Environment and Ecology 38 (3A) : 598-611, July-September 2020 ISSN 0970-0420

On Some Differences in the Response of *Picea* spp. and *Abies* spp. Single – Tree Biomass Structure to Changes in Temperatures and Precipitation in Eurasia

Vladimir A. Usoltsev, Seyed Omid Reza Shobairi, Ivan S. Tsepordey, Viktor P. Chasovskikh

Received 21 April 2020 : Accepted 15 June 2020 : Published on 6 July 2020

ABSTRACT

Genera Picea spp. and Abies spp. grow together in most of the boreal zone, but it is still unknown whether there are differences in the response of their biomass structure to climate change. This article presents the first attempt to answer this question at the transcontinental level on a special case for these two genera. The research was carried out using the database compiled by the authors on the single-tree biomass structure for Eurasia, in particular, data of 666 and 359 sample trees for spruces and firs respectively. Multi-factor regression models are calculated after combining the matrix of initial data on the structure of tree biomass with the mean January temperature and mean annual precipitation and their adequacy indices allow us to consider them reproducible. As a result of a comparative analyzing of the biomass structure of single-trees of two gen-

Vladimir A. Vsoltsev, Viktor. P. Chasovskikh

Ural State Forest Engineering University Sibirskiitrakt Str, 37, Yekaterinburg 620100, Russian Federation

Ivan S. Tsepordey Botanical Garden, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ural Branch, 8 Marta Str, 202a, Yekaterinburg 620144, Russian Federation

Seyed Omid Reza Shobairi

Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, No. 219 Ningliu Rd, Nanjing 210044, China E-mail: Omidshobeyri214@gmail.com Corresponding author era in the hydrothermal gradients of the territory of Eurasia, we cannot make a clear conclusion in favor of firs or spruces. When analyzing differences in the biomass trends of fir and spruce trees in relation to climate variables, it is stated that these differences are related only changes in temperatures, but not in precipitation. The percentage excess of fir relative to spruce for all components of the biomass changes the sign from positive in cold regions to negative in warm ones. A number of uncertainties that arose during the modeling process, as well as the preliminary nature of the obtained regularities, are noted.

Key words Genera *Picea* spp. and *Abies* spp., Tree biomass comparing, Allometric models, Mean January temperature, Mean annual precipitation.

INTRODUCTION

Forest floor biomass is a key ecosystem component and an important part of the global carbon cycle. It plays a fundamental role in our knowledge of the carbon exchange between plant communities and the atmosphere in the face of anthropogenic climate shifts (Ni et al. 2001). Because climate violatins affects the localization of natural areas, established during long-term evolution of vegetation (Emanuel et al. 1985, Kobak and Kondrasheva 1992, Mäkipää et al. 2015, Kosanic et al 2018, Roberts 2019), this inevitably entails changes in plant cover productivity (Kobak and Kondrasheva 1985, Dulamsuren et al. 2013, Bennett et al. 2015, Schaphoff et al. 2016,

598

Fang et al. 2016, Duan et al, 2018) and accelerated dynamics of successions and dominated species in their course (Bolte et al. 2014). To forecast the impact of climate violations on forest area productivity, it is necessary to know the relations between forest biomass and climate indices (Stegen et al 2011, Dymond et al 2016). Today, climate- sensitive biomass models are being developed at the levels both forests stands (Manogaran 1974, Lieth 1974, DeLucia et al, 2000, Niet al. 2001, Stegen et al. 2011, Fang et al. 2016) and single trees (Forrester et al. 2017, Zeng et al. 2017).

However, investigating relations between tree and stand bioproductivity and hydrothermal indices, in particular, temperature and rainfalls, are performed mainly at local or regional levels, often for indices that are depersonalized by age, forest structure and also without taking into account species composition. How climate shifts affects the productivity of single-tree genera in continental gradients and whether it affects, is not known today at all, because the available knowledge is sketchy and controversial.

Spruces and firs grow together in most of the boreal forests. Consequently, fir species, despite their almost universal distribution with spruce species have their own historical development characteristics and biological and ecological features. Fir is warm, water and nutrient dependent thereby its area limits goes southward while spruce area is limited to the north and usually lower-along the vertical profile in highlands. It forms a shrubby type of seed origin on the area altitude limits whereas on the latitude limits on the lowlands of European Russia, not being able to compete with spruce and moss formation it degrades and switches to vegetative reproduction shaping a layering form without cones. Fir has a deeper root system than spruce which makes it more wind resistant on lowlands and needs a better soil aeration. Unlike spruce, fir cannot exist next to excessively developed moss formation. It does not survive a stagnant moistening and is not generally attached to decaying windfall for reproduction (Usoltsev 2019).

The response of these forests to climate change

depends on individual characteristics of the resistance to climate change of each of the constituent species. However, these species-specific features are not sufficiently studied today. In Central Europe, Norway spruce (Piceaabies) is a species with the most unpredictable response to a warmer and drier climate in the future, while silver fir (Abies alba) is likely to benefit from warming of climate if the frequency of climate extremes will be not excessive (Bošela et al. 2019). Raising of temperature of almost 2°C in Alaska over the past 50 years correlates with the substantial declines in white spruce tree growth (McGuire 2010). Nevertheless, Rößiger et al. (2019) consider it unreasonable to simply extrapolate current changes in the state of spruce forests, as well as intensive renewal of fir, for the foreseeable future, since it is not known whether these trends are only related to climate shifts.

