Environment and Ecology 40 (3C) : 1733—1741, July—September 2022 ISSN 0970-0420

Variations in the Toxicity of Insecticides against *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) at Elevated CO, and Temperature Conditions

Deekshita K., Krishnayya P. V., Srinivasa Rao M., A. K. Patibanda, Sk. Nafeez Umar

Received 23 February 2022, Accepted 3 August 2022, Published on 26 August 2022

ABSTRACT

The effect of eCO_2 ad eTemp on the toxicity of insecticides viz., spinosad, emamecctin benzoate, thiodicarb, monocrotophos and fenvalerate against *S. litura* grown under different set conditions was studied. The efficacy of spinosad and fenvalerate decreased with increase in temperature under both aCO_2 and eCO_2 and exhibited negative temperature coefficient. The toxicity of spinosad decreased by 3.33 folds at eCO_2 over aCO_2 (3.07 folds) at temperature difference of $7^{\circ}C$ (28 - 35°C), which indicated 3.07 and 3.33 times

Deekshita K.*

Department of Entomology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Guntur, Andhrapradesh 522101, India

Krishnayya P. V.¹, A. K. Patibanda², ¹Retd Professor, ²Professor and Head Department of Entomology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, Guntur, Andhrapradesh 522101, India

Srinivasa Rao M.

Principal Scientist, Department of Entomology, Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad, Telangana 500059, India

Sk. Nafeez Umar

Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics and Mathematics, SV Agricultural College, Tirupathi, Andhrapradesh

Email: deekshitakonchada@gmail.com *Corresponding author

higher concentration of spinosad was required at 35°C than at 28°C of both aCO_2 and eCO_2 to achieve equal control levels. Similarly fenvalerate also exhibited negative relationship with temperature and 4.93 and 5.75 times higher insecticide concentration was required at warmer conditions. The insecticides emamectin benzoate, thiodicarb and monocrotophos were positively correlated with temperature. The lethality of emamectin benzoate (3.62 and 3.20 folds), thiodicarb (4.00 and 3.43 folds) and monocrotophos (4.10 and 3.43 folds) increased at the temperature difference of 7°C when compared with 28°C of both aCO_2 and eCO_2 .

Keywords Climate change, Elevated carbondioxide, Elevated temperature, Lethal concentrations, Temperature coefficient.

INTRODUCTION

Global Mean Surface Temperature (GMST) and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations have been increasing at an alarming rate since 19th century. The projected increase in temperature by 2100 was set by 1.4 -5.8° C with the increase in the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere by about 40% when compared with pre-industrial levels. The increase in the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere would reach to 500 to 1000 ppm by the end of 21st century (IPCC 2014). Agriculture in future will inevitably face challenges in terms of production, productivity, herbivore damage and alteration in higher trophic levels. The prime drivers of climate change viz., elevated temperature (*e*Temp.) and carbondioxide (eCO_2) have lot of implications in agricultural sector, influencing crops and herbivore insect pests.

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) an edible oilseed affected several biotic and abiotic stresses, among the biotic stresses, tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* Fab. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a major insect pest causes total losses in crop yields (Bilapate and Chakravarthy 1999). Integration of cultural, physical, mechanical, biological and need based application of insecticides formed into IPM module for the management of *S. litura*.

Higher crop yields depend on adoption of effective plant protection measures. The efficacy of insecticides is influenced by variety of factors viz., type of insect pests (Sparks *et al.* 1982), metabolic activities of insects (Malik *et al.* 2018), detoxification enzymes and environmental conditions (Punzo and Kirk 1992). Temperature coefficients of the insecticides show the association between temperatures and insecticide toxicity and with a positive or negative temperature coefficients (Glunt *et al.* 2013).

Elevated temperature has prominent effect on insecticide effectiveness and results in breakdown of particular insecticide into either more or less toxic metabolites and may vary with type of insecticides. The influence of temperature is well documented (Mansoor *et al.* 2015, Teja *et al.* 2018) but on CO_2 is scanty. Reduced efficacy of insecticides under eCO_2 and *e*Temp conditions was reported due to altered metabolism or increasing insecticide detoxification (Matzrafi 2019).

