Environment and Ecology 40 (4A) : 2149—2155, October–December 2022 ISSN 0970-0420

# Assessment of Resistance in Some Tomato Germplasm and Estimation of Crop Yield Loss Due to Root-Knot Nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid and White) Chitwood

Gautam Chakraborty, Karan Singh Guleria, Shanowly Mondal (Ghosh), Amit Gope

Received 21 June 2022, Accepted 30 August 2022, Published 4 November 2022

## ABSTRACT

Root knot nematode (RKN), *Meloidogyne incognita*, is one of the constraints in reducing the quantity and quality of tomato in tomato growing areas of the world. The experiment was carried out to evaluate thirty one germplasm of tomato under net house conditions against RKN along with field estimation of avoidable yield loss of the crop under Directorate of Research, BCKV, West Bengal, India. Highly nematode resistant germplasm were not obtained from the experiment, however 3 (EC - 620394, EC - 620427 and EC - 617047) were recorded resistant and 10, 20 and 18 numbers exhibited moderately resistant, susceptible and highly susceptible in reaction. The

growth of root length is negatively correlated (r =-0.867) while, positive correlation was observed with root knot index in case of number of egg mass, weight of fresh and dry root (r = 0.723, 0.855, 0.761)respectively). The use of Carbofuran 3G at the rate of 3 kg a.i/ha increased the yield of tomato ranging from 5.62-9.45%. The avoidable yield loss was 5.32 - 8.63% for both the years. The output of the study will be helpful to find out resistant tomato cultivars for future healthy seed production program against root knot nematode. Awareness among the farming community about the losses caused by these pests is very less if proper management strategies are not adopted on the crops. Therefore, necessary steps should be taken in the field of awareness as well as management of these nematodes to minimise economical losses to the farmer.

Keywords Tomato, Germplasm, Yield loss, Carbofuran, *Meloidogyne incognita*.

Gautam Chakraborty

Professor (Agril Entomology), RRS, Jhargram, BCKV Karan Singh Guleria

MSc Scholar (Agril Entomology), BCKV

Shanowly Mondal (Ghosh)\*

Assistant Professor (Agril Entomology), AICRP on Nematodes in Agriculture, BCKV,

Amit Gope

## INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*, family, Solanaceae) is a popular vegetable crop worldwide. It is a rich source of a number of micronutrients along with high levels of lycopene, an important antioxidant. Low tomato production per hectare (214.5 q/ha) in India, as compared to the other countries, is because of some factors. Tomato crop is susceptible to different diseas-

PhD Scholar (Agril Entomology), BCKV, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia 741252, West Bengal, India Email: shanowly@gmail.com \*Corresponding author

es caused by fungi, virus, bacteria. Apart from other pathogens, nematodes cause serious problems due to their soil dwelling habit and microscopic nature. Keren-Zur et al. (2000) reported that an estimated amount of US\$500 million was spent on nematode control in the world to minimize these losses. Being sedentary endoparasitic nature these nematodes have the most evolved interactions with their host. They buildup permanent feeding cells inside the vascular tissue after penetration in the root and migration. Normal plant growth is hampered due alteration in the distribution of hormones and minerals. According to Sharma et al. (2013) the vigoros economic damage to plants by nematode root feeding and interaction with other organisms renders the plants further vulnerable to other biotic and abiotic stresses. Growing of resistant crops is an effective and ecofriendly components of integrated pest management and inclusion of this component ensures increased crop yield in the presence of nematode (Khan and Mukhopadhyay 2004). Crop cultivars showing high degree of resistance with acceptable agronomic characteristics are commonly recommended for nematode infested fields either as a routine crop or in a rotational sequence of the crops. The use of resistant cultivars is the cheapest and the most effective method but resistant cultivars are largely unavailable to farmers (Noling 2002). So there is a need for the identification of source of resistant tomato cultivars against root-knot nematode (RKN) for future healthy seed production program. Keeping in mind the above background information, the present study was conducted to evaluate the response of some tomato germplasm against root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne incognita race 2) in pots and to understand the avoidable yield losses at field condition due to this nematode on tomato.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Response reaction of germplasm**

Thirty one (31) tomato germplasm were screened in pot under net house condition at Directorate of Research, BCKV, Kalyani, Nadia, WB, during 2017 to evaluate their reaction to RKN. In net house condition the pure culture of the nematode was maintained on brinjal. The extraction procedure for nematode eggs were done by following the modified method of

Table 1. Rating chart for evaluation of host response (0-5 scale).