Recently, a comparative analysis of the accuracy and correctness of different methods for estimating the bioproductivity of some tree species was fulfilled and it was obtained that allometric equations designed at a tree scale give a smaller forecast error compared to models performed at a stand scale (Zeng et al. 2018). Such single-tree allometric equations for mixed stands are particularly relevant. A climate-sensitive aboveground tree mass model led to higher forecast accuracy of tree mass than those without climatic variables for three larch species (Fu et al. 2017). In the study of the sensitivity of the allometric equations for aboveground and root mass of larches in China to shifts in hydro- and thermal conditions, it was stated that raising average temperature by 1°C leads to increase in tree aboveground mass at 0.87% and reduce root one at 2.26% and raising average annual rainfalls by 100 mm causes a deop in aboveground and root mass at 1.52 and 1.09% respectively (Zeng et al. 2017). In such studies, the task is to take off the climate signals from the residual dispersion of a dependence calculated. To made climate factors to be predominant and "recoverable" from this "information noise", we need to involve in an equation, in addition to the diameter and height of a tree stem, also its age, which is a factor influencing structure of mass of a tree too (Nikitin 1965, Kazaryan 1966, Usoltsev 1972, Tsel'niker 1994, Vanninen et al. 1996, Bond-Lamberty et al. 2002, Genet et al.

Regions	Species of the genera <i>Picea</i> spp. and <i>Abies</i> spp	Ages, yrs	Ranges: DBH, cm	Heights, m	Data number
		Picea	spp.		
			11		
West and Central Europa	P. abies (L.) Karst.	10÷250	1.7÷67.6	2.1÷42.8	353
The Ukraine and Belorussia	P. abies (L.) Karst.	21÷66	5.7÷48.0	7.5÷32.0	75
European Russia	P. abies (L.) Karst.	18÷208	0.9÷51.5	1.7÷32.4	172
Ural region Russian Far East	P. obovata L. Piceagianensis	28÷141	1.0÷37.9	1.4÷25.1	59
	Fisch. ex Carr.	63÷163	6.7÷30.7	5.8÷20.1 Total	7 666
		Abies	spp.		
Central Europa	A. alba Mill.	51÷137	7.2÷38.3	9.5÷27.5	20
The Ukraine	A. alba Mill.	8÷94	1.4÷53.5	2.3÷30.6	193
Ural region Russian Far East	<i>A. sibirica</i> L. <i>A. nephrolepis</i>	20÷164	0.8÷45.3	1.6÷28.5	127
Japan	Maxim. A. sachalinensis Mast.	56÷160	7.7÷31.7	5.3÷21.5	7
	A. veitchii Lindl.	20÷119	4.3÷52.0	4.5÷24.6 Total	12 359

Table 1. Distribution of the 1125 sample trees by species, countries, regions and mensuration indices.

2011, Fatemi et al. 2011, Ochal et al. 2013, Qiu et al. 2018), as well as climate sensitivity (Crrer and Urbinati 2004, Yu et al. 2008).

We try first in our study to fulfil comparative analysis of changes in the biomass fraction structure of spruce (Picea spp.) and fir (Abies spp.) trees by Trans-Eurasian hydro- and thermal ranges on the base of allometry (more correctly, pseudo-allometry) using the unique Eurasian database of harvest tree biomass (Usoltsev 2016). Since climate variables are geographically determined, it can be expected that the development of allometric biomass equations, including not only the stem age, height and diameter as independent variables, but also climate indices, will allow to isolate and quantify some violations in the structure biomass of equal-aged and equal-sized trees as related to climate indices and will provide climate-sensitivity of models designed (Forrester et al. 2017, Zeng et al. 2017, Fu et al. 2017).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From the biomass database, the data for the genera *Picea* spp. and *Abies* spp. in a number of 1025 trees, including 666 and 359 for spruces and firs respectively, were taken (Table 1). There were available only 180 definitions for root biomass.

The joint analysis of different species of the same genus is caused by the impossibility of growing the same tree species throughout Eurasia, as a result of which their areas within the genus are confined to certain ecoregions. These are substitutive or vicariate species that arose in cases of geologically long-standing separation of a once-continuous area (Tolmachev 1962) or as a result of climate-related morphogenesis (Chernyshev 1974).

Each sample plot on which tree biomass estimating was made is positioned relatively to the isolines of the mean January temperature and relatively to the



Fig. 1. Distribution of sample plots, where 666 and 359 trees of spruces (squares) and firs (circles) correspondingly have been harvested, on the map of the mean January temperature, °C (World Weather Maps 2007, https:-store.mapsofworld.com/image/cache/data/map_2014/currents-and-temperature-jan-enlarge-900x700.jpg).

isolines of mean annual precipitation (Figs. 1 and 2). The use of evapotranspiration as a combined index in the assessment of tree production is futile, since it explains only 24% of its variability compared to 42%, which provides the relation to mean annual precipitation and compared to 31%, which provides the relation to mean annual temperature (Ni et al. 2001). Therefore, the use of temperature and precipitation



Fig. 2. Distribution of sample plots, where 666 and 359 trees of spruces (squares) and firs (circles) correspondingly have been harvested, on the map of the mean annual precipitation, mm (World Weather Maps 2007, http://www.mapmost.com/world-precipitation-map/ free-world-precipitation-map/).

indices taken from World Weather Maps (2007) are preferable as of the most informative climatic factors.

