Environment and Ecology 40 (3D) : 1857—1864, July–September 2022 ISSN 0970-0420

# Study of Heterosis and Combining Ability in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under Normal and Limited Moisture Condition

Madhu Yadav, Ved Prakash, Deepak Gupta, Madhu Choudhary, Sonu Get, Khushwant B. Choudhary, Shravan Kumar Sharma

Received 19 April 2022, Accepted 28 July 2022, Published on 17 September 2022

# ABSTRACT

The study of heterosis helps the breeder to select the more productive crosses in early generations while combining ability of the parents is essential to recognize better parental combinations that can yield useful cross combinations. The present research investigation was carried out with 10 parent half diallel set consisting of parents,  $F_1$ 's and  $F_2$ 's to estimate the heterosis, heterobeltiosis and general and specific combining ability variances and effects. The crosses RD 2035 × RD 2508, RD 2592× RD 2052, DWRB 137 × RD 2052, RD 103 × RD 2035, DWRB 137 × RD 2035 and RD 2052 × RD 2508 exhibited desirable heterosis and heterobeltiosis for grain yield per spike and its contributing characters in both the environments. An overall evaluation showed that the parent RD 2508, RD 2052 and PL 419 emerged as good general combiners while among the cross DWRB 137 x PL 419 (Normal and limited moisture), PL 419 × RD 103 and RD 103 × RD 2508 (Normal irrigated) emerged as good crosses for grain yield per spike as well as for other yield contributing characters.

**Keywords** Barley, Drought, Heterosis, Heterobeltiosis, GCA.

# INTRODUCTION

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is a preeminent crop which considered as first cereal domesticated for use by man as food and feed (Potla et al. 2013). *Hordeum vulgare* sub sp. Spontaneum (wild ancestor of domesticated barley) is abundant in grasslands and woodlands throughout the fertile crescent area of N-E Africa and Western Asia. In ancient Sanskrit texts of Indo-Aryans, barley is termed as "Yava" and was probably most stable food during vedic period. Barley is grown as irrigated, rainfed crop and under residual soil moisture. Barley can be utilized as animal feed (60%), for malt production (30%), seed production (7%) and for human food (3%). Malt is the second

Madhu Yadav<sup>1\*</sup>, Ved Prakash<sup>2</sup>, Deepak Gupta<sup>3</sup>, Madhu Choudhary<sup>4</sup>, Sonu Get<sup>5</sup>, Khushwant B. Choudhary<sup>6</sup> Shravan Kumar Sharma

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>, <sup>4</sup>, <sup>5</sup>PhD Research Scholar, <sup>2</sup>Professor,

Division of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute (SKN Agriculture University, Jobner), Durgapura, Jaipur 302018, Rajasthan, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, SKN Agriculture University, Jobner, Rajasthan, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Scientist, ICAR-Central Arid Zone Research Institute, Jodhpur, Rajasthan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Senior Research Fellow, Division of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur302018, Rajasthan, India

Email : ymadhu147@gmail.com

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

largest use of barley, cultivated for malting and brewing purpose. Malted barley is mostly used for beer, distilled alcohol products and for malt syrup, malted milk and breakfast foods. In India, barley is also used to prepare '*Daliya*' (porridge), '*Sattu*' (flour from the roasted grain), barley pops, '*chapatti*' etc. It is believed to have cooling effect on human body over oat and other cereals particularly during summer. It is used in breads, soups, stews and health products.

The most alternative is to increase the yield per unit area through better crop management practices and increasing the cultivation of high yielding varieties with adequate resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses. Proper choice of parents on the basis of combining ability for putative drought tolerant attributes as well as productive traits and selection in typical target environment will help in combining complex traits, such as, productivity and drought tolerance.

The study of heterosis helps the breeder to select the more productive crosses in early generations. Heterosis in most crops including barley is an important tool in interpreting genetic parameters. Many biometrical procedures have been developed to obtain information on combining ability and diallel analysis is one of them which is widely used to study combining ability of the parents to be choosen for heterosis breeding. It also provides the unique opportunity to test a number of lines in all possible combinations (Mather and Jinks 1971). For this, combining ability analysis provides prerequisite to select the desirable parents for a hybridization program (Kakani et al. 2007). General combining ability and specific combining ability are very effective in designing and execution of a breeding program and used to test the performance of parents in different cross combinations and also characterize the nature and magnitude of gene effects for expression of yield.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present investigation was carried out during *rabi* 2018-19 and 2019-20 at Research Farm, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute (Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner), Durgapura, Jaipur (Rajasthan). Ten diverse parents namely: BH 946, RD 2592, DWRUB 64, DWRB 137, PL 426, PL 419, RD 103, RD 2035, RD 2052 and RD 2508 were

