Environment and Ecology 40 (2C) : 866—872, April—June 2022 ISSN 0970-0420

# Influence of Post-Harvest Treatments on Physiological Loss in Weight (PLW) and Bio-Chemical Changes in Litchi cv. Shahi

A. Sarkar, N. W. Thongchi, A. Sema, K. Mathukmi, L. Hemanta

Received 28 November 2021, Accepted 2 March 2022, Published on 8 June 2022

### ABSTRACT

A laboratory research work was conducted to measure the physiological loss in weight (PLW) and biochemical changes of litchi cv Shahi as influenced by chemical treatments and storage conditions. The chemical treatments were C<sub>0</sub> (Control), C<sub>1</sub> (Sodium metabisulphite 0.3% + 3% HCl dip), C<sub>2</sub> (Sodium metabisulphite 0.6 % + 3% HCl dip), C<sub>3</sub> (Sodium Nitroprusside @ 0.05 mM), C<sub>4</sub> (Sodium Nitroprusside @ 1.0 mM), C<sub>5</sub> (Salicylic acid @ 0.5 mM), C<sub>6</sub> (Salicylic acid @ 1.0 mM), C<sub>7</sub> (Chitosan @ 1%), C<sub>8</sub> (Chitosan (a) 2%) and storage conditions were T1 (room temperature) and  $T_2$  (4°C + perforated LDPE bag). The experiment was laid out in two factors Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with three replications during 2018. All these treatments were examined for fruit morphological changes (physiological loss in weight during storage), total soluble solids and acid contents in fruit during storage. Overall result showed that the minimal physiological loss in weight (4.90%) was found in salicylic acid (@ 0.5 mM at 4°C with perforated LDPE bags along with the highest TSS (18.40 °Brix) and less acid content (0.45%) in fruit even at 6 DAH without decay incidence.

Keywords Litchi, PLW, Temperature, Quality, Storage.

# INTRODUCTION

Litchi (Litchi chinensis Sonn.) is one of the most relished sub-tropical fruit belonging to family sapindaceae. Litchi fruits are famous for its excellent quality, pleasant flavor and attractive color. Edible parts are fleshy white aril surrounding the seed. Litchi is a native of South China and Chinese consider this as the most unique gift of nature. Litchi reached India by the end of 17th century through Burma and from there, it spread to many countries. China, India, South Africa, Madagascar, Israel, Mauritius, USA, Indonesia, Philippines, Taiwan, Thailand, Australia, Brazil and Vietnam are the litchi growing countries in the world (Lemmer 2002). The short span of fruit availability coupled with poor shelf life limits the duration of availability of litchi fruits in the domestic as well as international market. The fruits are available

866

A. Sarkar<sup>1\*</sup>, N. W. Thongchi, A. Sema<sup>2</sup>, K. Mathukmi<sup>3</sup>,

L. Hemanta4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Asst. Professor, <sup>2</sup>Professor, <sup>3</sup>PhD Scholar, <sup>4</sup>Asst. Professor Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development, Medziphema Campus, Nagaland University, Nagaland 797106 Email: asarkar1919@rediffmail.com