It was found that when estimating stem biomass growth by using the annual ring width, the greatest contribution to explaining its variability being made by summer temperature accounting for from 16% of the total dispersion (Berner er al. 2013) to 50% of the residual one (Bouriaud et al. 2005). Moreover, a specificity of the relationship between stand biomass the annual ring width depends on what intra-annual temperature was taken as a predictor (Bouriaud et al. 2005). It was established by Khan et al. (2019) that this relationship is positive with the maximum intra-annual temperature and negative with the minimum and average annual temperature. With an inter-annual time step, the predominant influence of summer temperature is quite normal. But against the background of long-term climatic shifts for decades, the prevailing influence is acquired by winter temperatures (Morley et al. 2017), because current temperatures have a greater limiting effect on growth in the North (Matala et al. 2006). Besides, it is well known that winter temperatures in the Northern hemisphere are increased faster than summer ones during the 20th century (Emanuel et al. 1985 Folland et al. 2001, Laing and Binyamin 2013, Felton et al. 2016).

The final structure of the equation includes only those mass-forming indices that are statistically significant for all biomass components and it has the form:

 $\begin{array}{l} \ln Pi = a_{0i} + a_{1i} \left(\ln A \right) + a_{2i} \\ (\ln D) + a_{3i} \left(\ln H \right) + a_{4i} \left(\ln D \right) \left(\ln H \right) + a_{5i} B + a_{6i} B \left[\ln (T + 40) \right] + a_{7i} B \\ (\ln PR) + a_{8i} \left[\ln (T + 40) \right] + a_{qi} \left(\ln PR \right) + a_{10i} \left[\ln ((T + 40)) \right] . (\ln PR), (1) \end{array}$

Where, P_i is dry mass of i^{th} fraction, kg; A is age of a tree, yrs; *D* is diameter at breast height of a tree, cm; *H* is total height of a tree, m; *i* is the index of biomass fraction : Stem over bark (P_s), foliage (P_j), branches (P_b) and aboveground (P_a); *B* is the binary variable coordinating the biomass data of spruces (B = 1) and firs (B=0); *T* is average temperature of January, °C; *PR* is average annual rainfall, mm.

Along with the three main mass-forming variables-tree age A, diameter D and height H of a tree, the

product (ln*D*) (ln*H*) is introduced as an additional independent variable, due to the fact that as a tree height decreases, the height of the measurement of a stem diameter shifts to the stem apex and the allometry is violated (Usoltsev et al. 2019). So we suggest to call this modified allometry as pseudo-allometry (because traditional allometry is not comply). Since the average temperature of January on the North of Eurasia has negative values, this independent variable is modified to be subjected to log-log procedure as B + 40.

When we introduce only one binary variable Binto equation (1), this means that the 3-D surface (temperature - precipitation - biomass) in X-Y-Z coordinates shifts along the Z (ordinate) axis by the value of the regression coefficient at the binary variable B. According to our assumption, the tree biomass of spruces and firs reacts differently to changes in temperature and rainfalls. In order to take these differences into account in the designed model, in (1), along with B, we introduce the synergisms B [in (T +40)] and B (in PR) as independent variables. If there is no statistical significance of the named synergism, the ratio of tree biomass in spruces and firs remains constant throughout the temperature and precipitation ranges and in the case of its statistical significance, the named ratio of biomass changes according to these ranges.

RESULTS

The regression coefficients of the multiple regression equation (1) are calculated using the Statgraphics software (see htt://www.statgraphics.com/ for more information) and then are given in Table 2a after the correction for logarithmic transforming by Basker-ville (1972) and anti-log transformation procedure. The synergism B (lnPR) as independent variable was not significant. The most of regression coefficients at numeric variables of equations (1) are characterized by the levelof significance on 0.05 and better and the resulting equations are adequate to the original values presented in the available database. Some regression coefficients that are significant at the level 0.10 were not excluded from the calculation of the equations in order to ensure consistency of the obtained patterns.

The results of tabulating the equations (1). repre-

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$P_{a}^{D} = 9.63E-18 \qquad A^{0.0147} \qquad D^{1.1251} \qquad H^{-0.1484} \qquad D^{0.3015(lnH)} \qquad e^{4.6809B}$ $P_{i} \qquad Regression model calculated \qquad adjR^{2*} \qquad SE^{*}$ $P_{j}^{P} = (T+40)^{-1.3803B} \qquad (T+40)^{13.7654} \qquad PR^{7.0694} \qquad (T+40)^{-1.9161(lnPR)} \qquad 0.988 \qquad 1.23$ $P_{j}^{P} = (T+40)^{-0.9277B} \qquad (T+40)^{2.4242} \qquad PR^{-1.7101} \qquad (T+40)^{0.442(lnPR)} \qquad 0.894 \qquad 1.57$ $P_{k}^{P} = (T+40)^{-0.3692B} \qquad (T+40)^{-1.55488} \qquad PR^{-7.2930} \qquad (T+40)^{2.2881(lnPR)} \qquad 0.875 \qquad 1.72$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$D_{h} = (T+40)^{-0.3692B} = (T+40)^{-15.5488} PR^{-7.2930} = (T+40)^{2.2881(\ln PR)} = 0.875 = 1.72$
$\int_{a}^{b} (T+40)^{-1.3462B} (T+40)^{10.3407} PR^{5.3138} (T+40)^{-1.4141(\ln PR)} 0.985 1.23$
$P_{a} = (T+40)^{-1.3462B} = (T+40)^{10.3407} PR^{5.3138} = (T+40)^{-1.4141(\ln PR)} = 0.985 = 1.23$ Table 2b. Characteristics of regression model (2) calculated.

o-4.8635B

Table 2a. Characteristics of regression model (1) calculated. *The abbreviation $adjR^2$ is a coefficient of determination adjusted for the number of parameters ; SE – equation standard error.

sent a rather cumbersome table. We took from it the calculated data of biomass fractions for the age of 100 years, D equal to 20 cm and H equal to 18 m and built 3D-graphs of their dependence on temperature and rainfalls (Fig. 3).