It is predicted that incidence of *S. litura* would be higher in near and distant future climate change scenarios (Srinivasa Rao *et al.* 2015) and control of this pest is important to reduce the yield losses to ensure food security. The present pest control strategies need to be altered while selecting the appropriate insecticides during climate change scenario. The higher temperatures usually influence the efficacy of insecticides and often cause 'differential toxicity' either with 'increased' or 'decreased' toxic levels against insect pests and in turn effect the biochemical reactions (Srigiriraju *et al.* 2010). It was hypothesized that eCO_2 and eTemp influences the toxicity of insecticides and in turn may lead to frequent applications of insecticides. The estimation of temperature coefficients at eCO_2 and eTemp conditions will give scope for the future requirement of insecticide during climate change periods. This will help in framing pest control strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted by using an unique facility Carbondioxide and Temperature Gradient Chambers (CTGC) which was designed realistically to study the combined impact of eCO, and eTemp which are the prime drivers of climate change. The chambers represent field like environment with higher CO₂ and temperature conditions which influence the crop growth and insect pests (Srinivasa Rao et al. 2018) and the facility has eight chambers with 30 meters length, 6 meters width and 4 meters height at center. The eight chambers represent different set conditions and are categorized as follows; two chambers with uniform concentrations of ambient CO_2 (*a* CO_2 ; 380 ± 25 ppm at 28°C); two chambers with only elevated temperatures ('eTemp' of 29°C, 31°C, 33°C and 35°C); two chambers with elevated carbon dioxide and temperature ($eCO_2 + eTemp$, 550 \pm 25 ppm at 29°C, 31°C, 33°C and $\overline{35}$ °C) and two chambers with elevated CO₂ concentration (eCO2, 550 ± 25 ppm at 28°C).

Maintenance of crop

Sunflower crop was sown in the CTGC chambers during June 2017 at ambient $(380 \pm 25 \text{ ppm at } 28^{\circ}\text{C})$, eTemp (29, 31, 33 and 35°C), $e\text{CO}_2 + e\text{Temp}$ (550 $\pm 25 \text{ ppm at } 29^{\circ}\text{C}$, 31°C, 33°C and 35°C) and $e\text{CO}_2$ (550 $\pm 25 \text{ ppm}$; 28 °C). Thirty days after sowing, the leaves of sunflower grown at respective conditions were used for conducting bioassays. The crop was maintained under insecticide free condition to facilitate the provision of untreated leaf in the bioassay experiments and to capture the impacts of $e\text{CO}_2$ and eTemp appropriately on test insect.

Maintenance of test insect

The egg masses of S. litura were collected from field

and initially maintained in the entomology laboratory at Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture (CRIDA) to buildup the population. Later the insects were maintained at respective set conditions (eCO_2 and eTemp conditions viz., 550 and 380 ± 25 ppm and 28, 29, 31, 33 and 35 ± 0.5°C inside the growth chambers).

Preparation of insecticides

Five insecticides (Spinosad, Emamectin benzoate, Thiodicarb, monocrotophos and fenvalerate) with different mode of action were selected for testing the effect of eCO₂ and eTemp on their toxicity against S. litura. The required amount of insecticide for preparing one per cent stock solution was weighed accurately, further required working solutions were prepared following serial dilution technique. Bioassays were conducted on third instar (six day old, 30 mg) larvae of S. litura (Balasubramanian 1982) under laboratory conditions using leaf dip method (Method No. 7 of IRAC 2014). The tender leaves of sunflower grown at respective set conditions dipped in the respective test insecticidal solutions for about 25 seconds and then shade dried at room temperature. Ten newly moulted third instar larvae were released for each concentration, at each set condition and the leaves dipped in distilled water was considered as untreated control. Initially bracketing was conducted for each insecticide at each set conditions with wider range of concentrations followed by narrow range to get mortality in the range of 15-95%.

Statistical analysis

The dead or moribund larvae of *S.litura* in each concentration at each set conditions was assessed at 24 h interval up to three days (24, 48 and 72 h after treatment HAT). The mortality at 72 HAT was considered as end point for the assessment of the toxicity of test insecticide as reported by (Fisk and Wright 1992). The per cent mortality in each concentration was calculated and corrected by Abbott's formula (Fleming and Ratnakaran 1985).

The per cent Mortality data recorded after 72 HAT was subjected to probit analysis (Finney 1971) by using Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS) to calculate LC_{50} , LC_{75} and $LC_{99,9}$ of all insecticides, with corresponding slope of regression lines, fiducial limits and chi-square values at each set conditions. The temperature coefficients of each individual insecticide were calculated as the ratio of higher LC_{50} to that of lower LC_{50} (Musser and Shelton 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the current study, the impact of eTemp and eCO₂ were measured by estimating LC₅₀ values and temperature coefficients of insecticides against *S. litura*.

Table 1. Effect of eCO_2 and eTemp on lethal concentrations (%) of spinosad against third instar larvae of *S. litura.* $aCO_2 - 380 \pm 25$ ppm, $eCO_2 - 550 \pm 25$ ppm. SE – Standard error. * $\chi 2$ (chi square) values given in the above table are less than the tabular values and are homogenous. ** Temperature coefficient = Ratio of higher to lower LC_{50} value for 2 and 7°C difference in temperature. Lethal concentrations (LC) were recorded at 95% Fiducial limits.