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

from 15th May to 15th July as location specific and the shelf life can be extended up to 2 to 3 weeks. At present the export of fresh litchi fruit from India is negligible. Demand for litchi fruit is quite high due to its delicious taste, unique aroma and juicy nature but supply is limited due to its highly perishable and non climacteric with little change in soluble solids concentration on titratable acidity after harvesting the fruit deteriorate rapidly unless proper handling techniques are employed. The major factors reducing the storage life and the marketability of litchi fruit are microbial decay and pericarp browning. Moreover, the fruit deteriorate rapidly when removed from cold storage. Cold storage is mostly used to decline respiration rate, ethylene production and extension of post-harvest shelf life of fruit (Fattahi et al. 2010). Fruits also get spoiled soon after the harvest due to physiological and microbiological changes. Browning is associated with ascorbic acid oxidation which enhances anthocyanin degradation. Techniques to reduce browning and maintain the red color and prolonged storage life include packaging in perforated plastic bags and storage under cold conditions (Neog and Saikia 2010). Litchi fruits are very susceptible to postharvest decay and degradation of anthocyanin through enzymatic oxidation of phenolics by polyphenol oxidase and/or peroxidase (Sun et al. 2010). A wide range of fungal pathogens, bacteria and yeast have shown to cause postharvest disease in litchi fruits. Technique to alleviate the pericarp browning, control of postharvest decay and to extend the storage life of litchi fruit have included sulfur fumigation, fungicide dips, application of plant growth substances, waxes and chitosan coating (Kumar et al. 2017 and Shiekh et al. 2013), use of microbial antagonist, irradiation and acid treatment. Since most of the chemicals are being restricted to use commercially and have been proved as health hazards. So, alternative methods need to focus in reducing major post-harvest problem in order to produce light colored, chemical- free fruit without disease or insect infestation. Increased post-harvest losses along with high demand of fresh fruits has stricken the development of different storage technologies and handling protocols to enhance and prolong the overall quality during storage (Mangaraj et al. 2010). Modified atmospheric packaging, shrink wrap and vacuum packaging have been widely postulated to keep the fruit afresh with good quality for long time. Although India is the second largest producer of litchi, a commercially viable technique is not available here for its shelf life extension. Non-availability of a proper technique is the biggest barrier for ambitious vendors involved in trade of litchi fruits. The development of technology in an integrated manner by using different level of chemicals and stored under modified atmospheric condition with LDPE packaging can offer a practical solution to the difficulties associated with litchi.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development, Department of Horticulture, Medziphema, Nagaland University during the period of May, 2018. The fruit of litchi cv Shahi were collected from a SASRD orchard in Medziphema. Fully matured with flattened tubercles (smooth epical) and bright pinkish-red colored fruit were randomly harvested from all sides of the canopy along with a portion of the branch on second week of May 2018. Immediately after harvest, the fruits were taken to the research laboratory where fruit with a short stalk (2 inches) were detached from the branches with the help of secateurs. Large and uniform sized and colour, free from damage or blemishes were selected and also pre-cooled it by immersing it in ice water for five minutes. It is then immersed in different chemical treatment for two minute and packed using polypropylene bags for control temperature and without polypropylene bag for room control. The experiment was laid out in a completely two factors Randomized Design (CRD) with three numbers of replication and eighteen treatments consisting of 75 fruits in each replication. These eighteen treatment combination were as follows: i)  $C_0T_1$ -Control + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, ii) C<sub>0</sub>T<sub>2</sub>-Control and 4°C with perforated LDPE, iii)  $C_1T_1$ - Sodium Metabisulphite (0.3%) +3% HCl dip + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, iv) C<sub>1</sub>T<sub>2</sub>-Sodium Metabisulphite (0.3%) +3% HCl dip and 4°C with perforated LDPE, v) C, T, -Sodium Metabisulphite (0.6%)+3% HCl dip + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, vi) C<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub> - Sodium Metabisulphite (0.6%) +3% HCl dip + 4°C with perforated LDPE, vii) C<sub>2</sub>T<sub>1</sub>-Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) (a) 0. 5 mM + Room temperature without per-