selected and crossed in diallel fashion (excluding reciprocals) in all possible combinations during rabi 2018-19. In summer 2019, half of the  $F_1$  s seed was multiplied during off-season at IARI regional station, Wellington (Tamil Nadu) to advance the generation. In rabi 2019-20 ten varieties along with their 45 F,'s and 45 F<sub>2</sub>'s progenies were evaluated under the limited moisture condition created by giving only three irrigations at the crop stage of 30, 60 and 90 days with three replications in Randomized Block Design. Each replication contained two parts. The parents and F<sub>1</sub>s sown in two rows with 3 m row length and F<sub>2</sub>s were sown in 4 rows of 3 m in each replication. Row to row and plant to plant distance was kept 30 cm and 10 cm, respectively. Non-experimental rows were planted all around the experiment to eliminate the border effects, if any. All recommended agronomical package of practices were adopted to raise good crop. Observations were recorded days to heading, peduncle length, number of grains per spike, number of spikelets per spike, spike length, biomass per plant and grain yield per spike.

Heterosis over mid parent was calculated by =  $[(F_1-MP)/MP] \times 100$  and heterobeltiosis were calculated by formula proposed by Fonseca and Patterson (1968) i.e.,  $[(F_1-BP)/BP] \times 100$ . Where  $F_1$  = mean values of hybrid, MP = Mean values of mid parents and BP= Mean values of batter parents.

Analysis of variance for combining ability was done for individual environment following Griffing (1956) method 2 model I. The general mathematical model for analysis as given by Griffing (1956).

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + g_i + g_j + S_{ij} + e_{ijk}/b$$

Where,

 $X_{ijk}$  = an observation of the phenotype of a cross between  $i^{th}$  and  $j^{th}$  parents in  $k^{th}$  block

 $\mu$  = General mean

 $\mathbf{g}_{i}$  = General combining ability (GCA) effect of  $j^{th}$  parent

 $s_{ij}$  = Specific combining ability (SCA) effect for cross between i<sup>th</sup> and j<sup>th</sup> parent such that  $s_i = s_i$ 

 $e_{ijk}$  = Environmental effects associated with  $ij^{Kth}$  observation

b = Number of blocks

Characters	Env	Heterosis	Heterobeltiosis	Inbreeding depression
Days to heading	$E_1$	RD 2052 x RD 2508 DWRUB 64 x RD 103	RD 2052 x RD 2508 RD 2592 x RD 2052	RD 103 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2508
	$E_2$	PL 426 x RD 2035 RD 2035 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2035	RD 2592 x PL 419 PL 419 x RD 2508 BH 946 x PL 419	PL 426 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x PL 419 BH 946 x PL 426
Peduncle length	$E_1$	DWRUB 64 x RD 2055 DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 DWRUB 64 x RD 2508	RD 103 x RD 2035 RD 103 x RD 2035 PL 419 x RD 2052	RD 103 x RD 2035 PL 419 x RD 2035 PL 419 x RD 2052
	$E_2$	RD 103 x RD 2035 BH 946 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2052	PL 419 x RD 2508 BH 946 x PL 419 RD 2592 x RD 2035	PL 419 x RD 2508 PL 426 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2052
Number of grains per spike	$E_1$	DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 DWRUB 64 x RD 2508 DWRUB 64 x RD 2052	PL 419 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 2035 DWRUB 64 x RD 2508	PL 419 x RD 2035 DWRB 137 x RD 2035 DWRUB 64 x RD 2508
	$E_2$	DWRB 137 x PL 419 DWRB 137 x RD 103 BH 946 x PL 419	RD 103 x RD 2035 DWRB 137 x RD 103 DWRB 137 x RD 2035	RD 103 x RD 2035 DWRB 137 x RD 2052 BH 946 x DWRB 137
Number of spikelets per spike	$E_1$	DWRB 137 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x RD 2508	BH 946 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x DWRB 137 RD 103 x RD 2508	DWRB 137 x PL 419 DWRUB 64 x DWRB 137 RD 103 x RD 2052
	$E_2$	RD 2592 x DWRB 137 RD 2592 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 2035	RD 2592 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x RD 2052	PL 426 X RD 2052 DWRB 137 x PL 426 DWRUB 64 x PL 426
Spike length	$E_1$	RD 2592 x PL 426 DWRUB 64 x RD 2508 DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 DH 046 x RD 2508	RD 2592 x PL 426 BH 946 x RD 2508 BH 946 x DWRB 137 DWBUD 64 x RD 2508	DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2035 DWRUB 64 x PL 426
	$E_2$	RD 103 x RD 2508 RD 2052 x RD 2508 RD 2052 x RD 2508	RD 103 x RD 2508 RD 2052 x RD 2508 RD 2052 x RD 2508	PL 426 x RD 2508 RD 2592 x PL 426 DWPD 127 x PL 410
Biomass per plant	$E_1$	RD 2035 x RD 2052 RD 2035 x RD 2508 RD 102 x RD 2508	PL 419 x RD 105 PL 419 x RD 2052 BH 946 x PL 419	PL 419 x RD 2052 BH 946 x PL 419 PL 410 x RD 2508
	$E_2$	PL 426 x RD 20052 DWRB 137 x RD 2052	PL 419 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2508	RD 2052 x RD 2508 RD 2592 x RD 2508 RD 2592 x RD 2508
Grain yield per spike	$E_1$	PL 419 x RD 2052 RD 2035 x RD 2508 RD 103 x RD 2508	RD 103 x RD 2035 DWRB 137 x RD 2035	DWRUB 64 X PL 426 RD 103 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x PL 426
	E <sub>2</sub>	DWRB 137 x RD 2508 RD 2592 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 2035 DWRB 137 x RD 2052	DWRB 137 x RD 2508 DWRB 137 x RD 2035 RD 2052 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 103	PL 419 x RD 2035 PL 426 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x DWRB 137