Set conditions	LC_{50}	LC ₇₅	LC _{99.9}	Slope (±SE)	χ2*	**Temperature coefficients 2°C 7°C
<i>a</i> CO ₂ + 28 °C	0.0026 (0.0022 - 0.0031)	0.0061 (0.0053 - 0.0074)	0.0520 (0.0340 - 0.0950)	1.94 (±0.15)	4.46	
$aCO_2 + 29 \text{ °C}$	0.0033	0.0074	0.0521	1.85 (±0.16)	5.55	-1.26
	(0.0029 - 0.0038)	(0.0064 - 0.0082)	(0.0352 - 0.0699)			
$aCO_2 + 31 \text{ °C}$	0.0043 (0.0033 -0.0047)	0.0101 (0.0086 - 0.0120)	0.0989 (0.0892 - 0.1183)	1.87 (±0.20)	4.18	-1.24
<i>a</i> CO ₂ +33 °C	0.0058 (0.005 - 0.0066)	0.0135 (0.012 - 0.0151)	0.1042 (0.0912 - 0.1162)	1.65 (±0.21)	8.73	-1.41
<i>a</i> CO ₂ +35 °C	0.0083 (0.0074 - 0.0094)	0.0177 (0.0162 - 0.0240)	0.1321 (0.0120 - 0.1511)	1.54 (±0.29)	1.68	-1.43 -3.07

Table 1. Continued.

Set conditions	LC ₅₀	LC ₇₅	LC _{99.9}	Slope (±SE)	χ2*	**Temperature coefficients 2°C 7°C	
$eCO_2 + 28 \text{ °C}$	0.0043	0.0108	0.0840	2.22 (±0.16)	7.35		
$eCO_2 + 29 \text{ °C}$	0.0055	0.0123	0.0951 (0.0704 - 0.1162)	2.07 (±0.26)	8.85	-1.28	
$eCO_2 + 31 \text{ °C}$	0.0072	0.0153	0.1180 (0.0924 - 0.1240)	1.85 (±0.26)	7.76	-1.31	
<i>e</i> CO ₂ +33 °C	0.0099	(0.0201) (0.0170 - 0.0212)	0.1290	1.93 (±0.19)	7.84	-1.38	
<i>e</i> CO ₂ +35 °C	0.0143 (0.1190 - 0.0140)	0.0251 (0.0207 - 0.0360)	0.1600 (0.1412 - 0.1820)	1.77 (±0.18)	8.41	-1.44 -3.33	

The findings of the study indicated that LC_{50} values of selected insecticides varied significantly at both *e*Temp and *e*CO₂ levels.

The Lethal concentrations (LC₅₀, LC₇₅ and LC_{99,9}) of tested five insecticides varied significantly across all conditions and the results were presented



Fig. 1. Effect of eCO_2 and eTemp on the toxicity of insecticides aganist S. litura.

in Tables 1 - 5 and Fig. 1. The Lethal values $(LC_{50},$ LC_{75} and $LC_{99,9}$) of spinosad increased with increase in temperatures (28, 29, 31, 33 and 35 °C) at aCO₂ $(380 \pm 25 \text{ ppm})$ and eCO_2 (550 ± 25 ppm) levels. The LC_{50} values of spinosad increased from 0.0033 to 0.0083 % with increase in temperature from 29 - 35° C at aCO₂ and were higher than at reference (0.0026 %). Similar increase in LC_{50} values from 0.0055 to 0.0143% with increase in temperatures (29 - 35°C) were noted at eCO_2 conditions and were higher than at aCO_2 and eTemp conditions (29 - 34 $^{\circ}$ C) which indicated the higher reduction in efficacy at eCO₂ and eTemp conditions. Similar trend was noted with LC75 and LC99.9 concentrations. Higher lethal concentrations of spinosad were recorded with increase in temperature from 29 to 35 °C at both aCO_{2} and eCO_2 and were higher than the LC_{50} values at reference $(aCO_2 + 28^{\circ}C)$. Thus spinosad exhibited negative temperature coefficients of 3.07 to 3.33 folds with increase in 7°C, temperature (28 - 35 °C) at both aCO_2 and eCO_2 (Table 1). which indicated 3.07 and 3.33 times higher concentration of spinosad

The results obtained in other studies of spinosad toxicity to *Phenococcus solenopsis* (Mansoor *et al.* 2014) and *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Musser and Shelton 2005) indicate a similar pattern of toxicity. High temperature controls the activity of microbial insecticides, and similar observation of reduced lethality at higher temperatures was noted with spinosad since it was a microbial insecticide (Weinzierl *et al.* 1998). Further, the negative temperature coefficient of insecticides might be due to higher rate of excretion of parent compound by the test insect (Weston *et al.* 2009) and this might be the reason for reduced toxicity of spinosad at higher temperature.