forated LDPE bags, viii) C<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub> - Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) (a) 0. 5 mM + 4°C with perforated LDPE, ix)  $C_4T_1$  - Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) @ 1.0 mM + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, x) C<sub>4</sub>T<sub>2</sub> - Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) @ 1.0 mM + 4°C with perforated LDPE, xi)  $C_5T_1$  - Salicylic acid (a) 0.5mM + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, xii)  $C_sT_2$  - Salicylic acid @ 0.5 mM +  $4^{\circ}$ C with perforated LDPE, xiii) C<sub>6</sub>T<sub>1</sub> - Salicylic acid @ 1.0 mM + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, xiv)  $C_6T_2$  - Salicylic acid @ 1.0 mM + 4°C with perforated LDPE, xv)  $C_7T_1$  - Chitosan @ 1% + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, xvi)  $C_7T_7$ -Chitosan @ 1% + 4°C with perforated LDPE, xvii) C<sub>8</sub>T<sub>1</sub> - Chitosan @ 2% + Room temperature without perforated LDPE bags, xviii)  $C_{8}T_{2}$ -Chitosan @ 2% + 4°C with perforated LDPE.

### Physiological loss in weight (PLW)

The fruit weight was measured with the help of top pan balance and the average weight of all the samples kept in LDPE packages as well as control samples were recorded daily using a weighing balance having least count 0.001g. The physiological losses in weight (%) of the samples were noted down at 12 hours interval and continued up to 72 hours on the basis of the produce and computed using the following formula:

PLW % = 
$$\frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1} \times 100$$

Where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are the initial and final weight of the fruits in gram (Mangaraj and Goswami 2011).

### **Total soluble solids**

The total soluble solid (TSS) concentration of litchi fruits was analyzed every two days interval starting from first day of harvesting to sixth day of storage in freeze as well as in room condition and the TSS content of the fruit was determined with the help of ERMA hand refractometer, calibrated at 20°C. The reading was corrected as per international correction table and the result was represented as °Brix (AOAC 1984).

#### **Total titratable acidity**

It was determined every two days interval by titrating the extracted juice against N/10 NaOH using phenolphthalein as indicator and expressed in percentage (AOAC 1984).

% Acid =	Titrate x normality of alkali x meq wt. of acid x 100
	volume of sample

Note: mille equivalent (meq) weight of citric acid is 0.064.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Physiological loss in weight (%)

Data presented in Table 1 depicts the significant difference in the physiological loss in weight (PLW) of fruits as influenced by the chemical treatments, temperature and their interactions. The results indicated that refrigerated condition with LDPE bag have marked influence on the PLW of the fruit during storage. It was noted that the fruit stored at 4°C + perforated LDPE bag  $(T_2)$  storage condition followed a slower rate weight loss in fruits indicating by 9.30% at 72 hours after harvesting while the fruits kept under room temperature without perforated LDPE bag  $(T_1)$ showed 12.00% loss in weight at end of 72 hours. The possible reason to reduce weight loss might be due to evaporation and transpiration processes. The fruits treated with different chemical concentrations had a significant influence during storage of fruits. However, in general, the fruits treated with salicylic acid at  $0.5 \text{ mM} (C_s)$  had a slight edge over other treatment during observation having the least fruit weight loss up to 72 hours after harvested (7.60%) followed by sodium metabisulphite (a) 0.6% + 3% HCl (C2) with 8.80 % and Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) @ 1.0 mM  $(C_4)$  with 8.90% whereas, the highest weight loss was observed in C<sub>8</sub> (Chitosan @ 2%) with 13.50% at 72 hours after harvest. The lowest level of PLW (4.90%) was recorded when the fruits was treated with salicylic acid @ 0.5 mM and stored at 4°C with perforated LDPE bag (C5T2). Kazemi et al. (2011) also found the lowest PLW loss in apple fruits during storage treated with salicylic acid and stored in the control temperature. Salicylic acid is well known as a

