus photographs taken by Nikon Coolpix P900 camera.

# Data analysis

Data analysis attained checklist with systematics, species composition, familial population up to genus and species level, functional guild analysis, site wise diversity indices, Sørensen similarity index and a photographic plate of spiders with their habitus (Tables 1 and 2, Figs. 2-5).

Ecological indices like Simpson diversity (1-D and 1/D); Shannon diversity-H', evenness index (e), Dominance (D) and Margalef's species richness (d) were computed using PAST software of (Hammer et al. 2001). Sørensen similarity index of (Sørensen 1948) was calculated by the given below formula :

$$QS = \frac{2C'}{A+B}$$

Where A and B are the number of species in site 1 and site 2, respectively and C is the number of species shared by the two sites.



## RESULTS

Out of invented 166 spiders of infra order: Araneomorph, Order: Araneae; total 47 morphospecies, 30 genera and 15 families were recorded during the study period (Table 1). Among them 13 families, 88 individuals, 27 genera and 39 species recorded from Morjar site whereas 09 families, 78 individuals, 20 genera and 30 species recorded from the Dudhala site. The overall supreme population found from family Araneidae with 74 individuals, 7 genera and 16 species which is followed by Salticidae representing 39 individuals, 8 genera and 11 species (Fig. 2). Guild structure analysis (Uetz et al. 1999) revealed seven feeding guild (i.e. stalkers, orb-web builders, space web builders, ambushers, foliage hunters, ground runners and sheet-web builders). Most dominant feeding guild were orb-web builders (51.2%) followed by stalkers (36.7%), ambushers (4.2%), foliage hunters (3%), space web builders (2.4%) ground runners (1.8%) and sheet-web builders (0.6%) of the total collected spiders (Fig. 3).



Figure 5. Showing habitus photographs of the spiders recorded in study sites during study period..

## **Diversity assessment**

This study indicated that total diversity indices from both study site records dominance (D): 0.06, Simpson index (1/D): 17.20, Simpson index (1-D): 0.94, Shannon Weiner Index (H): 3.35, Evenness index (e): 0.61 and Margalef species richness (d): 8.9. Diversity indices of Morjar site records dominance (D): 0.05, Simpson index (1/D): 19.17, Simpson index (1-D): 0.95, Shannon Weiner Index (H): 3.33, Even- ness index (e): 0.71 and species richness d: 8.48. Diversity indices from Dudhala site records dominance (D): 0.08, Simpson index (1/D): 13, Simpson index (1-D): 0.92, Shannon Weiner Index (H): 2.99, evenness index (e): 0.66 and species richness (d): 6.65. The result indicate that diversity indices significant in Morjar site as compare to Dudhala site. According to the Sorensen similarity index, the result at the level of species were 64% similar in both study sites during the study period. It may be due to the habitat structure of Mango orchards farms and micro climatic characteristics of the study sites (Table 2).

# DISCUSSION

A total of 15 spider families distributed among 30 genera and 47 species were reordered during the study A total of 15 spider families distributed among 30 genera and 47 species were reordered during the study 791 791 period. It represents 37.5 % of the total 40 families reported from Gujarat (Yadav et al. 2017); 25 % of the total 60 families reported from India (Keswani et al. 2012); 12.5% of the total 120 families reported from the world (World Spider Catalog 2020). There are many environmental factors that affect species diversity. Some of these factors include seasonality, spatial heterogeneity, competition, predation, habitat type, environmental stability and productivity (Rosenzweig 1995).

Diversity values varied considerably among both study sites and similar habitat types did not necessarily have similar diversities. There was significant difference between the diversity, evenness and richness among the study sites. The result shows diversity indices significant in Morjar site as compare to Dudhala site. It may be due to food availability, environmental stability and less disturbance. It revealed that no one habitat structure of mango orchard site was less important than another (Downie et al. 1999 and New 1999) have revealed that spiders are extremely sensitive to small changes in the habitat structure, including habitat complexity, litter depth and microclimate characteristics. Thus the physical structure of environments has an important influence on the habitat preferences of spider species, especially web-building species (Uetz 1991, Hurd and Fagon 1992).