**Table 1.** Top three crosses for their heterosis, heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression estimates under normal irrigated  $(E_1)$  and limited moisture  $(E_2)$  conditions for yield and associated traits.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The superiority of hybrids particularly over better parent is more important an useful in determining the feasibility of commercial exploitation of heterosis and also indicating the parental combinations capable of producing the highest level of transgressive segregants.

In the present study, the maximum range of het-

erosis has been estimated for all studied characters. An overall appraisal of the investigation shown that heterosis ranged from -9.63 to 7.80 in  $E_1$  and -11.73 to 5.57 in  $E_2$  for days to heading, -9.22 to 23.40 in  $E_1$  and -7.45 to 28.29 in  $E_2$  for peduncle length, -6.83 to 21.61 in  $E_1$  and -8.09 to 20.07 in  $E_2$  for number of grains per spike, -14.47 to 35.13 in  $E_1$  and -17.59 to 43.95 in  $E_2$  for number of spikelets per spike, -20.85 to 38.40 in  $E_1$  and -20.18 to 30.54 in  $E_2$  for spike

length, -8.98 to 38.83 in  $E_1$  and -6.80 to 31.62 in  $E_2$ for biomass per plant; -16.47 to 25.00 in  $E_1$  and -13.10 to 25.61 in  $E_2$  for grain yield per spike. The result in both the environments for different characters are in conformity with the findings of several researchers such as Shendy (2015), Pesarkhlu *et al.* (2016), Ram and Shekhawat (2017), Lal *et al.* (2018a), Parashar *et al.* (2019) and Bouchetat *et al.* (2020). The superiority of hybrids over better parent (heterobeltiosis) is more important and useful in determining the practicability of commercial exploitation of heterosis and also indicating the parental combination capable of producing the utmost level of transgressive segregants.

Three best heterotic and heterobeltiotic crosses for grain yield per spike are presented in Table 1. Perusal of this table indicated that the crosses RD 2035 x RD 2508, RD 103 x RD 2508 and DWRB 137 x RD 2508 in E<sub>1</sub> and RD 2592 x RD 2052, DWRB 137 x RD 2035 and DWRB 137 x RD 2052 in E<sub>2</sub> exhibited desirable heterosis. Whereas, crosses RD103 x RD 2035, DWRB 137 x RD 2035 and RD 2052 x RD 2508 in E, and DWRB 137 x RD 2035, RD 2052 x RD 2508 and BH 946 x RD 103 in E<sub>2</sub> exhibited desirable heterobeltiosis for grain yield per spike. Among top three crosses for grain yield per spike in both the environments, the crosses RD 2035 x RD 2508, RD 2592 x RD 2052, DWRB 137 x RD 2052, RD 103 x RD 2035, DWRB 137 x RD 2035 and RD 2052 x RD 2508 showed desirable heterosis and heterobeltiosis for one or more characters in both the environments. Hence, these crosses may be considered as promising type for tangible advancement of barley yield under normal irrigated and limited moisture condition.