The LC₅₀ values of fervalerate increased from 0.04 to 0.23% at eCO₂ with increase in temperatures from 28 - 35 °C and were higher than the values at aCO₂ (0.028 - 0.138) and corresponding temperatures, showing the clear 'reduction of toxicity' of these

Table 2. Effect of eCO_2 and eTemp on lethal concentrations of fenvalerate against third instar larvae of *S. litura.* $aCO_2 - 380 \pm 25$ ppm, $eCO_2 - 550 \pm 25$ ppm. SE – Standard error, FL - Fiducial limits. * $\chi 2$ (Chi square) values given are less than the tabular values and are homogenous. ** Temperature coefficient = Ratio of higher to lower LC₅₀ value for 2°C and 7°C differences in temperature. Lethal concentrations (LC) were recorded at 95% Fiducial limits.

Set Conditions	LC ₅₀	LC ₅₀ LC ₇₅ LC _{99.5}		Slope (±SE)	χ2*	**Temperature coefficients 2°C 7°C	
$aCO_2 + 28 \text{ °C}$	0.028	0.087	0.1448	1.48 (±0.11)	8.03		
<i>a</i> CO ₂ + 29 °C	(0.022 - 0.033) 0.038 (0.034 - 0.051)	(0.0074 - 0.0107) 0.108 (0.0107 - 0.0161)	(0.0892 - 0.1800) 0.1918 (0.1096 - 0.1371)	1.40 (±0.14)	10.70	-1.36	
<i>a</i> CO ₂ + 31 °C	0.055 (0.052 - 0.073)	0.191 (0.0159 - 0.0237)	0.2899 (0.2659 - 0.3735)	1.35 (±0.11)	7.19	-1.45	
<i>a</i> CO ₂ + 33 °C	0.085	0.312 (0.0259 - 0.0393)	0.5344 (0.3148 - 0.6028)	1.39 (±0.11)	6.91	-1.55	
$aCO_2 + 35 \text{ °C}$	0.138 (0.133 - 0.183)	0.448 (0.037 - 0.0568)	0.6818 (0.3486 - 0.6586)	1.33 (±0.12)	7.35	-1.62	-4.93
<i>e</i> CO ₂ + 28 °C	0.040 (0.026 - 0.038)	0.105 (0.087 - 0.13)	0.1857 (0.1106 - 0.2782)	1.48 (±0.11)	6.81		
$eCO_2 + 29 \text{ °C}$	0.058 (0.044 - 0.067)	0.137 (0.0134 - 0.019)	0.2024 (0.1255 - 0.25680	1.44 (±0.14)	9.79	-1.45	
eCO ₂ +31 °С	0.088 (0.072 - 0.102)	0.257 (0.0217 - 0.0315)	0.3711 (0.2307 - 0.7092)	1.42 (±0.11)	3.43	-1.52	
<i>е</i> СО ₂ +33 °С	0.140 (0.117 - 0.168)	0.347 (0.0364 - 0.0582)	0.7484 (0.4156 - 0.5382)	1.34 (±0.12)	8.01	-1.59	
<i>e</i> CO ₂ + 35 °C	0.230 (0.186 - 0.261)	0.653 (0.0540 - 0.0829)	0.9104 (0.7371 - 0.1052)	1.32 (±0.14)	6.86	-1.64	-5.75

insecticides with *e*Temp and *e*CO₂ interactive conditions. Increased lethal values at higher temperatures indicates negative temperature coefficient of -5.75 folds at *e*CO₂ over *a*CO₂ (4.93 folds) at temperature difference of 7°C (28 - 35°C).

This clearly indicated that 5.75 and 4.93 times higher concentration of insecticides required at warmer temperatures (35°C) than at ambient temperatures (28°C) of both levels of CO₂ (Table 2).

Reduced pyrethroid toxicity with increase in temperature was documented with several insect species viz., *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Punzo 1993), *Trichopulsia ni*, *S. frugiperda* and *Heliothis virescens* (Sparks *et al.* 1982); *Chilo suppressalis* (Li *et al.* 2006) and *Musca domestica* (Khan and Akram 2014). The possible reasons for the reduced toxicity might be due to at low temperatures, pyrethroid exposed neurons receive a high concentration of the toxicant due to reduced biotransformation (Salgado *et al.* 1989). The biotransformation of pyrethroids was by means of ester hydrolysis leads to formation of less toxic metabolites at higher temperatures (Harwood *et al.* 2009). Pyrethroids are the axonic poisons which controls the movement of sodium ions during nerve impulse. The sensitivity of neurons increase between 15 - 20°C, which causes repetitive nerve firing resulting higher mortality of insects whereas at temperatures 30 - 35 °C, reverse was reported (Song and Narahashi 1996). At elevated temperatures, reduced nerve firing leads to lower mortality of insects.

