Environment and Ecology 42 (3) : 956—960, July—September 2024 Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.60151/envec/OHJA3376 ISSN 0970-0420

Characters Study of Selection Criteria and Genetic Variability in Forage Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) Genotype for Green Fodder Yield and Its Contributed Traits

Nikhil, S. K. Singh, L. K. Gangwar, Alok Kumar Mourya, Pushpendra, Aman Srivastava, Chiranjeev

Received 29 September 2023, Accepted 2 May 2024, Published on 3 July 2024

ABSTRACT

The investigation entitled "Characters Study of Selection Criteria and Genetic Variability in Forage Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) Genotype for Green Fodder Yield and Its Contributed Traits" were conducted at Crop Research Center, Modipuram, SVPUA and T, Meerut 250110 (UP) during *kharif* season 2019-20. Genetic variability studies were carried out for 33 sorghum genotypes during the years 2019-20. The analysis of variance revealed that there

^{1,2,3,7}Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, SardarVallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut 250110, India

Email : alokkumarmourya@gmail.com

was significant amount of variation for all the ten characters. Days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf length, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant was shown to have been recognized as having high heritability value. High estimate of (phenotypic coefficient of variance and genotypic coefficient of variance) PCV and GCV were observed for plant height, leaf area and green fodder yield per plant. The genetic divergence by Tocher's method for seed yield and it's component traits in sorghum genotypes. On the basis of genetic divergence under study, it is suggested that the crosses between cluster IV and cluster III, cluster II and cluster V be used for an effective in hybridization breeding program. The percent contribution of ten characters towards total genetic divergence showed that green fodder yield per plant maximum contribution to divergence followed by leaf area, leaves per plant, plant height and leaf breadth and lower contribution was made by leaf length towards the divergence.

Keywords Genetic advance, Genetic variability, Heritability, Divergence, Quantitative traits.

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) is a plant belonging to the family of grasses (Poaceae). Sorghum is a C_4 grass plant that diverged from maize

Nikhil¹, Dr S. K. Singh², Dr L. K. Gangwar³, Alok Kumar Mourya^{4*}, Pushpendra⁵, Aman Srivastava⁶, Chiranjeev⁷

²Professor, ³Professor and Head

⁵Senior Research Fellow

⁴Department of Genetics and Plant breeding, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur 208002, India

⁵ICAR-Indian Institute of Maize Research, Ludhiana, Punjab 141004, India

⁶Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Dr Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Samastipur 848125, India

^{*}Corresponding author

approximately 15 million years ago, making it the fifth most important cereal grain produced world wide (Doggett 1988). Although sorghum is well adapted to tropical and subtropical climates, most of its acreage is in drought-prone, semi-arid tropical regions of the world. Under such harsh environmental conditions, sorghum is produced primarily for human consumption and then as animal feed and fodder. The ploidy varies in Para sorghum from 2n = 10 to 2n = 40 and most of species in Stiposorghum are diploid with 2n = 10, while S. interjectum has 2n =30, 40 and S. plumosum has 2n = 10, 20, 30 (Garber 1950, Lazarides et al. 1991, Dillon et al. 2007). Appropriate selection strategies to identify genotypes with desirable traits are essential for successful plant breeding programs. Analysis of variability among traits and the identification of associations among various traits contributing to yield would facilitate successful development of high yielding varieties. However, crop selection alone cannot be effective in developing varieties, so make the suitable varieties by selection toward yield and farmer preferred characteristics. The identification of yield related traits could result in more effective selection for green fodder yield and farmer preferred traits. The high level of genetic diversity and characterization of accessions integrated into world collections is essential in order to classify, manage exotic germplasm, collect and ultimately utilize the different genetic improvement of the crop.