Assessment of Table 2 divulged an interesting relation between heterosis and heterobeltiosis of grain yield per spike and other yield attributing traits. The parents, which, showed desirable heterosis and heterobeltiosis for grain yield per spike, also exhibited desirable heterosis and heterobeltiosis at least for one or more yield attributing traits. Such as, heterosis for grain yield per spike was mainly contributed by days to heading, number of grains per spike length and biomass per plant in both the environments, while heterobeltiosis by number of grains per spike and number of spikelets per spike in both the environments. Findings of this investigation supported the contentions of Grafius (1959), who suggested that there could be no separate gene system for yield per se as yield is an end product of the multiplicative interactions among its various contributing attributes. Thus, heterobeltiosis for various yield contributing characters might be result in the expression of heterobeltiosis for grain yield. However, the crosses showing heterotic expression for grain yield per spike were not heterotic for all the characters. It was also noted that the expression of heterosis and heterobeltiosis was influenced by the environments for almost all the characters. This was because of significant  $G \times E$  interaction.

**Table 2.** Best crosses exhibiting high heterosis and heterobeltiosis for grain yield per spike along with desirable (+) heterotic expression for other characters under normal irrigated ( $E_1$ ) and limited moisture ( $E_2$ ) conditions.

Particulars			Heter	osis	Heterobeltiosis							
Environment		$E_1$			$E_2$		$E_1$			$E_2$		
Crosses possessing high heterosis and heterobeltiosis for grain yield per spike												
	RD 2035	RD 103 ×	DWRB 137	RD 2592	DWRB 137	x DWRB 13	7 RD 103 ×	DWRB 137	RD 2052	× DWRB 13	7 RD 2052	$\times$ BH 946 $\times$
	×RD 2508	RD 2508	×RD 2508	× RD 2052	RD 2035	× RD 2052 ×	RD 2035	× RD 2035	RD 2508	x RD 2035	RD 2508	RD 103
Days to heading	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
Peduncle length	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
Number of grains per spi	ke +	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
Number of spikelets per spike	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
Spike length	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
Biomass per plant	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-

Characters		Source of variation									
		GCA (	df = 9	SCA (df	= 45)	Error (df =108)		GCA/SCA ratio			
	Env	F <sub>1</sub>	$F_2$	F <sub>1</sub>	$F_2$	F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>	$F_1$	$F_2$		
Days to heading	E,	14.89**	19.83**	5.47**	10.22**	0.51	0.66	0.24	0.17		
	É,	23.76**	11.71**	7.65**	9.90**	0.57	0.66	0.27	0.10		
Peduncle length	Ĕ,	19.54**	15.00**	3.70**	4.32**	0.39	0.34	0.48	0.31		
-	E,	20.00**	19.95**	2.71**	3.51**	0.40	0.40	0.71	0.52		
Number of grains per spike	Ĕ,	35.55**	11.22**	12.26**	12.41**	1.52	3.18	0.26	0.07		
	E,	13.80**	16.81**	8.93**	11.95**	0.67	2.35	0.13	0.13		
Number of spikelets per spike	E,	4.96**	3.09**	1.67**	1.44**	0.12	0.26	0.26	0.20		
	E,	2.51**	0.45**	0.94**	1.18**	0.10	0.10	0.24	0.03		
Spike length	E,	3.74**	1.63**	1.36**	1.05**	0.09	0.07	0.24	0.13		
	E,	1.80**	1.20**	0.54**	0.67**	0.08	0.04	0.31	0.15		
Biomass/ plant	Ē,	137.89**	151.58**	15.71**	17.43**	1.82	1.20	0.82	0.77		
•	E,	59.71**	72.86**	5.76**	8.27**	0.62	0.55	0.96	0.78		
Grain yield per spike	Ē,	0.36**	0.35**	0.09**	0.14**	0.01	0.009	0.36	0.22		
· · ·	$E_2^1$	0.37**	0.22**	0.09**	0.07**	0.01	0.01	0.38	0.29		

Table 3. Analysis of variance for general and specific combining ability under normal irrigated and limited moisture conditions for yield and its contributing traits.