Observations	Gall index	Host reaction
No galls and egg masses 1-10 galls/egg masses 11-30 galls/egg masses 31-100 galls/egg masses 101 and above galls/egg	$\begin{array}{c} 0-1.0\\ 1.1-2.0\\ 2.1-3.0\\ 3.1-4.0\\ 4.1-5.0\end{array}$	Highly resistant Resistant Moderately resistant Susceptible Highly susceptible
masses		

Source : Used in AICRP on nematodes

Hussey and Barker (1973) and modified Baermann tray method of Whitehead and Hemming (1965). Soil, sand and vermicompost were used as a potting medium by mixing in the ratio of 3:1:1 and sterilized by 10 % formaldehyde solution to make it free from nematodes. After 3 weeks, required number of earthen pots of size 6 " were filled with sterilized soil @ 1000 cc of soil per pot and tomato seeds were sown. The inoculation (a) one  $2^{nd}$  stage juvenile (J<sub>2</sub>) per cc of soil i.e. 1000 J, per pot was done at 2 weeks after sowing at 3-4 leaves stage. Then J<sub>2</sub> were inoculated in 3-4 holes with the help of 10 ml pipette per plant to a depth of 3-5 cm, near the rhizosphere and covered with soil and lightly watered. After 45 days of inoculation uprooting of the tomato plants was done carefully. The data on root knot index (0-5 scale, as per following chart mentioned below, Table 1), root and shoot length, fresh & dry root weight, egg masses per plant were recorded. Counting of galls and egg masses were carried in the laboratory under stereo zoom binocular. Then roots were kept in dry air oven at 45°C for 4-5 days for taking the dry weight. As per Heald et al. (1989), the root knot index was determined to show the degree of resistance. The critical difference (CD) at 5% level of significance was calculated from the recorded data and compared according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5% level of probability; the data was statistically analyzed in CRD.

#### Estimation of yield loss

This study was conducted on root knot nematode sick plots at Central Research Farm (CRF), BCKV during *rabi*, 2015-16 and 2016-17. Paired plot technique given by Leclerg, was followed in the experiment, viz., there were two treatments, treated ( $T_1$ ) and untreated ( $T_2$ ) and each treatment was replicated ten

		Yield/plot (kg)				
Paired Treated Untreated plots $(x_1)$ $(x_2)$ (No.)		Untreated (x <sub>2</sub> )	Difference $(x_1-x_2)$	Deviations from the mean of the difference (d)	Square of the deviations from the mean (d <sup>2</sup> )	
1	14.6	6.2	8.4	- 0.1667	0.0278	
2	12.6	3.3	9.3	0.7333	0.5377	
3	15.0	6.8	8.2	- 0.3667	0.1345	
4	15.6	6.6	9.0	0.4333	0.1877	
5	12.7	4.2	8.5	- 0.0667	0.0044	
6	12.0	4.0	8.0	- 0.5667	0.3211	
Sum	82.5	31.1	51.4		1.2132	
Mean	13.75	5.18	8.5667			

Table 2. Yields from a field experiment conducted to compare treated and untreated plots and some of the computations necessary for a test of significance between the two treatments.

times (Leclerg 1971). Initial population of RKN was determined before sowing of seeds by counting the number of J<sub>2</sub> following Cobb's sieving and decanting method from multiple samples and presented as number of INP/ 200 cm3 soil. Seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 60 cm X 40 cm plant to plant and row to row respectively. Ten plots were treated with Carbofuran granules @ 3 kg a.i. /ha before transplanting and the rest ten plots were kept as untreated. Observations on plant stands were taken at 15 days after transplanting and at harvest. Uprooted plants from each plot were washed carefully in the tap water to remove adhering soil particles. Number of root galls on roots per plant was counted and gall index as 1 to 5 scales were calculated. Yield (kg/ plots) was recorded and converted to quintal/ha. For determination of final nematode population, Cobb's sieving and decanting method was followed from 200 cc of composite soil sample.

#### Statistical analysis

Per cent yield increase and avoidable loss was calculated by the formula given below (Table 2).

#### Method of computation of t-value

From first set of paired plots in Table, the values in the last three columns are determined as follows: -

Difference,  $x_1 - x_2 = 14.6 - 6.2 = 8.4$ Deviation from the mean of the difference (d) d = 8.4 - 8.5667 = 0.1667 Square of the deviation from the mean difference (d<sup>2</sup>)  $d^2 = (-0.1667)2 = 0.0278$ 

Similar procedure is followed to obtain the values for the remaining paired plot data.