Plant genetic resources are essential for future food security to meet the needs of Uttar Pradesh. Greater use of this diversity in sorghum breeding applications, to develop broad genetic base varieties, will lead to sustainable sorghum production. A good understanding of genetic diversity among accessions will allow accurate breeding. Therefore, genetic variants threaten sorghum diversity. The aim of the survey was to assess the level of genetic diversity and genetic variation studies for production, feeding and nutritional quality in forage sorghum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material used in present investigation consisted of 33 advance lines of sorghum are enlisted below:

| These genotypes are | These | genotypes | are- |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|------|
|---------------------|-------|-----------|------|

| Sl. No. | Genotype | Sl. No. | Genotype | Sl. No. | Genotype |
|-------------|--|----------------|--|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 2 3 | HC-541 Pant chari-8 Pusa chari-6 | 12 13 14 | Pant chari-3 Pant chari-5 HC-573 | 23 24 25 | CSV-14 CSV-13 Bundela |
| 4 | HC-171 HC-136 | 15 16 | HC-308 Pusa chari-9 | 26 27 | chari HJ-513 CSV-12 |
| 6 | Pratap Raj Jowar-1 | 17 | Pant chari-4 | 28 | HC-260 |
| 7 | MP chari | 18 | SSG59-3 | 29 | CSV-28 |
| 8 9 | HJ-541 Pusa chari-615 | 19 20 | Pusa chari-23 Versha | 30 31 | CSV-20 PJ-1430 |
| 10 11 | Pant chari-6 Pant chari-2 | 21 22 | CSV-15 Pant chari-7 | 32 33 | CSV-27 CSV-23 |
| | | | | | |

The experiment was conducted according to a Randomized Block Design (RBD). All the recommended crop cultivation practices were followed to raise a good crop of sorghum with 4 row plot of 5 meter length. The row to row spacing was 30 cm and plant to plant distance was 10 cm respectively. Observations were recorded on yield and yield attributing characters. All the observations were taken from each plot, on randomly five selected plants from each genotype. The data were recorded for the following characters viz., days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf length, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant. The mean values of genotypes in each replication were used for statistical analysis. The steps involved in the analysis of variance were as described by Fisher (1955). The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were calculated as per the formula suggested by Burton and Devane (1952). GCV and PCV values were categorized as low (0-10%), moderate (11-20%) and high (> 20%) as indicated by Sivasubramaniam and Madhavamenon (1973). Heritability (as broad sence) was calculated as per Allard (1960). The heritability percentage was categorized as low (0-30%), moderate (30-60%) and high (>60%) as given by Johnson et al. (1955) and genetic advance as per Johnson et al. (1955) were also worked out. The genetic advance was categorized as low (0-10%), moderate (11 - 20%) and high (>20%) as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955). The multivariate analysis of genetic divergence using Mahalanobis D^2 statistics was first suggested by Rao (1952).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance

Analysis of variance revealed significant differences for all 10 studied traits in the 33 forage sorghum genotypes shown in Table 1 and indicated that the mean value of square clusters due to genotype was highly significant for all the studied characters viz.,days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf length, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant. The results of the present study are in agreement with the Khadakabhavi *et al.* (2014), Yadav *et al.* (2019), Singh *et al.* (2019) and Prasad and Sridhar (2020) who had also reported the high variability in sorghum for different traits.

Coefficient of variation

In the study of phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) is higher then genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) for all the characters while the differences between phenotypic coefficient of variance and genotypic coefficient of variance are smaller that indicated that environmental impact of factor is less (Table 2). The high phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variance was observed with green fodder yield (28.95, 28.88) followed by leaf area (27.94, 27.68), plant height (26.79, 25.78) and leaf stem ratio (20.04, 19.49).

Heritability analysis

The high heritability (>60%) in broad sense was revealed for all the traits (Table 2) namely, days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf length, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant. The finding of heritability was in consonance with the finding of by Singh *et al.* (2016), Jimmy *et al.* (2017), Rajarajan *et al.* (2018) and Wadikar *et al.* (2018).

Genetic advance analysis

Estimates of genetic advance expressed as percent of mean was highly (>20%) observed (Table 2) for plant height, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant which indicates that good response for selection based on *per se* performance of these traits. Similar result was also noted by earlier workers Malik *et al.* (2015), Kalpande *et al.* (2018), Karadi and Kajjidoni (2019) and Dev *et al.* (2019).