Higher grain yield per spike is a desirable character in barley associated with negative magnitude of inbreeding depression. Thirty three crosses in  $E_1$  and twenty crosses in  $E_2$  were inclined towards negative magnitudes, of which seven crosses in  $E_1$  and two crosses in  $E_2$  showed negative significant inbreeding depression, which indicated that grain yield per spike in  $F_2$  generation was higher than  $F_1$  generation. The negative inbreeding depression may result from the advantage of population buffering, which may occur in  $F_2$  generation due to the segregation of genes or sometimes because of formation of superior gene combinations, such a situation is valuable in conventional breeding program.

Analysis of variances for combining ability showed that variances due to general combining ability (GCA) as well as due to specific combining ability (SCA) were highly significant for all the studied characters in both the environment in both the  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations (Table 3). Thus, both additive and non-additive gene action played vital role in the genetic control of the characters under this study. The results are in accordance with earlier findings of Rathore and Chauhan (2017), Lal *et al.* (2018b), Bouchetat and Aissat (2019) and Anju kumari *et al.* (2020).

The GCA/SCA variance ratio (predictability ra-

tio) was less than unity in both the environments for all the characters which clearly showed the predominance of non-additive gene action for all the traits under investigation. The results are in accordance with earlier findings of Rathore and Chauhan (2017), Parashar *et al.* (2019), Bouchetat and Aissat (2019) and Anju kumari *et al.* (2020).

The cross combinations that were significant and good for two or more characters in  $F_1$  of  $E_1$  only (Table 4) were DWRB 137 x RD 2035 for days to heading and number of grains per spike; RD 103 x RD 2035 for peduncle length and spike length; DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 for peduncle length, numbers of grains per spike and spike length; PL 419 x RD 103 for number of spikelets per spike, biomass per plant and grain yield per spike; DWRUB 64 x PL 419 for spike length and biomass per plant; BH 946 x PL 419 for biomass per plant and grain yield per spike.

The cross combinations that were significant and good for two or more characters in F1 of E2 only (Table 4) were BH 946 x RD 2052 for days to heading and number of spikelets per spike; DWRUB 64 x RD 2508 for peduncle length and grain yield per spike; DWRB 137 x RD 2035 for number of spikelets per spike and grain yield per spike.