Treatments	12 hrs	24 hrs	36 hrs	48 hrs	60 hrs	72 hrs
Temperature (T)						
T <sub>1</sub> (Room temperature	0.70	2.20	4.00	6.10	9.00	12.00
$T_2$ (4°C + perforated LDPE bag)	0.30	1.30	2.70	5.10	6.60	9.30
SEm±	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.06
CD at 5%	0.07	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.17	0.17
Chemicals (C)						
	0.70	2.20	4.00	6.10	9.00	12.00
$C_0 \\ C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \\ C_5 \\ C_6 \\ C_7 \\ C_8$	0.30	2.60	3.50	5.20	6.20	9.80
$C_2^{1}$	0.40	0.40	1.70	4.30	3.40	8.80
$C_2^2$	0.50	0.70	3.80	7.00	8.60	13.40
Ċ,	0.60	0.60	2.50	5.10	6.10	8.90
$C_{\epsilon}^{4}$	0.30	1.50	1.90	3.40	4.20	7.60
C.	1.00	2.90	4.40	7.30	9.10	12.00
$C_{-}^{6}$	0.40	2.60	4.90	8.30	9.90	13.30
$\mathbf{C}_{2}^{\prime}$	0.80	3.30	6.10	6.50	7.90	13.50
sem±	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.10
CD at 5%	0.15	0.24	0.35	0.27	0.37	0.30
Interactions $(C \times T)$						
$\begin{array}{c} C_{0}T_{1}\\ C_{0}T_{2} \end{array}$	0.70	2.20	4.00	6.10	9.00	12.00
$C_0 T_2$	0.30	1.30	2.70	5.10	6.60	9.30
$\begin{array}{c} C_1^{'}T_1^{'}\\ C_1^{'}T_2^{'}\end{array}$	0.40	3.60	4.30	5.90	11.00	11.00
$C_1 T_2$	0.30	1.60	2.60	4.60	6.20	8.40
$C_{2}T_{1}$	0.50	0.40	2.30	5.10	5.00	11.00
C <sub>2</sub> T <sub>2</sub>	0.30	0.30	1.10	3.40	3.40	6.50
$\begin{array}{c} C_{3}^{2} T_{1}^{2} \\ C_{3} T_{2} \\ C_{4} T_{1} \\ C_{4} T_{2} \\ C_{4} T_{2} \end{array}$	0.90	1.10	4.50	8.00	11.00	14.00
$C_{2}T_{2}$	0.20	0.20	3.00	6.10	8.60	13.90
$C_4 T_1^2$	0.80	0.80	2.70	5.20	7.10	10.00
	0.40	0.40	2.30	5.00	6.10	7.90
$C_{5}^{4}T_{1}^{2}$ $C_{5}T_{2}$ $C_{6}T_{1}$ $C_{6}T_{2}$	0.40	1.90	2.20	4.30	8.20	10.00
$C_{s}T_{2}$	0.20	1.20	1.40	2.60	4.20	4.90
$C_{c}^{3}T_{c}^{2}$	1.10	2.70	4.50	7.50	9.10	13.00
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{c}}^{\circ}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{c}}^{1}$	0.90	3.10	4.20	7.10	9.10	11.40
$C_{7}^{\circ}T_{1}^{2}$	0.50	3.40	5.00	8.60	10.50	13.00
$\begin{array}{c} C_7 & T_1 \\ C_7 & T_2 \end{array}$	0.20	1.70	4.80	8.00	9.90	13.20
$C_8^7 T_1^2$	0.50	1.70	2.40	3.60	7.00	10.00
$C_8^{-1}T_2$	0.20	1.90	3.50	6.60	7.90	12.40
$sEm\pm$	0.07	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.18	0.15
CD at 5%	0.21	0.34	0.50	0.38	0.52	0.42

Table 1. Effect of temperature, chemicals and their interaction on physiological weight loss (%) in litchi cv Shahi.