In this example n =number of paired plots = 6 (n-1) = degree of freedom i.e. 6-1 = 5Mean difference = 51.4/6 = 8.5667S = Standard deviation

$$=\sqrt{\frac{\text{sum of }d^2}{n-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{1.2132}{3}} = \sqrt{-0.2426} = 0.4925$$

Standard error (se) =  $\frac{\text{Standard deviation}}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

SD = standard error of mean\_difference

$$S = \frac{0.4925}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{0.4925}{\sqrt{6}} = 0.201$$

Substituting in <u>Equation (1)</u>, we obtain:

$$T = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{sd} = \frac{8.57}{0.201} = 42.64 = t$$

This calculated value of t'needs to be compared with tabulated value to ascertain whether the observed value is statistically significant at 0.05 and 0.01

## probability levels.

From the two mean tables in Table, one can compute the percentage reduction in actual yield per cent avoidable losses in yield.

100 × (13.7	$\frac{75-5.18}{2} = 62.3\%$
100 ×	13.75
	Yield in treated plots -
Demonst avaidable loog in vi	Yield in contrated Plots
Percent avoidable loss in yr	Yield in treated plots
	Yield in treated plots -
<b>D</b>	Yield in contrated Plots
Percent increase in yield =	Yield in untreated plots

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The screening experiment of germplasm in tomatoes consists of 31 genotypes including the var Patharkuchi as a check. The longest root length was found in accession EC- 620394 (7.9 cm) and the smallest (4.1 cm) in accession EC – 538153 (Table 3). The 2 accessions (EC-617047 and EC-620361) were found statistically at par with the longest one. The highest fresh root weight (5 g) and dry root weight (0.90 g) were observed in accession EC – 620410. All the genotypes showed different levels of gall index and the reaction of the host plant against *M. incognita*. Out of 31 germplasm, 3 germplasm were

 Table 3. Evaluation of tomato germplasm against root knot nematode at Nadia, West Bengal.

Root parameters								
Sl. No.	Germplasm	Root length (cm)	Fresh root weight (g)	Dry root weight (g)	Root knot index	Egg mass/ 5 g root	Reaction	
1	EC- 151568	4.87	3.30	0.45	5	21	HS	
2	EC-162601	4.80	2.40	0.33	4.4	13	HS	
3	EC-163605	5.16	1.60	0.21	4	18	S	
4	EC-164334	4.30	2.30	0.30	4.8	17	HS	
5	EC-164670	4.60	2.30	0.30	4.4	21	HS	
6	EC-164838	4.76	2.70	0.43	4.4	16	HS	
7	EC-164863	6.26	1.10	0.15	3	11	MR	
8	EC-165395	5.23	1.30	0.18	3.6	1	S	
9	EC-165690	5.40	1.70	0.25	3.8	15	S	
10	EC-165700	6.36	1.10	0.15	2.8	9	MR	
11	EC- 520078	6.10	0.66	0.13	3	8	MR	
12	ЕС- 521067-В	6.40	1.10	0.13	2.8	9	MR	
13	EC- 528368	5.50	1.80	0.22	4	11	S	
14	EC- 538153	4.10	2.60	0.38	4.2	18	HS	
15	EC- 538156	5.10	2.30	0.37	4	23	S	
16	EC- 567305	6.00	2.30	0.30	4	40	S	
17	EC- 617047	7.30	0.70	0.12	2	2	R	
18	EC- 620361	7.20	0.80	0.13	2.8	5	MR	
19	EC- 620372	5.30	2.90	0.47	4.4	35	HS	
20	EC- 620373	6.20	1.70	0.27	3.4	7	S	
21	EC-620382	6.30	1.70	0.25	4	13	S	
22	EC- 620394	7.90	0.70	0.12	2	3	R	
23	EC- 620396	5.00	3.20	0.47	4.6	20	HS	
24	EC- 620397	5.80	2.30	0.33	3.8	11	S	
25	EC- 620410	5.50	5.00	0.90	5	19	HS	
26	EC- 620417	6.30	2.20	0.28	3.2	6	S	
27	EC- 620427	7.00	0.60	0.11	2	2	R	
28	EC- 631359	6.10	2.40	0.37	3.8	11	S	
29	EC- 631369	4.70	2.60	0.42	4.4	10	HS	
30	EC- 620387	6.33	1.10	0.18	2.4	5	MR	
31	Check (Patharkuchi)	4.40	2.50	0.39	4.6	10	HS	
	Lsd(5%)	0.75	0.45	0.084	0.787	4.688	-	
	CV (%)	8.23	13.98	17.66	16.811	20.789	-	

R=Resistant, MR= Moderately resistant, S= Susceptible and HS=Highly susceptible, cm= centimeter, g = gram.