The cross combinations that were significant

Characters			High mea	in	GCA		SCA	
	Env.	Parents	F <sub>1</sub>	$F_2$	$F_1$	$F_2$	$\mathbf{F}_{1}$	$F_2$
Days to heading	$E_1$	BH 946 RD 2508 PL 419	RD 2052 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x PL 419	RD 2592 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x PL 419 RD 2052 x RD 2508	RD 2508 RD 2052 PL 419	RD 2052 RD 2508 BH 946	DWRUB 64 x RD 103 RD 2052 x RD 2508 DWRB 137 x RD 2035	RD 2592 x PL 419 PL 426 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x RD 2052
	E2	DWRB 13 RD 2052 PL 426	7 PL 419 x RD 2052 BH 946 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2508	BH 946 x PL 419 RD 2592 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2052 DWRUB 64 x RD 103	RD 2052 RD 2508 DWRB 137	RD 2508 DWRB 137 PL 419	DWRUB 64 x RD 103 BH 946 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2052	DWRUB 64 x RD 103 BH 946 x RD 2035 BH 946 x PL 419
Peduncle length	E1	RD 2508 RD 2035 RD 2592	RD 103 x RD 2035 PL 419 x RD 2508 RD 2052 x RD 2508	RD 103 x RD 2035 RD 2592xDWRB 137 RD 103 x RD 2508	RD 2508 RD 2052 PL 419	RD 2508 RD 2592 RD 2052	RD 103 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x DWRB 137 DWRUB 64 x RD 2052	DWRUB 64 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x DWRB 137 DWRUB 64 x PL 419
	E2	RD 103 RD 2508 RD 2052	RD 103 x RD 2508 RD 103 x RD 2035 PL 419 x RD 103	PL 419 x RD 2052 RD 2052 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 103	RD 2508 RD 103 RD 2052	RD 2508 RD 2052 RD 103	DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 BH 946 x RD 2508 DWRUB 64 x RD 2508	PL 419 x RD 2052 BH 946 x RD 2592 PL 419 x RD 103
Number of grains per spike	E1	BH 946 RD 2052 RD 2508	BH 946 x PL 419 DWRUB 64 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2052	BH 946 x RD 2052 RD 2052 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 103	BH 946 RD 2508 PL 419	BH 946 - -	DWRUB 64 x RD 2508 DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 2035	RD 2592 x PL 419 DWRB 137 x RD 103 PL 426 x RD 2035
	E2	PL 419 RD 2592 RD 2508	BH 946 x PL 419 PL 419 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x PL 419	RD 2592 x PL 419 PL 419 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x RD 2508	PL 419 RD 2592 RD 2035	PL 419 RD 2052 -	DWRB 137 x RD 103 BH 946 x PL 419 DWRUB 64 x PL 419	DWRUB 64 x PL 426 DWRB 137 x RD 2035 BH 946 x PL 419
Number of spikelets per spike	E1	BH 946 RD 2035 RD 2052	BH 946 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2052	RD 2035 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 2035 RD 2052 x RD 2508	RD 2035 BH 946 RD 2508	RD 2052 RD 2508 BH 946	PL 419 x RD 103 PL 419 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x RD 2052	PL 419 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 103 DWRB 137 x PL 426
	E2	PL 419 BH 946 RD 103	RD 2592 x PL 419 BH 946 x RD 2592 BH 946 x RD 2052	DWRUB 64 x PL 426 BH 946 x DWRB 137 RD 103 x RD 2035	BH 946 RD 2592 RD 2508	BH 946 - -	DWRB 137 x RD 2035 BH 946 x RD 2052 RD 2592 x RD 2052	DWRUB 64 x PL 426 RD 103 x RD 2035 DWRUB 64 x RD 2052
Spike length	E1	PL 419 RD 2592 RD 103	RD 2052 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2508 DWRUB 64xRD 2052	RD 2052 x RD 2508 BH 946 x RD 2052 BH 946 x RD 2592	RD 2508 RD 2052 PL 419	RD 2508 RD 2035 RD 2052	DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 DWRUB 64 x PL 419 RD 103xRD 2035	DWRUB 64 x PL 426 DWRB 137 x PL 426 BH 946 x RD 2052
	E2	PL 419 RD 2035 RD 103	RD 103 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 103 RD 2052 x RD 2508	RD 2592 x PL 426 BH 946 x RD 2035 DWRUB 64 x RD 103	RD 2508 RD 2052 PL 419	RD 2508 PL 419 RD 103	PL 419 x RD 103 RD 103 x RD 2508 DWRB 137 x PL 426	BH 946 x RD 2035 RD 2592 x PL 426 DWRUB 64 x RD 103
Biomass per plant	E1	RD 2508 PL 419 RD 2052	PL 419 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2508 BH 946 x PL 419	PL 419 x RD 2052 RD 2052 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 2508	RD 2508 PL 419 RD 2052	RD 2508 RD 2052 PL 419	DWRUB 64 x PL 419 BH 946 x PL 419 PL 419 x RD 103	RD 2592 x PL 419 PL 419 x RD 103 BH 946 x PL 419
	E2	RD 2052 PL 419 BH 946	PL 419 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2508 PL 426 x RD 2052	RD 2052 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 2052 PL 419 x RD 2508	RD 2052 PL 419 BH 946	RD 2052 PL 419 RD 2508	DWRB 137 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 2508 PL 426 x RD 2052	DWRB 137 x RD 2052 RD 2052 x RD 2508 DWRUB 64 x PL 419
Grain yield per spike	E1	PL 419 RD 2508 RD 2052	BH 946 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x RD 2508 PL 419 x RD 103	DWRB 137 x PL 419 BH 946 x RD 2052 RD 103 x RD 2508	RD 2508 PL 419 RD 2052	RD 2508 RD 2052 PL 419	PL 419 x RD 103 RD 103 x RD 2508 DWRB 137 x RD 2508	RD 103 x RD 2035 DWRB 137 x PL 419 RD 103 x RD 2508
	E2	DWRUB ( PL 419 RD 2508	64 BH 946 x RD 103 DWRUB 64 x RD 2052 DWRB 137 x PL 419	RD 2052 x RD 2508 RD 103 x RD 2508 RD 2592 x RD 2052	RD 2508 PL 419 RD 2052	RD 2508 RD 2052 PL 419	BH 946 x RD 103 DWRB 137 x RD 2035 DWRB 137 x PL 419	DWRB 137 x PL 426 RD 2592 x RD 2052 RD 103 x RD 2508

**Table 4.** Best three parents,  $F_1$ 's and  $F_2$ 's for their mean values, GCA and SCA effects under normal irrigated ( $E_1$ ) and limited moisture ( $E_2$ ) conditions for yield and associated traits.

and good for two or more characters in  $F_2$  of  $E_1$  only (Table 4) were RD 2592 x PL 419 for days to heading, number of grains per spike and biomass per plant; PL 426 x RD 2035 for days to heading and number of grains per spike; DWRB 137 x RD 103 for number of grains per spike and number of spikelets per spike; DWRB 137 x PL 426 for number of spikelets per spike and spike length.