# CONCLUSION

It concludes that the possible such high level of occurrence of spider species within six months reveal that habitat structures of selected study areas may be suitable for a diverse group of spiders and environmental factors like climate, habitat structure, food availability, habitat stability and anthropogenic activities having a huge impact on species richness and population of spiders.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Mr. Shahin Dal and Mr Iqbal Dal for helping in field work. Authors are also thankful to Mr. Nilesh Solanki and Mr. Ghanshyam Patel for permitting to collect spiders from their Mango orchard farms. Also special thanks to Hiteshkumar Parmar and Yogesh Khandla for giving a valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- Bristowe W.S.(1941)The comity of Spiders, Ray. Society, London. Vol.2, pp. 1—560.
- Dean D., Sterling W. and Horner N.(1982) Spiders in Eastern Texas Cotton fields. J. Arachnol.10 : 251-260.
- Downie I.S., Wilson W.L., Abernethy V.J., McCracken D.I., Foster G.N., Ribera I., Murphy K.J., Waterhouse A.(1999) The impact of different agriculture land-use on epigeal spider Diversity in Scotland. J. Insect Conserv. 3 : 273—286.
- Gajbe U.A.(1999) Studies on some spiders of the family: Oxyopidae (Araneae: Arachnida) from India. Records of the Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta. Occasional Paper 97 (3): 31—79.
- Hammer Ø., Harper D.A.T., Ryan P.D.(2001) PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. Palaeontologia Electronica 4(1): 1 — 9.
- Hurd L.E. and Fagon W.F.(1992) Cursorial spiders and succession: Age or habitat structure? Oecologia 92:215—221.
- Kagan M.(1943) The Araneidae found on cotton in Central Texas. Ann. Ent. Soc. Am. 36: 257-258.
- Keswani S., Hadole P., Rajoria A.(2012) Checklist of spiders (Arachnida: Araneidae) from India. Ind. J. Arachnol. 1:1—129.
- Marc P., Canard A., Ysnel F.(1999) Spiders (Araneae) useful for pest limitation and bio indication. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 74: 229—273.
- New T.R.(1999) Untangling the web: Spiders and the challenges of invertebrate conservation. J. Insect Conserv. 3 :251-256.
- Nyffeler M., Benz G.(1987) Spiders in natural pest control: A review. J. Appl. Ent. 103 : 321–329.
- Phartale N., Shinde A., Gyananath G., Kadam J.A. (2014) Diversity and abundance of spiders in mango fields of Latur district, Maharashtra state. J. Entomol. Res. 38 : 295—299.
- Rosenzweig M.L.(1995) Species diversity in space and time. Cambridge University Press, New York.

- Sorensen T.(1948) A method of establishing groups of equal amplitude in plant sociology based on similarity of species content. Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab, Biologiske Skrifter, (Copenhagen) 5 : 1—34.
- Tikader B.K.(1965) On some new Species of Spiders of the Family: Oxyopidae from India. The Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. LXII (3) : 140—144.
- Trivedi V., Dal P.(2019). Occurrence, distribution and description of *Loxosceles rufescens* (Dufour, 1820) (Araneae: Sicariidae) from western India. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society 116: 1-8. doi:10.17087/jbnhs/2019/v116/123017.
- Uetz G.W.(1991) Habitat structure and spider foraging. In:Bell S.S., McCoy E.D.and Mushinsky H.R. (eds). Habitat structure: The physical arrangement of objects in space. Chapman and Hall, London.

- Uetz G.W., Halaj J., Cady A.B.(1999) Guild structure of spiders in major crops. J. Arachnol. 27 : 270–280.
- Whitcomb W., Bell K.(1964) Predacious Insects, Spiders and Mites of Arkansas Cotton field. Bull. Ark. Univ. 690: 1-83.
- Wise D.H.(1993) Spiders in Ecological Webs. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- World Spider Catalog (2020) World Spider Catalog Version 21.0. Natural History Museum Bern, online at http://wsc. nmbe.ch, accessed on 10 April 2020: doi : 10.24436/2.
- Yadav A., Solanki R., Siliwal M., Kumar D.(2017) Spiders of Gujarat: A preliminary checklist. J. Threatened Taxa 9(9): 10697—10716.