signal molecule in the induction defense mechanisms in plants and decrease respiration through inhibition of biosynthesis and prevent ACO activity that is the direct precursor of ethylene and decrease Reactive Oxygene Species (ROS) with increase enzyme antioxidant activity and inhibit ethylene production in kiwifruit (Fattahi *et al.* 2010). The chemical treatments and storage condition have great significant effects on the PLW (%) in progress of storage. The fruits stored at  $T_2$  (4°C + perforated LDPE bag) was found to show less PLW than those stored in  $T_1$  (room temperature without perforated LDPE bag). Fruit treated with salicylic acid (@ 0.5mM 4°C + perforated LDPE bag showed less PLW on all days of observation. Similar findings were concurrent with Kumari *et al.* (2015) who showed that PLW loss was significantly higher in untreated fruit than in the fruits subjected to salicylic acid and packed in perforated LDPE package. Shugaev *et al.* (2014) found the effects of salicylic acid (0.5 mM) on mitochondrial respiration and it reduced the respiratory control ratio by 25% in the taproots and 35% in cotyledons. Barman and Asrey

(2014) also mentioned that the loss of mango fruit weight with the advancement of storage period could be controlled with salicylic acid and it was assumed due to the fact that salicylic acid suppressed the transpiration rate of mango fruit by closing the stomata of the treated fruit.

# Changes in TSS (°B)

The data pertaining to the TSS content as influenced

by storage conditions and different chemical treatments and their interaction are shown in Table 2. The results indicated that storage conditions have marked influence on the TSS content of the fruit during storage. A general increasing trend in TSS content of fruit under different storage condition and chemically treated fruits was found to be vary significantly from 0 DAH to 6 DAH (p=4.0777E-09). It is suspected that an increase in TSS might be due to ripening changes in fruit right after harvesting (Aklimuzzaman *et al.* 

Table 2. Effect of temperature, chemicals and their interaction on TSS and acidity of litchi cv Sha	Table 2. Effect of temperature
---	--------------------------------

		TS	S <sup>0</sup> B		1	Acidity (%)		
Treatments	0	2	4	6	0	2	4	6
	DAH	DAH	DAH	DAH	DAH	DAH	DAH	DAH
Temperature (T)								
T <sub>1</sub> (Room								
temperature)	15.10	15.60	15.63	15.64	0.63	0.61	0.58	0.56
$T_2$ (4°C + perforated								
LDPE bag)	15.40	16.13	16.46	16.80	0.60	0.58	0.56	0.52
SEm±	0.12	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.005
CD at 5%	NS	0.51	0.09	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Chemicals (C)								
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C}_{0}\\ \mathbf{C}_{1} \end{array}$	15.35	15.85	15.87	16.12	0.63	0.60	0.58	0.56
C <sub>1</sub>	15.50	15.73	15.95	16.12	0.63	0.61	0.59	0.55
$C_2$ $C_3$ $C_4$ $C_5$ $C_6$ $C_7$ $C_8$	14.88	15.45	15.8	16.05	0.59	0.59	0.57	0.49
C <sub>3</sub>	15.05	15.70	15.83	16.26	0.62	0.61	0.61	0.49
$C_4$	15.83	15.78	15.93	16.02	0.63	0.57	0.56	0.57
C <sub>5</sub>	15.67	16.27	16.25	16.15	0.58	0.51	0.50	0.49
C <sub>6</sub>	15.10	16.47	16.48	16.47	0.63	0.61	0.60	0.61
C <sub>7</sub>	15.15	16.00	16.12	16.33	0.59	0.56	0.55	0.53
	14.97	15.52	16.17	16.52	0.64	0.61	0.57	0.51
SEm±	0.25	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.007	0.01	0.01
CD at 5%	NS	1.08	0.19	0.25	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03
Interactions (C × T)								
$\begin{array}{c} C_0 T_1 \\ C_0 T_2 \end{array}$	14.83	15.33	15.33	15.50	0.63	0.61	0.58	0.56
$C_0 T_2$	15.87	16.37	16.40	16.77	0.60	0.58	0.56	0.52
$C_1 T_1$	15.33	15.40	15.50	15.60	0.65	0.63	0.60	0.55
$C_1 T_2$	15.67	16.07	16.40	16.80	0.61	0.58	0.58	0.55
$C_2 T_1$	14.83	15.33	15.70	15.77	0.54	0.54	0.53	0.51
$C_2 T_2$ $C_3 T_1$	14.93	15.57	15.90	17.03	0.63	0.59	0.56	0.47
$C_3 T_1$	15.33	15.40	15.50	15.40	0.60	0.56	0.56	0.50
$C_3 T_2$	14.77	16.00	16.17	16.90	0.63	0.60	0.56	0.49
$\begin{array}{c} C_3 T_2 \\ C_4 T_1 \\ C_4 T_2 \end{array}$	16.00	15.40	15.30	15.37	0.67	0.60	0.60	0.56
$C_4 T_2$	15.67	16.17	16.57	16.67	0.60	0.60	0.59	0.59
$C_5 T_1$	15.33	15.67	15.13	15.55	0.63	0.62	0.59	0.56
$C_5 T_2$	16.00	16.87	17.37	18.40	0.53	0.52	0.47	0.45
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C}_{5}^{T}\mathbf{T}_{1}\\ \mathbf{C}_{5}^{T}\mathbf{T}_{2}\\ \mathbf{C}_{6}^{T}\mathbf{T}_{1}\\ \end{array} $	14.67	16.17	16.23	16.03	0.64	0.68	0.66	0.64
$C_6 T_2$	15.53	16.77	16.73	16.90	0.62	0.57	0.58	0.58
$C_6^{\circ} T_2^{1}$ $C_7^{\circ} T_1^{1}$	15.10	16.10	16.00	16.10	0.63	0.61	0.60	0.57
$C_7 T_2$	15.20	15.90	16.23	16.57	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.50
$C_8T_1$	14.80	15.27	16.00	16.10	0.63	0.59	0.53	0.53
$C_8 T_2$	15.13	15.77	16.33	16.67	0.65	0.63	0.58	0.50
SEm±	0.35	0.16	0.09	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
CD at 5%	NS	1.53	0.27	0.36	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04