D0.1449(lnD)

 $D^{-0.44167}$

R/S

24490

Since the quantity of trees with measured root mass is 7 times less than the quantity of trees that have aboveground biomass, we risk getting fake patterns that logically contradict (do not correspond) to the patterns shown in Fig. 3. It is known that relative (dimensionless) indices are more unified than absolute values (Detlaf and Detlaf 1982) and have genetically determined stability (Lyr et al. 1967). Therefore, we will try to use the regression method to explain the variability of the ratio of underground to aboveground biomass (R/S as root : shoot ratio). Initially, the structure of the model (1) is adopted for the analysis of the R/S ratio. But, as expected, a part of the regressors of the model (1) was not significant and the equation (2) is finally obtained see Table 2.

Its graphical interpretation is shown in Fig. 4. Equation (2) is characterized by a fairly low coefficient of determination, since it is known that the closer the relationship between two factors, the less their relationship is explained by known determining factors (Usoltsev 1985). However, the Student's criteria determining the significance of the coefficients of the model (2) are quite high and are 3.5, 5.4, 2.1, 2.1 and 5.1, which is more than 2.0. We can see that as we move from warm to cold zones, the *R/S* ratio for thin trees (D = 8 cm) increases from 0.12 to 0.47 and for thick trees (D = 32 cm 0.21 to 0.77, but in percentage terms it does not depend on the tree thickness and increases by 2.7 times.

0.418

1.38

 $(T+40)^{-3.2982}$

DISCUSSION

 $(T+40)^{1.3922B}$

When analyzing the 3D-surface shown in Fig. 3, we will distingguish two stages : In the first of them, we note the patterns common to trees in fir and spruce stands and then, when considering in detail, we establish what and how differ the resulting patterns between fir and spruce trees.

With regard to stem and aboveground biomass, we can see that it increases with increasing precipitation in cold zones and stays without difference in warm ones, but as temperature rises, their trends differ significantly. As one moves from warm to cold zones, the mass of branches in both species increases, but only in areas of moderate moisture and in areas of abundant moisture, this trend decreases in spruces



Fig. 3. Dependence of single-tree biomass in fir (a) and spruce (b) upon the mean January temperature (*T*) and mean annual precipitation (*PR*). Designations : *Ps*, *Pf*, *Pb* and *Pa* are respectively dry biomass of stem, foliage, branches and aboveground, kg.



Fig. 4. Change of the theoretical R/S ratio of fir (a) and spruce (b) in relation to tree stem diameter under different mean January temperature (T).

and is leveled in firs. As one moves from warm zone to cold one, the mass of spruce and fir foliage changes in the opposite ways and as the moisture level increases, it changes slightly in both species.

Turning to the analysis of differences in the biomass trends of fir and spruce trees according to the second stage, it should be noted that, judging by the structure of the calculated equation (1), these differences are related only to changes in temperatures, but not in precipitation, since the synergism B (lnPR) was not statistically significant. Changes in the excess percentage of different components biomass of fir trees above spruce ones due to changes in January temperature are shown in Table 3.

We can see that the percentage excess of fir relative to spruce for all components of the biomass changes the sign from positive in cold regions to negative in warm ones. This excess is equal to zero, i.e. the biomass of firs and spruces is the same, at an average winter temperature of about-10°C, which corresponds to the territory of European Russia.

The most interesting question is how much the structure of forest biomass will change with an assumed temperature deviation, for example, by 1°C and with a deviation of precipitation from the usual norm, for example, by 100 mm per year. The constructed model gives the answer to such question in relation to forest trees. To do this, we take the first derivative of ous 3-dimensional surfaces (Fig. 3) and not analytically, but graphically, i.e. we take off the biomass difference interval (Δ , %) corresponding to temperature interval 1°C and precipitation interval 100 mm directly from the graphs or from the corresponding tables, and get the answer in the form of three-dimensional surfaces divided into plus and minus areas that correspond to the increase or decrease in the biomass of trees having the age of 100 years, DBH equal to 20 cm and *H* equal to 18 m (Figs. 5 and 6).

Table 3. Change in the excess percentage of different components biomass of fir trees above spruce ones due to changes in January temperature.

Mean January temperature, °C										
Biomass										
component	-20	-16	-12	- 8	- 4	-0	- 4			
Stems	50.6	36.5	21.4	5.5	-11.1	28.5	-46.6			
Foliage	20.9	6.3	- 8.1	-22.3	- 36.4	-50.4	- 64.3			
Branches	4.8	-1.8	-7.8	-13.2	-18.2	-22.9	-27.3			
Aboveground	47.7	33.1	17.7	1.5	- 15.4	- 33.0	- 51.2			



Fig. 5. Change of tree biomass in firs (a) and spruces (b) when temperature assumes to be increase by 1°C due to the expected climate change at different territorial levels of temperature and precipitation. Symbols Δs , Δf , Δb and Δa on the ordinate axes mean the change (±%) of biomass of stems, foliage, branches and aboveground, respectively, with the temperature increase by 1°C and at the constant precipition.