In contrast to the results obtained with the spinosad and fenvalerate the lethal values of emamectin benzoate against third instar larvae of *S. litura* decreased from 0.0058 to 0.0016% and 0.0042 to 0.0014% with enhancing temperatures from $28 - 35^{\circ}$ C at aCO_2 and eCO_2 thus reflecting higher toxicity of insecticide at higher temperatures under both levels of CO_2 . Analogous trend was noted with LC_{75} and LC_{99} values. Higher toxicity of emamectin at higher temperatures indicate positive temperature coefficients of 3.20 folds and 3.62 folds with 7°C increase

Table 3. Effect of eCO_2 and eTemp on lethal concentrations (%) of emamectin benzoate against third instar larvae of *S. litura.* $aCO_2 - 380 \pm 25$ ppm, $eCO_2 - 550 \pm 25$ ppm. SE – Standard error, FL – Fiducial limits. * $\chi 2$ (chi square) values given in the above table are less than the tabular values and are homogenous. ** Temperature coefficient = Ratio of higher to lower LC_{50} value for 2 and 7 °C difference in temperature. Lethal concentrations (LC) were recorded at 95% Fiducial limits.

Set Conditions	LC_{50}	LC ₇₅	LC _{99.9}	Slope (±SE)	χ2*	**Temperature coefficients 2°C 7°C	
$aCO_2 + 28 \text{ °C}$	0.0058	0.0146	0.2849	1.28 (±0.14)	8.26		
2	(0.0048 - 0.0062)	(0.0107 - 0.0233)	(0.1259 - 1.0089)				
$aCO_2 + 29 $ °C	0.004	0.0136	0.1688	1.27 (±0.14)	7.28	+1.45	
2	(0.0036 - 0.0046)	(0.0110 - 0.0142)	(0.1428 - 0.1739)	× /			
$aCO_2 + 31 \text{ °C}$	0.0028	0.0105	0.1484	1.29 (±0.14)	8.41	+1.43	
2	(0.0026 - 0.0034)	(0.0092 - 0.0109)	(0.1292 - 0.1528)				
$aCO_2 + 33 \text{ °C}$	0.0021	0.0078	0.1137	1.30 (±0.14)	8.15	+1.33	
2	(0.0019 - 0.0024)	(0.0061 - 0.0085)	(0.1082 - 0.1285)				
$aCO_2 + 35 ^{\circ}C$	0.0016	0.0056	0.0775	1.45 (±0.12)	4.85	+1.31 +3.62	
-	(0.0014 - 0.0018)	(0.0046 - 0.0059)	(0.0562 - 0.0850)				
<i>e</i> CO ₂ + 28 °C	0.0042	0.0094	0.1548	1.35 (±0.14)	1.91		
-	(0.0035 - 0.0046)	(0.0073 - 0.0133)	(0.0784 - 0.4288)				
$eCO_2 + 29 \text{ °C}$	0.0034	0.0083	0.1384	1.35 (±0.14)	1.80	+1.41	
-	(0.0029 - 0.0034)	(0.0081 -0.0092)	(0.1184 - 0.1452)				
$eCO_2 + 31 \text{ °C}$	0.0025	0.0073	0.1304	1.32 (±0.12)	8.29	+1.36	
2	(0.0019 - 0.0026)	(0.0068 - 0.008)	(0.1201 -0.1501)				
$eCO_2 + 33 \text{ °C}$	0.0019	0.0055	0.0902	1.36 (±0.12)	9.78	+1.32	
2	(0.0016 - 0.0020)	(0.0052 - 0.0062)	(0.0712 - 0.1000)				
eCO ₂ +35 °C	0.0014	0.0043	0.0498	1.44 (±0.12)	3.66	+1.27 +3.20	
	(0.0012 - 0.0016)	(0.0036 - 0.0049)	(0.0362 - 0.0601)				

in temperatures from 28 - 35 °C at eCO_2 than aCO_2 (Table 3).

Analogous trend of increased toxicity of emamectin was also reported with other species viz., *Plutella xylostella* (Teja *et al.* 2018), *Sitophilus oryzae* (Malik *et al.* 2018) and *Diaphornia citri* (Boina *et al.* 2009). The increased mortality might be due to increase in physical activity of insects at higher temperature, enhancing the toxicity of avermectins (emamectin benzoate and abamectin) (Lin *et al.* 2013). At higher temperatures the insects treated with avermectins were more mobile on the treated surface and thus picking up more poisons, which leads to higher mortalities.

Similar to that observed with emamectin benzoate, the monocrotophos and thiodicarb insecticides showed positive correlation with temperature (Tables 4, 5). A similar increase in toxicity of both monocrotophos and thiodicarb was observed from 28 - 35°C under both levels of CO₂. The LC_{s0} values of monocrotophos decreased from 0.055 - 0.0134%and 0.0447 - 0.0128% with increase in temperature from 28 - 35°C. The LC₅₀ values of thiodicarb also decreased from 0.0092 - 0.0017% and 0.0059 - 0.0015% with rise in temperatures (28 - 35°C) under aCO_2 and eCO_2 . The toxicity of monocrotophos and thiodicarb was increased by + 4.10 and +4.00 folds at aCO_2 and + 3.47 and 3.43 folds at eCO_2 with 7°C rise in temperature from 28 - 35°C.