2011). The fruits stored at 4°C under perforated LDPE bag (T<sub>2</sub>) had higher TSS content with 16.80°B while the lowest TSS content under room temperature without perforated LDPE bag  $(T_1)$  with 15.64°B at end of storage period (6 DAH). The present finding of increase in TSS were in close conformity with the finding of Kazemi et al. (2011) who reported that total soluble solids content of fruit during storage was considered an index of fruit ripening during storage and increased in TSS corresponds to a conversion of starch to soluble sugars. The fruits treated with different chemicals also showed the significant influence on changes of TSS content in fruits with progress of storage period. The fruits treated with chitosan @ 2% (C<sub>o</sub>) showed the highest TSS (16.52°B) while the lowest in sodium metabisulphite (a) 0.6% + 3% HCl  $(C_2)$  with 16.05<sup>0</sup>B at end of storage (6 DAH). Chemicals, storage condition and their interactions have depicted significant influence on the TSS content of fruits on most of observation. The highest level of TSS (18.40°B) was observed by salicylic acid @ 0.5 mM stored at 4°C with perforated LDPE bag ( $C_5T_2$ ) which was followed by Sodium Metabisulphite (0.6%) + 3%HCl dip + 4°C with perforated LDPE ( $C_{2}T_{2}$ ) with 17.03°B while the lowest was noticed in control  $(C_0T_1)$  with 15.50°B. Bal and Celik (2010) showed the highest TSS content of kiwifruit treated with salicylic acid @ 0.5mM over other postharvest treatments. Total soluble solids increased steadily among the samples treated with higher level of salicylic acid (Ali et al. 2013) also found to decrease titratable acidity initially in all treatments with a rapid decline in control followed by salicylic acid (2mM) up to 12 days at ambient storage condition in apricot fruit. Aklimuzzaman et al. (2011) also noted to decrease the acidity with progress in storage period in litchi.