In this case, the differences between the biomass of fir and spruce trees become more obvious (Figs. 5 and 6). If the stem, foliage and aboveground biomass of fir trees increases when the temperature grows by 1°C (the entire 3D surface is located above the zero plane), then branches biomass in the same conditions decreases (located below the zero plane). Opposite these regularities, almost all biomass components



Fig. 6. Change of tree biomass in firs (a) and spruces (b) when precipitation assumes to be increase by 100 mm due to the expected climate change at different territorial levels of temperature and precipitation. The symbols Δs , Δf , Δb and Δa along the ordinate axes represent the change (\pm %) of biomass of stems, foliage, branches and aboveground, respectively, with precipitation increase by 100 mm and at the constant mean temperatures of January.

in spruces decrease in the same conditions (located below the zero plane) (Fig. 5).

When the rainfalls grow by 100 mm at the same initial regional values of temperature and precipitation, between fir and spruce biomass there is no differences. In the total range from 600 to 800 mm, aboveground and stem, as well as partly branches biomass increase (the entire 3D surface is located above the zero plane), but foliage biomass decreases (located below the zero plane) (Fig. 6).

Regardless of the stem diameter and the level of precipitation in thermal zones in the range from 0 to -20° C, when the temperature is expected to increase by 1°C, the *R/S* ratio decrease and most strongly in fir (Fig. 7).

Besides, we have some uncertainties related to obtained regularities :

The patterns of biomass amount change under assumed changed climatic conditions (Figs. 5 and 6) are hypothetical. They reflect long-term adaptive responses of forest stands to regional climatic conditions and do not take into account rapid trends of current environmental changes, which place series constraints on the ability of forests to adapt to new climatic conditions (Gvnish 2002, Alcamo et al. 2007, Berner et al. 2013, Schaphoff et al. 2016, Spathelf et al. 2018, Vasseur et al. 2018, DeLeo et al. 2019, Denney and Anderson 2019, Sperry et al. 2019). The law of limiting factors (Liebig 1840, Shelford 1913) works well in stationary conditions. With a rapid change in limiting factors (such as air temperature or precipitation), forest ecosystems are in a transitional (non-stationary) state, in which some factors that were still not significant may come to the fore and the end result may be determined by other limiting factors (Odum 1975).

In equations (1), three mass-determining factors (A, D and H) take upon himself the main share of the explained variance : For the masses of stems, foliage, branches and aboveground 72, 91, 85 and 74%, respectively. Climate variables and differences between fir and spruce trees account for only 9 to 28% of the variability. The structure of these "residual" variables is highly variable and heterogeneous. In addition to the uneven filling the initial data matrix (Figs. 1 and



Fig. 7. Change of *R/S* ratio in firs (a) and spruces (b) when temperature assumes to be increase by 1°C due to the expected climate change at different territorial levels of temperature.

2), there are discrepancies between the age periods of mapping and calendar ages of different biomass components, between the large step temperature and precipitation isolines on the maps and local topography features, as well as local soil differences, despite the fact that the soil zoning reflects the action of climatic factors (Dokuchaev 1948, Rukhovich et al. 2019).

Taking into account the stated methodological and conceptual uncertainties, the results presented in this study provide a solution to the problem only in the first approximation and should be considered as preliminary ones and having not so much factual as methodological significance. They can be modified if the biomass database will be enlarged by additional data, mainly site-specific and stand-specific characteristics as well as by more advanced and correct methodologies.

CONCLUSION

As a result of a comparative study of the biomass structure of single-trees of genera *Picea* spp. and *Abies* spp. in the hydrothermal gradients of the territory of Eurasia, we can not make a clear conclusion in favor of firs or spruces.

When analyzing differences in the biomass trends of fir and spruce trees in relation to climate variables, it is stated that these differences are related only to change in temperatures, but not in precipitation.

It is found that stem and aboveground biomass of equal-sized and equal-aged spruce and fir trees increases with increasing precipitation in cold zones and stays without visible difference in warm ones, but as temperature rises, their trends differ significantly.

With moving from warm to cold zones, the mass of branches in both species increases, but only in areas of moderate moisture and in areas of abundant moisture, this trend decreases in spruces and is leveled in firs.

As one moves from warm zone to cold one, the mass of spruce and fir foliage changes in the opposite ways and as the moisture level increases, it changes very slightly in both species.

The percentage excess of fir relative to spruce for all components of the biomass changes the sign from positive in cold regions to negative in warm ones.

Regardless of the level of precipitation in thermal zones in the range from 0 to–20°C, when the winter temperature is expected to increase by 1°C, the R/S ratio decreases and most strongly in fir.

The results presented can be accounted for as the first approximation only.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper was prepared within the programs of the current scientific research of the Ural Forest Engineering University and Botanical Garden of the Ural Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences.