The cross combination that were significant and good for two or more characters in  $F_2$  of  $E_2$  only (Table 4) were DWRUB 64 x RD 103 for days to **Table 5**. Best parents possessing high GCA effects along with their per se performance for grain yield per spike and significant desirable (+) GCA effects for other characters under normal irrigated ( $E_1$ ) and limited moisture ( $E_2$ ) conditions in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generation.

Environment	E						E <sub>2</sub>				
Generation in which exhibited high GCA effects and per se performance	F <sub>1</sub>			F,			F <sub>1</sub>		F <sub>2</sub>		
Best parents based on desirable GCA effects and per se performance for grain yield per spike	RD 2508	RD 2052	PL 419	RD 2508	RD 2052	PL 419	RD 2052	PL 419	RD 2052	PL 419	
Days to heading	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	
Peduncle length	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	
Number of grains per spike	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	
Number of spikelets per spike	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	
Spike length	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	
Biomass per plant	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	

heading and spike length; BH 946 x RD 2035 for days to heading and spike length; BH 946 x PL 419 for days to heading and number of grains per spike; DWRUB 64 x PL 426 for number of grains per spike and number of spikelets per spike.

Appraisal of Table 5 recognized an interesting relation between GCA effects of grain yield per plant and other yield contributing characters. Parents, which exhibit desirable GCA effects for grain yield per plant, also slowed desirable GCA effects for one or more yield attributing characters. The parents RD 2508, RD 2052 and PL 419 in both the generations performed as good general combiners for grain yield per plant and some other associated characters. The parents possessing good general combining ability in barley were reported by several researchers such as Sultan *et al.* (2016) and Parashar *et al.* (2019).

The evaluation of Table 6 established a significant relation between the SCA effect of grain yield per spike and other component characters. The crosses, which exhibited high *per se* performance with desirable SCA effects for grain yield per spike and one or more yield attributing characters and exhibited as good specific cross combinations are as follows: PL 419 x RD 103 and DWRB 137 x PL 419 in F<sub>1</sub> of E<sub>1</sub>; DWRB 137 x PL 419 and RD 103 x RD 2508 in F<sub>2</sub> of E<sub>1</sub>; BH 946 x RD 103 and DWRB 137 x PL 419 in F<sub>1</sub> of E<sub>2</sub>; RD 2592 x RD 2052 in F<sub>2</sub> of E<sub>2</sub>. The parents

**Table 6.** Best crosses possessing high SCA effects along with their *per se* performance for grain yield per spike and significant desirable (+) SCA effects for other characters under normal irrigated ( $E_1$ ) and limited moisture ( $E_2$ ) conditions in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generation.

Environment	E				$E_2$			
Generation in which exhibited high SCA effects and <i>per se</i> performance		F <sub>1</sub>	$F_2$		F2			
Best crosses based on desirable SCA effects and <i>per se</i> perfor- mance for grain yield per spike	PL 419× RD 103	DWRB 137× RD 2508	DWRB 137 × PL 419	RD 103 × RD 2508	BH 946 × RD 103	DWRB 137 × PL 419	RD 2592 × RD 2052	
Days to heading Peduncle length	- +	+	- +	+ -	- +	+ -	+ -	
Number of grains per spike Number of spikelets per spike Spike length	+ + +	- + -	+ - +	+ + +	+ - +	+ - +	- + -	
Biomass per plant	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	

BH 946, PL 419, RD 2508, RD 2592 and RD 2052 involved in these cross combinations appeared as good general combiners for grain yield per spike and one or more yield associated characters.