The present study revealed high heritability combined with high genetic gain as percentage mean for most of the characters, indicating the presence of significant genetic variation and additive gene effects. Moreover, it is always desirable to compute broad sense heritability in conjunction with genetic advance because the information of heritability coupled with genetic advance help to explain the role of phenotypic variance.

Divergence analysis

In present investigation based on D^2 values (Tables 3 - 5) thirty three genotypes of sorghum were grouped into six clusters using tencomponent characters. Among the clusters (Table 4), cluster I was the largest with fifteen genotypes, cluster II and V had 4 genotypes each, cluster III with 7 genotypes, cluster IV had 1 genotype and cluster VI with 2 genotypes

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for ten characters of thirty three genotypes in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). *, ** Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively.

| Source of variation | df | Days to 50% flowering | Plant height (cm) | Leaf len- Le gth (cm) | eaf breadt (cm) | h Leaf area S (cm ²) | Stem girth (mm) | Leaves per plant | Leaf stem ratio | Total soluble solids (%) | Green fodder yield per plant (g) |
|---------------------|----|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Replication | 2 | 38.25 | 1.72 | 1.81 | 0.12 | 240.21 | 0.03 | 0.91 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 2.61 |
| Treatment | 32 | 151.00** | * 7064.15** | 82.74** | 2.86** | 9874.90** | 8.40** | 7.50** | 0.12** | 6.67** | 23959.17** |
| Error | 64 | 10.35 | 1.27 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 94.54 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 2.01 |

 Table 2. Estimates of variability parameters for ten characters in forage sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench).

| Characters | GCV PCV He (%) (%) | 2 | Genetic advance | Genetic advance (as % of mean) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|---|
| Days to 50% | | | | |
| flowering | 8.30 9.17 | 81.92 | 12.77 | 15.47 |
| Plant height (cm) | 25.78 26.79 | 99.95 | 39.93 | 42.79 |
| Leaf length (cm) | 7.27 7.38 | 97.04 | 10.60 | 14.76 |
| Leaf breadth (cm) | 15.14 15.44 | 96.19 | 1.96 | 30.59 |
| Leaf area (cm ²) | 27.68 27.94 | 97.18 | 29.95 | 35.90 |
| Stem girth (mm) | 9.94 9.99 | 98.69 | 3.42 | 20.34 |
| Leaves per plant | 14.73 15.68 | 88.22 | 2.99 | 28.50 |
| Leaf stem ratio | 19.59 20.04 | 95.59 | 0.13 | 39.46 |
| Total soluble | | | | |
| solids (%) | 17.48 17.61 | 98.57 | 3.04 | 35.75 |
| Green fodder | | | | |
| yield per plant (g) | 28.88 28.95 | 99.97 | 18.06 | 34.76 |

Table 3. Average intra and inter cluster (D2 value) distance in thirty three genotype of forage sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). Bold values are intra cluster distance.

| Clusters | Ι | II | III | IV | V | VI |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Ι | 1.93 | 2.36 | 3.23 | 6.73 | 2.90 | 5.76 |
| II | | 2.15 | 4.47 | 7.75 | 4.09 | 5.91 |
| III | | | 2.27 | 7.04 | 3.15 | 4.52 |
| IV | | | | 1.01 | 6.71 | 7.29 |
| V | | | | | 1.42 | 4.89 |
| VI | | | | | | 2.13 |

which concluded that in general, there was parallelism between genetic and geographic diversity. Cluster IV is represented by a single genotype that differs

 Table 4. Distribution of thirty three genotypes of forage sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench).

| Clusters number | No. of genotypes | Genotypes |
|-----------------|------------------|---|
| Ι | 15 | HC-171, MP chari, Pant chari-6, Pant chari-3, Pant chari-5, Pant chari-4, Versha, CSV-15, CSV-14, CSV-13, Bundela chari, CSV-12, CSV-28,CSV-20 and CSV-23 |
| II | 4 | Pant chari-2, Pusa chari-9, SSG59-3 and CSV-27 |
| III | 7 | Pant chari-8, Pusa chari-6, Pusa chari-615, HC-573, HC-308, Pant chari-7 and PJ-1430 |
| IV | 1 | Pratap Raj Jowar 1 |
| V | 4 | HC-541, HC-136, HJ-541 and HC-260 |
| VI | 2 | Pusa chari-23 and HJ-513 |