REFERENCES

- Alcamo J, Moreno JM, Nováky B, Bindi M, Corobov R, Devoy RJN et al. (2007) Europe: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: Parry ML, Canziani OF, Palutikof JP, van der Linden PJ, Hanson CE (eds). : Climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 541—580.
- Baskerville GL (1972) Use of logarithmic regression in the estimation of plant biomass. Canada J For Res 2 : 49–53.
- Bennett S, Wernberg T, Arackal Joy B, de Bettignies T, Campbell AH (2015) Central and rear-edge populations can be equally vulnerable to warming. Nature Communications 6 (10280): 1-7. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms10280.
- Berner LT, Beck PSA, Bunn AG, Goetz SJ (2013) Plant response to climate change along the forest-tundra ecotone in Northeastern Siberia. Global Change Biol 19 : 3449-3462. DOI : 10.1111/gcb.12304.
- Bolte A, Hilbrig L, Grundmann BM, Roloff A, Brunet J (2014) Climate change induced forest succession—the role of disturbances. Hahn A et al. (eds). An international conference of IUFRO unit 4.02.00 on Forest Cover Change Freising, Germany, 2nd—4th April 2014 : 13.
- Bond-Lamberty B, Wang C, Gower ST (2002 Aboveground and belowground biomass and sapwood area allometric equations for six boreal tree species of Northern Manitoba. Canada J For Res 32 : 1441—1450.
- Bošela M, Kulla L, Rößiger J, Šebeň V, Dobor L, Büntgen U, Lukac M (2019) Long-term effects of environmental change and species diversity on tree radial growth in a European forest. For Ecol and Manag 446 : 293—303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.05.033.
- Bouriaud O, Bréda N, Dupouey JL, Granier A (2005) Is ring width a reliable proxy for stem-biomass increment? A case study in European beech. Canada J For Res 35 : 2920—2933. DOI: 10.1139/×05-202.
- Carrer M, Urbinati C (2004) Age-dependent tree-ring growth responses to climate in Larix decidua and Pinus cembra. Ecology 85: 730—740.
- Chernyshev VD (1974) Pathways of physiological and energetic adaptations of conifers under extreme conditions. In : Biologicheskieproblemy Severa : Tezisy VI Simp. (Biological Problems of the North : Abstr. VI Symp). Yakustk : Inst Biol, Yakutsk Fil Sib Otd Akad Nauk SSSR 5 : 13—17 (Rus).
- DeLeo VL, Menge DNL, Hanks EM, Juenger TE, Lasky JR (2019) Effects of two centuries of global environmental variation on phenology and physiology of *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Global Change Biology, pp 1—16. DOI : 10.1111/gcb. 14880.

- DeLucia EH, Maherali H Carey EV (2000) Climate-driven changes in biomass allocation in pines. Global Change Biol 6 (5) : 587—593. DOI : 10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00338.x.
- Denney DA, Anderson JT (2019) Natural history collections document biological responses to climate change : A commentary on DeLeo et al. (2019), Effects of two centuries of global environmental variation on phenology and physiology of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Global Chang Biol, pp 1—3. DOI :10. 1111/gcb.14922.
- Detlaf TA, Detlaf AA (1982) Dimensionless criteria as a method of quantitative characterization of animal development. In : Mathematical biology of development. Moscow, Nauka Publishing, pp 25—39 (Rus).
- Dokuchaev VV (1948) The Theory of Nature Zones. Moscow, Geografgiz, pp 63 (Rus).
- Duan H, Huang G, Zhou SH, Tissue DT (2018) Dry mass production, allocation patterns and water use efficiency of two conifers with different water use strategies under elevated (CO₂), warming and drought conditions. Europ J For Res 137 : 605—618. DOI : 10.1007/s 10342-018-1128-x.
- Dulamsuren C, Wommelsdorf T, Zhao F, Xue Y, Zhumadilov BZ, Leuschner C, Hauck M (2013) Increased summer temperatures reduce the growth and regeneration of *Larix sibirica* in Southern boreal forests of Eastern Kazakhstan. Ecosystems 16 : 1536—1549.
- Dymond CC, Beukema S, Nitschke CR, Coates KD, Scheller RM (2016) Carbon sequestration in managed temperate coniferous forests unde climate change. Biogeosciences 13 : 1933—1947. DOI : 10.5194/bg-13-1933-2016.
- Emanuel WR, Shugart HH, Stevenson MP (1985) Climate change and the broad scale distribution of terrestrial ecosystem complexes. Climate Change 7 : 29–43.
- Fang O, Yang Wang Y, Shao X (2016) The effect of climate on the net primary productivity (NPP) of *Pinus koraiensis* in the Chang bai Mountains over the past 50 years. Trees 30 : 281—294. DOI: 10.1007/s00468-015-1300-6.
- Fatemi FR, Yanai RD, Hamburg SP, Vadeboncoeur MA, Arthur MA, Briggs RD, Levine CR (2011) Allometric equations for young Northern hardwoods : The importance of age-specific equations for estimating aboveground biomass. Canada J For Res 41 : 881—891.
- Felton A, Nilsson U, Sonesson J, Felton AM, Roberge JM, Ranius T, Ahlström M, Bergh, Bjorkman C, Boberg J, Drössler L, Fahlvik N, Gong P, Holmström E, Keskitalo ECH, Klapwijk MJ, Laudon H, Lundmark T, Niklasson M, Nordin A, Pettersson M, Stenlid J, Sténs A, Wallertz K (2016) Replacing monocultures with mixed-species stands: Ecosystem service implications of two production forest alternatives in Sweden. Ambio 45 (Suppl 2) : 124–139. DOI 10.1007/s13280-015-0749-2.
- Folland CK, Palmer TN, Parker DE (2001) Climate change 2001 : The scientific basis. In: Houghton JT et al. (eds). Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 1032.
- Forrester DI, Tachauer IHH, Annighoefer P, Barbeito I, Pretz-

sch H, Ruiz-Peinado R, Stark H, Vacchiano G, Zlatanov T, Chakraborty T, Saha S, Sileshi GW (2017) Generalized biomass and leaf area allometric equations for European tree species incorporating stand structure, tree age and climate. For Ecol and Manag 396 : 160—175.