The χ^2 values obtained in the study for all the insecticides across different set conditions indicated that the population of *S. litura* was homogenous as reflected in lower χ^2 values than the tabular values at both levels of CO₂. The slope values were related with LC₅₀ values and were varied with insecticides. Higher the slope value, higher is the mortality (Jyothi and Brewer 1999). The slope values of spinosad and fenvalerate were higher at lower temperatures than at higher temperatures which indicated lower mortality of target species at higher temperature vice versa with emamectin benzoate, monocrotophos and thiodicarb.

Table 4. Effect of *e*CO2 and *e*Temp on lethal concentrations (%) of thiodicarb against third instar larvae of *S. litura.* aCO₂ - 380 ± 25 ppm, eCO₂ - 550 ± 25 ppm. SE - Standard error, FL - Fiducial limits. * χ 2 (Chi square) values given are less than the tabular values and are homogenous. ** Temperature coefficient = Ratio of higher to lower LC₅₀ value for 2 °C and 7°C differences in temperature. Lethal concentrations (LC) were recorded at 95% Fiducial limit.

Set conditions	LC ₅₀	LC ₇₅	LC _{99.9}	Slope (±SE)	χ2*	**Temperature coefficients	
						2°C	7°C
aCO ₂ +28°C	0.0092	0.0512	0.348	0.830 (±0.107)	4.935		
2	(0.0078 - 0.0131)	(0.0357 - 0.0857)	(0.335 - 0.355)				
$aCO_2 + 29^{\circ}C$	0.0059	0.0371	0.264	0.896 (±0.106)	1.783	+1.56	
-	(0.0053 - 0.0091)	(0.024 - 0.069)	(0.248 - 0.284)				
aCO ₂ +31°C	0.0042	0.0190	0.202	0.920 (±0.115)	0.464	+1.40	
-	(0.0038 - 0.0049)	(0.0139 - 0.0309)	(0.190 - 0.228)				
aCO ₂ +33°C	0.0031	0.0105	0.174	0.936 (±0.086)	1.159	+1.35	
2	(0.0029 - 0.0035)	(0.0080 - 0.0148)	(0.168 - 0.182)				
$aCO_2+35^{\circ}C$	0.0017	0.0057	0.126	0.958 (±0.087)	10.260	+1.24	+4.00
2	(0.0012 - 0.0019)	(0.0040 - 0.0091)	(0.112 - 0.138)				
eCO ₂ +28°C	0.0059	0.0279	0.284	0.798 (±0.106)	4.100		
2	(0.0049 - 0.0062)	(0.019 - 0.048)	(0.265 - 0.295)				
eCO ₂ +29°C	0.0039	0.0227	0.192	0.835 (±0.086)	1.292	+1.51	
2	(0.0032 - 0.0045)	(0.0158 - 0.0371)	(0.189 - 0.219)				
eCO ₂ +31°C	0.0029	0.0110	0.178	0.893 (±0.087)	1.528	+1.39	
2	(0.0024 - 0.0030)	(0.0084 - 0.019)	(0.162 - 0.185)				
eCO ₂ +33°C	0.0022	0.0106	0.148	0.932 (±0.084)	1.209	+1.33	
-	(0.0016 -0.0024)	(0.0069 - 0.0174)	(0.135 - 0.154)				
eCO ₂ +35°C	0.0015	0.0047	0.106	0.920 (±0.895)	0.893	+1.24	+3.47
-	(0.0015 - 0.0019)	(0.00327 - 0.00778)	(0.098 - 0.115)				

Table 5. Effect of eCO ₂ and eTemp on lethal concentrations (%) of monocrotophos against third instar larvae of S. litura. a CO ₂ – $380 \pm$
25 ppm; $eCO_2 - 550 \pm 25$ ppm. SE – Standard error ; FL - Fiducial limits. * $\chi 2$ (Chi square) values given are less than the tabular values from tab
and are homogenous. ** Temperature coefficient = Ratio of higher to lower LC ₅₀ value for 2 °C and 7°C differences in temperature.
Lethal concentrations (LC) were recorded at 95% Fiducial limits.