Table 4. Correlation between root knot index and other parameters.

Parameters	Root length	Fresh root weight	Dry root weight	Egg mass	
Root-knot index	-0.867 **	0.855**	0.761**	0.723**	

\*\* Significant at 1% level of significance.

recorded resistant, 6 were moderately resistant, 11 germplasm were susceptible, and 11 were highly susceptible. The lowest egg mass (1 egg mass) was found in the roots of the germplasm EC- 165395 and this was statistically insignificant. The roots of germplasm EC - 567305, recorded maximum egg masses. The correlation study of the root-knot index with root characters reveals a negative correlation (r = -0.867) between the growth of root length and the root-knot index while a positive correlation was found between the egg mass, fresh root weight, and dry root weight with root-knot index (r = 0.723, 0.855, 0.761 respectively) (Table 4). El-Sherif et al. (2007) also reported that root-knot nematode increases root weight for the most susceptible cultivar compared to resistant cultivar.

The yield loss assessment revealed that application of carbofuran 3G @ 3kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the yield of tomatoes by 5.62 % and 9.45 % in 2015-16 and 2016-17 respectively. No significant reduction in the root-knot index was noticed in the first year while a significant reduction in the root-knot index was found in 2016-17. A reduction in the *M. incognita* population by about 25% was observed by the application of carbofuran 3G @ 3 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>.The reduction in the number of gall index in treated plots was about 20%. The average initial population of larvae of root-knot nematodes was 360.25 and 230.15 per 200 cm<sup>3</sup> of soil for two years respectively. The avoidable yield losses were recorded at 5.32% & 8.63% for two years respectively (Table 5).

It is revealed from the study that when the tomato variety, Patharkuchi, was treated with Carbofuran 3G at 3 kg a.i. /ha it showed a better effect than the untreated control for both the years. The tomato fruit yield in the untreated control plot was low probably because of the growth-inhibiting action of root-knot nematode (*M. incognita*). On the other hand, the carbofuran treated plot recorded a higher yield of the crop. The sedentary endoparasitic feeding habit of root-knot nematode causes direct damage to the root system resulting in the reduction of crop yield. Carbofuran causes suppression in the root penetration of nematodes by virtue of its ovicidal action. It may give an idea that carbofuran may act directly on the soil nematodes thereby affecting egg hatching and larval movement inside the root. This statement is supported by the work of Di-Sanzo (1973), Adegbite, and Agbaje (2007). The mean root gall index (on a 0-5 scale) in treated plots was about 20% lower than that obtained from untreated roots. It meant that plants growing in nematode-infected soil suffered. significantly more root infection and that Carbofuran adversely affected the root penetration of M.

Treatment	Gall index (1-5 scale)		Final population of J <sup>2</sup> /200 cm <sup>3</sup> soil			Yield (q/ha) %		% increase in yield		% avoidable yield loss			
	2015-16	2016-17	Pooled	2015-16	2016-17	Pooled	2015-16	2016-17	Pooled	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
T1 T2	2.59 2.90	2.40 3.32	2.49 3.11	3011.42 4242.17	1102.20 1238.20	2056.81 2740.18	257.30 243.60	375.42 343.00	316.36 293.30	5.62	9.45	5.32	8.63
Calculated at 0.05%	t 1.15	8.49	-	1.91	1.07	-	1.37	1.48					
Table value	2.2	2.26	-	2.2	2.26	-	2.2	2.26					

Table 5. Estimation of avoidable yield loss due to Meloidogyne incognita in tomato during 2015-2016 and 2016-17 at Nadia, West Bengal.

 $T_1$ =Treated,  $T_2$ =Untreated, cm<sup>3</sup>=centimeter cube, q= quintal,  $J_2$ = 2<sup>nd</sup> Juvenile stage