- Fu L, Sun W, Wang G (2017) A climate sensitive aboveground biomass model for three larch species in Northeastern and Northern China. Trees 31 : 557—573. DOI :10.1007/s-00468-016-1490-6.
- Genet A, Wernsdörfer H, Jonard M, Pretzsch H, Rauch M, Ponette Q, Nys C, Legout A, Ranger J, Vallet P, Saint-André L (2011) Ontogeny partly explains the apparent heterogeneity of published biomass equations for *Fagus sylvatica* in central Europe. For Ecol and Manag 261(7):1188— 1202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.12.034.
- Givnish TJ (2002) Adaptive significance of evergreen vs deciduous leaves : Solving the triple paradox. Silva Fennica 36 (3) : 703—743. DOI:10.14214/sf.535.
- Kazaryan VO (1966) Aging of higher plants as ontogenetic attenuation of root-shoot ratios. Reports of Yerevan Symposium on ontogenesis of higher plants. Yerevan, Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the Armenian SSR, pp 155—194 (Rus).
- Khan D, Muneer MA, Nisa ZU, Shah S, Saeed S, Uddin S, Munir MZ, Lushuang G, Huang H (2019) Effect of Climatic Factors on Stem Biomass and Carbon Stock of *Larix gmelinii* and *Betula platyphylla* in Daxing' anling Mountain of Inner Mongolia, China. Advances in Meteorology, Article 5692574 : 1—10. DOI : 10.1155/2019/5692574.
- Kobak KI, Kondrasheva NYU (1985) Anthropogenic impacts on forest ecosystems and the role of these impacts in the global carbon cycle. Bot J 70 (3) : 305–313 (Rus).
- Kobak KI, Kondrasheva NYU (1992) Changes in localization of natural zones under global warming. Russia J Ecol 3 : 9–18.
- Kosanic A, Anderson K, Harrison S, Tukington T, Bennie J (2018) Changes in the geographical distribution of plant species and climatic variables on the West Cornwall Peninsula (South West UK). PLoS ONE 13 (2): e0191021.
- Laing J, Binyamin J (2013) Climate change effect on winter temperature and precipitation of Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, Canada from 1943 to 2011. Am J Climate Change 2:275—283.
- Liebig Justus von (1840) Die organische Chemie in ihrer Anwendung auf Agricultur und Physiologie. Braunschweig, Verlag Vieweg. In : Deutsches Textarchiv<http://www.deutschestextarchiv.de/liebig_agriculture_1840>, abgerufen am 26.11.2019.
- Lieth H (1974) Modeling the primary productivity of the world. Int Section for Ecol Bull 4 : 11–20.
- Lyr H, Polster H, Fiedler HJ (1967) Gehölzphysiologie. Jena, VEB Gustav Fischer Verlag, pp 444.
- Mäkipää R, Villén-Peréz S, Salemaa M, Heikkinen J (2015) Potential changes in the species' range of geographical distribution. In : 17th IBFRA Conference Towards a New Era of Forest Science in Boreal Region. May 24—29, Rovaniemi, Finland, pp. 134.
- Manogaran C (1974) Climatic limitations of the potential for tree growth in Southern forests. Forestry Abstracts 35 (11): 642.