Set conditions	LC ₅₀	LC ₇₅	LC _{99.9}	Slope (±SE)	pe (±SE) χ2*		erature icients 7°C
	0.0550	0.0705	0.0075	2.01.((22		
$aCO_2 + 28^{\circ}C$	0.0550	0.0635	0.2075	2.91 (±0.32)	6.22		
GO 1 000G	(0.0445 - 0.0468)	(0.0556 - 0.0782)	(0.1460 - 0.3660)	2 (7 (10 22)	1.25	11.00	
$aCO_2 + 29^{\circ}C$	0.0325	0.0543	0.1915	$2.6/(\pm 0.23)$	1.35	+1.69	
	(0.03 - 0.035)	(0.04/2 - 0.0691)	(0.1669 - 0.2029)	2.55 (1.0.20)	4.00		
$aCO_2 + 31^{\circ}C$	0.0222	0.0388	0.1606	$2.75 (\pm 0.20)$	4.20	+1.46	
	(0.0209 - 0.0236)	(0.0352 - 0.0441)	(0.1208 - 0.1495)				
$aCO_2 + 33^{\circ}C$	0.0169	0.0296	0.1176	$2.87 (\pm 0.30)$	4.22	+1.31	
	(0.0155 - 0.0182)	(0.0273 - 0.0327)	(0.1037 - 0.1187)				
$aCO_2 + 35^{\circ}C$	0.0134	0.0231	0.0866	3.01 (±0.44)	1.23	+1.26	+4.10
	(0.0117 - 0.0149)	(0.0211 - 0.0257)	(0.0659 - 0.1000)				
$eCO_2 + 28^{\circ}C$	0.0447	0.0517	0.1908	3.21 (±0.39)	5.13		
2	(0.0281 - 0.0329)	(0.0456 - 0.0623)	(0.1748 - 0.2082)				
$eCO_{a} + 29^{\circ}C$	0.0284	0.0472	0.164	2.45 (±0.23)	1.48	+1.57	
2	(0.0263 - 0.03050	(0.0423 - 0.0551)	(0.1503 - 0.1627)	- ()			
eCO, + 31°C	0.0204	0.0358	0.1429	2.62 (±0.21)	1.69	+1.39	
2	(0.0186 - 0.0221)	(0.0327 - 0.0402)	(0.1296 - 0.1506)				
eCO. + 33°C	0.0161	0.0269	0.1044	$2.75(\pm 0.23)$	3.23	+1.27	
2002 . 55 0	(0.0155 - 0.0163)	(0.0246 - 0.0301)	(0.0981 - 0.1243)				
$eCO + 35^{\circ}C$	0.0128	0.0209	0.0725	$3.05(\pm 0.32)$	1.45	+1.24	+3.43
2002 - 55 0	(0.0112 - 0.0135)	(0.0195 - 0.0215)	(0.0062 - 0.0085)	5.05 (±0.52)	1.45	1.24	. 5.45

CONCLUSIONS

The insecticides spinosad and fenvalerate exhibited negative temperature coefficient whereas the insecticides emamectin benzoate, monocrotophos and thiodicarb exhibited positive temperature coefficient. The positive temperature coefficient of insecticides, suggest that these insecticides might provide effective control of *S. litura* under higher temperatures and the inverse relationship between temperature and toxicity of spinosad and fenvalerate suggest that these insecticides perform better under lower temperatures. The findings of the present study have important implications for the management of *S. litura*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Central Research Institute of Dryland Agriculture (CRIDA) for providing laboratory facilities and to Department of Entomology, ANGRAU. The authors are also thankful to Bayers crop Science for financial assistance in form of scholarship.

REFERENCES

- Aldridge WN (1971) The nature of the reaction of organo phosphorus compounds and carbamates with esterases. Bull World Hlth Organ 44: 25-33.
- Balasubramanian G (1982) The tobacco cut worm *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.) food utilization and the effect of insecticides. Dissertation of PhD submitted to TNAU, Coimbatore, pp 40-41.
- Bilapate, Chakravarthy (1999) Bioecology of sunflower and their pests management. IPM System in Agriculture, pp 319-347.
- Boina DR, Ebenezer OO, Masoud S, Lukasz LS (2009) Influence of post treatment temperature on the toxicity of insecticides against *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Psyllidae). *Bio One* 102(2): 685-691.
- Finney DJ (1971) Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press, London, pp 109.
- Fisk T, Wright DJ (1992) Speed of action and toxicity of acylurea insect growth regulators against *Spodoptera exempta* (Walk.) and *Spodoptera littoralis* (Biosd.) larvae: Effect of inter moult age. *Pestic Sci* 35: 331-337.