### CONCLUSION

Post-harvest treatments with chemicals and different storage condition along with packaging materials results in the alteration of morphological attributes on post-harvest quality of litchi fruits. The litchi fruits treated with salicylic acid @ 0.5mM and stored at 4 0C with perforated LDPE bags is considered the best treatment and can be potentially used as pre-storage components to control physiological weight loss and decay as well as to maintain a steady TSS and acid content in fruits.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Department of Horticulture, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development (SASRD), Nagaland University for providing the space, laboratory and also materials for conducting the research.

#### REFERENCES

- Aklimuzzaman M, Goswami C, Howlader J, Kader HA, Hassan KM (2011) Post-harvest storage behavior of litchi. J Hort Sci Biotech 15(3): 1-8.
- Ali S, Masud T, Abbasi KS, Mahmood J (2013) Effect of different concentrations of salicylic acid on keeping quality of apricot cv habi at ambient storage. *Food Chem* Toxicol 2 2 (6):69-78.
- AOAC (1984) Official methods of analysis. association of official analytical chemist 15<sup>th</sup> edn Washington DC.
- Bal E, Celik S (2010) The effects of postharvest treatments of salicylic acid and potassium permanganate on the storage of kiwifruit. *Bulg J Agril Sci*, 16 (5):576-584.
- Barman K, Asrey R (2014) Salicylic acid pre-treatment alleviates chilling injury, preserves bioactive compounds and enhances shelf life of mango fruit during cold storage. J Sci Indus Res 73:713-718.
- Fattahi J, Fifaii R, Babri M (2010) Postharvest quality of kiwifruit (Actinidia deliciosa cv Hayward) affected by pre-storage application of salicylic acid. S Western J Hortic Biol Environ 1:175-186.
- Gupta NS, Jawandha K, Gill SP (2011) Effect of calcium on cold storage and post cold storage quality of peach. J Food Sci Tech 48(2):225-229.
- Kazemi M, Aran M, Zamani S (2011) Effect of calcium chloride and salicylic acid treatment on quality characteristics of kiwifruit (*Actinidia deliciosa* cv. Hayward) during storage. *Am J Pl Physio* 6(3):183-189.
- Kumar P, Sethi S, Sharma RR, Srivastava M, Varghese E (2017) Effect of chitosan coating on post-harvest life and quality of plum during storage at low temperature. *Sci Hortic* 226: 104-109.
- Kumari P, Barman K, Patel VB, Siddiqui MW, Kole B (2015) Reducing postharvest pericarp browning and preserving health promoting compounds of litchi fruit by combination treatment of salicylic acid and chitosan. *Sci Hortic* 197: 555-563.
- Lemmer D (2002) Report on Chinese litchi Industry. South African Litchi Grower Association Year Book 13:1-4.
- Mangaraj S, Goswami TK (2011) Modeling of respiration rate of litchi fruit under aerobic conditions. *Food Bio-process Tech* 4(2): 272-281.
- Mangaraj S, Varshney AC, Singh KK, Reddy BS (2010) Development and evaluation of a prospective grader for spherical fruits. *Ama Agr Mech Asia Afa* 41: 55-62.

- Neog M, Saikia L (2010) Control of post-harvest pericarp browning of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* sonn.). *J Food Sci Tech* 47(1): 100-104.
- Shiekh RA, Malik MA, Al-Thabaiti SA, Shiekh MA (2013) Chitosan as novel edible coating for fresh fruits. *Food Sci Tech Res* 19 (2): 139-155.
- Shugaev A G, Butsanets P A, Andreev I M, Shugaeva NA (2014)

Effect of salicylic acid on the metabolic activity of plant mitochondria. *Russian J Pl Physiol* 61:520–528.

Sun D, Liang G, Xie J, Lei X, Mo Y (2010) Improved preservation effects of litchi fruit by combining chitosan coating with ascorbic acid treatment during postharvest storage. *African J Biotech.* 9:3272-3279.