- Matala J, Ojansuu R, Peltola H, Raitio H, Kellomäki S (2006) Modelling the response of tree growth to temperature and CO2 elevation as related to the fertility and current temperature sum of a site. Ecol Modelling 199 : 39—52.
- McGuire AD (2010) Recent impacts of climate change in Alaska and other boreal regions. The International Forestry Review : Forests for the Future : Sustaining Society and the Environment. XXIII IUFRO World Congress, 23–28 August 2010, Seoul, Republic of Korea. Parrotta JA, Carr MA (eds). Abstracts, pp 20.
- Morley JW, Batt RD, Pinsky ML (2017) Marine assemblages respond rapidly to winter climate variability. Global Change Biol 23 : 2590—2601. DOI : 10.1111/gcb.13578.
- Ni J, Zhang XS, Scurlock JMO (2001) Synthesis and analysis of biomass and net primary productivity in Chinese forests. Ann For Sci 58 : 351384.
- Nikitin KE (1965) Forest and mathematics. Lesnoe Khozyaistvo Forest Management 5 : 25—29. (Rus).
- Ochal W, Wertz B, Socha J (2013) Evaluation of aboveground biomass of black alder. Forest Biomass Conference 2013, 7—9 October 2013, Mierzęcin, Poland. Book of Abstracts. Andrzej M Jagodziński, Andrzej Węgiel (eds). Poznań, pp 40.
- Odum EP (1975) Fundamentals of Ecology. Moscow : "Mir" Publishing. Translated from : Odum EP. Fundamentals of Ecology. 3rd edn. Philadelphia-London-Toronto, WB Saunders Company, 1971, pp 740.
- Qiu Q, Yun Q, Zuo SH, Yan J, Hua L, Ren Y, Tang J, Li Y, Chen Q (2018) Variations in the biomass of Eucalyptus plantations at a regional scale in Southern China. J For Res 29 (5): 1263—1276.
- Roberts SM (2019) The role of cyclical climate oscillations in species distribution shifts under climate change. Predicting Future Oceans, Sustainability of Ocean and Human Systems Amidst Global Environmental Change, Chapter 13 : 129–135. DOI : 10.1016/B978-0-12-817945-1.00011-3.
- Rößiger G, Kulla L, Bošel'a M (2019) Changes in growth caused by climate change and other limiting factors in time affect the optimalequilibrium of close-to-nature forest management. Central Europ For J 65 : 180—190. DOI: 10.2478/forj-2019-0023.
- Rukhovich DI, Pankova EI, Kalinina NV, Chernousenko GI (2019) Quantification of the parameters of zones and facies of chestnut soils in Russia on the basis of the climatic-soil-textural index. Eurasa Soil Sci 52 (3) : 271–282. DOI : 10.1134/s1064229319010125.
- Schaphoff S, Reyer CPO Schepaschenko D, Gerten D, Shvidenko A (2016) Tamm Review: Observed and projected climate change inpacts on Russia's forests and its carbon balance. For Wcol and Manag 361 : 432—444.
- Shelford VE (1913) Animal communities in temperate America as illustrated in the Chicago region: A study in animal ecology. Issue 5, Part 1. Pub for the Geographic Society of Chicago by the University of Chicago Press, pp 362.
- Spathelf P, Stanturf J, Kleine M, Jandi R, Chiatante D, Bolte A (2018) Adaptive measures : integrating adaptive forest management and forest landscape restoration. Ann For Sci 75 (2) : 55. DOI: 10. 1007/S13595-018-0736-4.
- Sperry JS, Venturas MD, Todd HN, Trugman AT, Anderegg

WRL, Wang Y, Tai X (2019) The impact of rising CO_2 and acclimation on the response of US forests to global warming. Proc Nat Acad Sci the United States of Am 116 (51): 25734—25744.

- Stegen JC, Swenson NG, Enquist BJ, White EP, Phillips OL, Jorgensen PM, Weiser MD, Mendoza AM, Vargas PN (2011) Variation in aboveground forest biomass across broad climatic gradients. Global Ecol and Biogeogr 20 (5) : 744—754. DOI : 10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00645.x.
- Tolmachev AI (1962) Osnovyucheniyaobarealakh : Vvedenie v khorologiyurasteniy (Fundamentals of Plant Habitat Theory : Introduction to Plant Community Chorology), Leningrad, State University Publishing, pp 100 (Rus).
- Tsel'niker YUL (1994) Structure of spruce crown. Lesovedenie. Russa For Sci 4 : 35–44.
- Usoltsev VA (1972) Birch and aspen crown biomass in forests of Northern Kazakhstan. Vestnik Selskokhozyaystvennoy Nauki Kazakhstana. Bull Agric Sci Kazakhstan 4 : 77—80 (Rus).
- Usoltsev VA (1985) Modeling the structure and dynamics of forest stand biomass. Krasnoyarsk, Krasnoyarsk University Publishing, pp 191 (Rus). Available at ://elar.usfeu.ru/ handle/123456789/3353.
- Usoltsev VA (2016) Single-tree biomass of forest-forming species in Eurasia : Database, climate-related geography, weight tables, Yekaterinburg : Ural State Forest Engineering University, pp 336. Available at: http://elar.usfeu.ru/handle/123456789/5696.
- Usoltsev Vladimir (2019) Forest Arabesques or Sketches of Our Trees' Life. 3rd edn, modified. Radomska Szkola Wy-

zsza w Radomiu. Radom, Poland, pp 200. DOI : http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2551187.

- Usoltsev VA, Zukow W, Osmirko AA, Tsepordey IS, Chasovskikh VP (2019) Additive biomass models for *Larix* spp. singletrees sensitive to temperature and precipitation in Eurasia. Ecol Questions 30 (2) : 57—67. DOI :10.12775/EQ.2019.-012.
- Vanninen P, Ylitalo H, Sievänen R, Mäkelä A (1996). Effects of age and site quality on the distribution of biomass in Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). Trees 10 : 231–238.
- Vasseur F, Exposito Alonso M, Ayala Garay OJ, Wang G, Enquist BJ, Vile D, Violle C, Weigel D (2018) Adaptive diversification of growth allometry in the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Nat Acad Sci the United States of Am 115 (13) : 3416—3421. DOI : 10.1073/pnas.1709141115.
- World Weather Maps (2007) URL. (https://www.mapsofworld. com/referrals/weather).
- Yu G, Liu Y, Wang X, Ma K (2008) Age-dependent tree-ring growth responses to climate in Qilian juniper (*Sabina* przewalskii Kom.). Trees 22:197-204. DOI 10.1007/s-00468-007-0170-y.
- Zeng WS, Chen XY, Pu Y, Yang XY (2018) Comparison of different methods for estimating forest biomass and carbon storage based on National Forest Inventory data // Forest Research, 31 (1) : 66—71 (KNT. pe3. aHΓπ.).
- Zeng WS, Duo HR, Lei XD, Chen XY, Wang XJ, Pu Y, Zou WT (2017) Individual tree biomass equation and growth models sensitive to climate variables for *Larix* spp. in China. Europ J For Res 136 (2) : 233—249.DOI : 10.-1007/s10342-017-1024-9.