incognita J<sub>2</sub>s, which eventually led to significantly reduced galling. Percent decline in final nematode population over untreated control was to the tune of 25.54 and 10.98 in Carbofuran treated plots, during the years respectively. The two season's data from yield losses on tomato variety Patharkuchi revealed that the infestation of root-knot nematode inflicted an average yield loss of 6.98 % and the adoption of appropriate control measures for the nematode can increase the average yield of the crop up to 7.54 %. Literature in support of tomato yield loss against rootknot nematode is insufficient. Because of this, the experimental outcomes are compared with some other crops. The results of the study are in agreement with Darekar and Mhase (1988), who reported that rootknot nematode, M. incognita race 3 causes 36.72% losses of bitter gourd yield in Coimbatore White long variety. Krishnaveni and Subramanian (2002) and Khanna and Kumar (2003) also recorded 69.2 % and 22.9 to 42.8% yield losses against root-knot nematode in cucumber and bitter gourd, respectively. Khan et al. (2014) also reported similar results on some cucurbitaceous crops like bottle gourd, snake gourd, bitter gourd, cucumber, and pumpkin. Lack of proper diagnostic above-ground symptoms, farmers are unaware of this hidden enemy of the crops due to which the crop losses increase with emphasis on proper management strategies against this nematode. Under these circumstances, the implementation of requisite steps should be done for the awareness as well as management of these nematodes to minimize economical losses to the farmer.

#### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that 6 medium resistant germplasms including 3 resistors (EC-617047, EC-620394, and EC-620427) are recommended for use in breeding studies as a potential component for the development of root-knot nematode-resistant varieties. The estimated loss of avoidable yield on tomatoes under field conditions in West Bengal is 5.32 -8.63%. Applying Carbofuran 3G @ 3 kg a.i can increase crop yield by 5.62 to 9.45%. Therefore, root-knot nematode (*M. incognita*) needs to be managed to get the maximum yield of the tomato crop.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are deeply indebted to the Project Coordinator, All India Coordinated Research Project (AICRP) on Nematodes in Agriculture, New Delhi for providing all the facilities for conducting the study.

#### REFERENCES

- Adegbite AA, Agbaje GO (2007) Efficacy of Carbofuran in control of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita* race 2) in hybrid yam varieties in southwestern Nigeria. *Elect J Env. Agric Food Chem.* 6: 2083–2094.
- Dareker KS, Mhase NL (1988) Assessment of yield loss due to root-knot nematode *M. incognita* race 3 in tomato, brinjal and bitter gourd. *Int Nematology Network Newsletter* 5: 7-9.
- Di-sanzo CP (1973) Nematode response to Carbofuran. J Nematol 5: 22-27.
- El-Sherif AG, Refaei AR, El-Nagar ME, Salem HM (2007) The role of eggs inoculum level of *Meloidogyne incognita* on their reproduction and host reaction. *Afr J Agric Res* 2: 159-163.
- Heald CM, Bruton BD, Davis RM (1989) Influence of *Glomus intradices* and soil phosphorus on *M. incognita* infecting Cucumis melo.*J Nematol* 21: 69-73.
- Hussey RS, Barker KR (1973) A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp., including a new technique. *Pl Dis Rep.*57: 1025-1028.
- Keren-Zur M, Antonov J, Bercovitz A, Feldman A, Keram G, Morov, Rebhum N (2000) *Baccillus firmus* formulation for the safe control of root knot nematodes. The BCPC conference. Pests and Disease, Brighton, UK. pp 307-331.
- Khan MR, Jain RK, Ghule TM, Pal S (2014) Root-knot nematodes in India-a comprehensive monograph. All India Coordinated Research Project on Plant Parasitic nematodes with integrated approach for their Control, IARI, New Delhi, pp 3.
- Khan MR, Mukhopadhyay AK (2004) Relative resistance of six cowpea cultivars as attacked by the concomitance of two nematodes and a fungus. *Nematol Mediterr* 17: 39-41.
- Khanna AS, Kumar S (2003) Assessment of avoidable yield losses in *Momordica charantia* due to *Meloidogyne incognita* race 2. *Ind J Hill Farming*.16: 111-112.
- Krishnaveni M, Subramanian S (2002) Assessment of yield loss in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) due to Meloidogyne incognita. Proc. of National Symposium on Biodiversity and Management of Nematodes in Cropping Systems for Sustainable Agriculture, Jaipur, India, 88-89.
- Leclerg EL (1971) Field experiments for assessment of crop losses. FAO manual of crop loss assessment method. 2.1.1-2:1-7.
- Noling JW (2002) Nematode management in okra (Fact Sheet ENY-043) in Florida nematode management guide. Department of Entomology and Nematology, Florida Co-operatives Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida.

Sharma HK, Pankaj AK, Lal J (2013) Effect of metham sodium on root-knot nematode infestation in tomato under protected cultivation. *Ind J Nematol* 43: 236-237. Whitehead AD, Hemming JR (1965) A comparison of some quantitative methods of extracting small and vermiform nematodes from soil. *Ann Appl Biol* 55: 25-38.