- Fleming R, Ratnakaran A (1985) Evaluation of single treatment data using Abbot's formula with reference to insects. Ind J Econ Entomol 78: 1179-1181.
- Gatto MP, Cabella R, Gherard M (2016) Climate change: The potential impact on occupational exposure to pesticides. *Ann Ist Super Sanita* 52(3): 374-385.
- Gerolt P (1976) The mode of action of insecticides: Accelerated water loss and reduced respiration in insecticide-treated *Musca domestica* L. *Pestic Sci* 7: 604-620.
- Glunt KD, Blanford JI, Paaijmans KP (2013) Chemicals, Climate and control: Increasing the effectiveness of malaria vector control tools by considering relevant temperatures. *PLOS Pathogens* 9(4): 1-15
- Grafius F (1986) Effects of temperature on pyrethroid toxicity to colorado potato beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J Econ Entomol 79(3): 588-591.
- Harwood AD, You J, Lydy MJ (2009) Temperature as a toxicity identification evaluation tool for pyrethroid insecticides: Toxicokinetic confirmation. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 28: 1051-1058.
- Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC) 2014 IRAC Susceptibility Test Methods Series Method No: 007 Version: 3.1 www.irac-online.org
- Jyoti JL, Brewer GJ (1999) Resistance in sunflower and interaction with *Bacillus thuringiensis* for control of banded sunflower moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Pl Res* 92(5): 1230-1233.
- Khan HAA, Akram W (2014) The effect of temperature on the toxicity of insecticides against *Musca domestica* L.: Implications for the effective management of diarrhea. *PLos One* 9(4): 1-6.
- Li H, Feng T, Liang P, Shi X, Gao X, Jiang H (2006) Effect of temperature on toxicity of pyrethroids and endosulfan, activity of mitochondrial Na+-K+-ATPase and Ca₂+-Mg-ATPase in *Chilosuppressalis* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Pestic Biochem Phys* 86: 151–156.
- Lin Y, Jin T, Zeng L, Lu Y (2013) Insecticide toxicity to oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae) is influenced by environmental factors. *J Econ Entomol* 106(1): 352-359.
- Malik G, Abdul Q, Hafiz AAK (2018) Effect of temperature on the toxicity of biorational insecticides against *Sitophilus* oryzae (Linnaeus) in stored wheat. *Pak J Zool* 50(4): 1569-1572.
- Mansoor MM, Afzal M, Raza ABM, Akram Z, Waqar A, Afzal MBS (2014) Post-exposure temperature influence on the toxicity of conventional and new chemistry insecticides to green lacewing *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephens) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Saudi J Biol Sci* 22: 317-321. https://doi. org/10.1016/j. sjbs.2014.10.008
- Matzrafi M (2019) Climate change exacerbates pest damage through reduced pesticide efficacy. *Pest Manag Sci*, pp 1-9.
- Musser FR, Shelton AM (2005) The influence of post exposure

temperature on the toxicity of insecticides to Ostrinia nubilalis (Lepidoptera : Crambidae). Pest Manag Sci 61: 508-510.

- Punzo F (1993) Detoxification enzymes and the effects of temperatures on the toxicity of pyrethroids to the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Comp Biochem Physico* 105(2): 155-158.
- Punzo F, Kirk A (1992) Detoxification enzymes and the effects of temperature on the toxicity of malathion to Oncopeltus fasciatus (Heteroptera) and Tenebrio molitor (Coleoptera). Comp Biochem Physico 103(2): 383-386.
- Salgado VL, Herman MD, Narahashi T (1989) Interactions of the pyrethroid fenvalerate with nerve membrane sodium channels: Temperature dependence and mechanism of depolarization. *Neurotoxicology* 10: 1-14.
- Song JH, Narahashi T (1996) Differential effects of the pyrethroid tetramethrin on tetrodotoxin-sensitive and tetrodotoxin-resistant single sodium channels. *Brain Res* 712: 258–264.
- Sparks TC, Shour MH, Wellemeyer G (1982) Temperature-toxicity relationships of pyrethroids on three lepidopterans. J Econ Entomol 75 (4): 643-646.
- Srigiriraju L, Paul J, Semtner J, Bloomquist JR (2010) Influence of post-exposure temperature on the toxicity of insecticides to the tobacco-adapted form of the green peach aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *J Entomol Sci* 45(2): 178-188.
- Srinivasa Rao M, Manimanjari D, Vanaja M, Rama Rao CA, Srinivas K, Raju BMK, Maheswari M, Venkateswarlu B (2015) Response of multiple generations of Tobacco caterpillar Spodoptera litura Fab. feeding on peanut, to elevated CO₂. Appl Ecol Environ Res 13(2): 373-386.
- Srinivasa Rao M, Vanaja M, Srinivas I et al. (2018) CTGC: A facility to study the interactive effects of CO₂ and Temperature. Bulletin No.01/2018, ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Santoshnagar, Hyderabad, India, pp 44. http://nicra-icar.in/nicrarevised/images/publications/MSR%20CTGC%20bulletin%20for%20NICRA%20 website.pdf
- Sujatha M, Lakshminarayana M (2005) Susceptibility of castor semilooper, Achaea janata L. to insecticide, crystal proteins from Bacillus thuringiensis. Ind J Pl Prot 33: 286-287.
- Teja N, Sunitha V, Ramesh Babu V, Satyanarayana J (2018) Effect of variable temperature on the toxicity of novel insecticides against diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Linn.). *J Entomol Zool Studies* 6(5): 409-412.
- Weinzierl R, Henn T, Koehler PG (1998) Microbial insecticides. ENY-275 (Online). http://edis.ifas.uX.edu/IN081
- Weston DP, You J, Harwood AD, Lydy MJ (2009) Whole sediment toxicity identification evaluation tools for pyrethroid insecticides: Temperature manipulation. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 28: 173-180.
- Wigglesworth VB (1945) Transpiration through the cuticle of insects. J Exp Biol 21: 97-113.