Conclusively, Among top three crosses for grain yield per spike in both the environments, the crosses RD 2035 x RD 2508, RD 2592 x RD 2052, DWRB 137 x RD 2052, RD 103 x RD 2035, DWRB 137 x RD 2035 and RD 2052 x RD 2508 showed desirable heterosis and heterobeltiosis for one or more characters in both the environments. Hence, progeny of these crosses may have potential for high grain yield and progeny of heterotic crosses may provide transgressive segregants. Likewise, the degree of heterosis is essential for deciding the direction of future breeding program. An overall evaluation showed that the parent RD 2508, RD 2052 and PL 419 emerged as good general combiners while among the cross DWRB 137 x PL 419(Normal and limited moisture), PL 419 x RD 103 and RD 103 x RD 2508 (Normal irrigated) emerged as good crosses for grain yield per spike as well as for other yield contributing characters. It is suggested that appreciable improvement in barley production in forthcoming years would be realized through restricted recurrent selection (Hull 1945), diallel selective mating (Jensen 1970), use of the multiple crosses and bi-parental mating may be effective and alternative approaches for tangible advancement of barley yield in the coming years.

#### REFERENCES

- Bouchetat F, Aissat A (2019) Evaluation of the genetic determinism of an  $F_1$  generation of barley resulting from a complete diallel cross between autochthones and introduced cultivars. *Heliyon* 5: 1-8.
- Bouchetat F, Aissat A, Boutellaa S, Bellah S (2020) Analysis of the main agronomic characters of some barley varieties and the genetic characterization of their descendancy after a full diallel cross. *Acta Sci Nat* 7 (1): 98–111.
- Fonseca S, Patterson FL (1968) Hybrid vigour in a seven parental diallel cross in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Crop Sci* 51 (9) : 623-626.

- Graffius JE (1959) Heterosis in barley. Agron J 51 (9): 551-554.
- Griffing B (1956) Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing system. Aust J Biol Sci 9 (4): 463-493.
- Hull FH (1945) Recurrent selection for specific combining ability in corn. J Am Soc Agron 37 : 134-145.
- Jensen NF (1970) A diallel selective mating system for cereal breeding. *Crop Sci* 10 (6) : 629-635.
- Kakani RK, Sharma Y, Sharma SN (2007) Combining ability analysis in barley (*Hordium Vulgare* L.). SABRAO J Breed Genet 39 (2): 117-126.
- Kumari A, Vishwakarma S R, Singh Y (2020) Evaluation of com bining ability and gene action in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) using Line x Tester analysis. *Electron J Pl Breed* 11 (1) : 97-102.
- Lal C, Shekhawat AS, Singh J, Kumar P, Kumar V (2018a). Study of heterosis in six-rowed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). J Pharmacogn Phytochem 7 (5): 2287-2292.
- Lal C, Shekhawat A S, Singh J, Kumar P, Kumar V (2018b) GCA and SCA effects analysis for grain yield and related traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in early and normal sowing conditions. Int J Chem Stud 6 (5): 1215-1221.
- Mather K, Jinks J L (1971). Biometrical Genetics. Champman and Hall Limited, London.
- Parashar N, Gothwal DK, Singh G, Bhakal M, Kumar R, Sharma V (2019) Heterosis studies in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under heat stress environment. *Int J Pure Appl Biosci* 7 (1): 183-189.
- Pesarakhlu S, Soltanloo H, Ramezanpour SS, Kalate A M, Nasrollah NAA (2016) An estimation of the combining ability of barley genotypes and heterosis for some quantitative traits. *Iran Agric Res* 35 (1) : 73-80.
- Potla KR, Bornare SS, Prasad LC, Prasad R, Madakemohekar AH (2013) Study of heterosis and combining ability for yield and yield contributing traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *The Bioscan* 8 (4) : 1231-1235.
- Ram M, Shekhawat AS (2017) Genotypic variances and interactions with environments in barley genotypes using half diallel analysis for grain yield and its associate characters. *Forage Res* 43 (1): 22-25.
- Rathore RKS, Chauhan Y (2017) GCA and SCA effects analysis for grain yield and its quantitative traits in six-rowed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) in Agra region. *Ind J Sci Res* 16 (1) : 56-63.
- Shendy M Z (2015) Estimation of heterosis, inbreeding depression and combining ability in six barley genotypes in both F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations. *Egypt J Pl Breed* 19 (4) : 167-182.
- Sultan MS, Abdel-Moneam MA, Haffez SH (2016) Estimation of combining ability for yield and its components in barley under normal and stress drought condition. J Pl Prod Mansoura Univ 7 (6): 553-558.
- Vishwakarma SR, Shukla A, Bahadur Raj, Singh N (2011) Expression of heterosis for yield and chlorophyll content in barley. *Pl Arch* 11 (2) : 89.