Table 5. Contribution of different characters in creating diversity in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) based on Mahalanobis's D² analysis.

| Sl.No. | Characters | Contribution (%) |
|--------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Days to 50% flowering | 2.55 |
| 2 | Plant height (cm) | 8.66 |
| 3 | Leaf length (cm) | 0.99 |
| 4 | Leaf breadth (cm) | 8.02 |
| 5 | Leaf area (cm ²) | 10.87 |
| 6 | Stem girth (mm) | 1.55 |
| 7 | Leaves per plant | 9.22 |
| 8 | Leaf stem ratio | 5.94 |
| 9 | Total soluble solids (%) | 3.69 |
| 10 | Green fodder yield per plant (g) | 11.12 |

independently from other genotypes. The formation of isolated clusters may be due to complete isolation preventing gene flow or intensive natural/human selection on different adaptive complexes. These genotypes may be very unique and useful in breeding point of view. Fifteen varieties grouped under Cluster I indicates their proximity and narrow genetic base. This view point has been supported by the work of Shivani and Sreelakshmi (2013), Elangovan *et al.* (2014), Elangovan and Kiran (2015) and Umakanth *et al.* (2019).

CONCLUSION

The accomplishment of any breeding program objective depends upon the extent of genetic variability in base population and it is essential to subject a population for selection to achieve improvement in a particular trait. In the present study the analysis of variation shows highly significant differences among the genotypes for characters studied viz., days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf length, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant would be important for bringing on crop improvement. High estimate of PCV and GCV were observed for the plant height, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant. The PCV and GCV for green fodder yield per plant showed more differences between these two mean and showed more interaction of environment for expression of characters. The magnitude of phenotypic coefficients of variation in selected sorghum genotypes was higher than that of the genotypic coefficients of variation, indicating that environmental factors are influencing studied characters. Studies on heritability and genetic advance revealed for plant height, leaf breadth, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant may be improved through simple selection procedures. Genotypes Pratap Raj Jowar-1, Pusa Chari-23, HJ-513, Pant Chari-2, Pusa Chari-9, SSG59-3 and CSV-27 have been proved to be major contributors to its economic superiority and parents may be involved in crossing program for developing high yielding varieties in forage sorghum.

REFERENCES

- Allard RW (1960) Principles of plant breeding (1st edn.), John Wiley and Sons. Inc, New York.
- Burton GW, Devane EH (1952) Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinaceae*) from replicated clonal material, *Agronomy J* 45 (3) : 478–481.
- Dev A, Singh SK, Chand P, Kumar M, Kumar M, Poonia M, Srivastava M (2019) Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in forage sorghum. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 8 (5): 1135–1139.
- Dillon SL, Shapter FM, Henry RJ, Cordeiro G, Izquierdo L, Slade LL (2007) Domestication to Crop Improvement : Genetic Resources for Sorghum and Saccharum (Andropogoneae). Ann Bot 100 : 975–989.
 - DOI.org/10.1093/aob/mcm192.
- Doggett E (1988) Sorghum John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, NY, USA.
- Elangovan M, Kiran BP (2015) Genetic variability and diversity of sorghum land race collected from Uttar Pradesh India. *Indian J Plant Genetic Resource* 28 (2) : 213–221.
- Elangovan M, Kiran BP, Seetharama N, Patil JV (2014) Genetic diversity and heritability characters associated in sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). *Sugar Tech* 16 (2) : 200–210.
- Fisher RA (1955) Statistical methods and scientific induction. J of the Royal Statistical Society. *Series B (Methodological)* 17 (1): 69–78.
- Garber ED (1950) Cytotaxonomic studies in the genus Sorghum. University of California Publications in Botany 23: 283— 361.
- Jimmy ML, Nzuve F, Flourence O, Manyasa E, Muthomi J (2017) Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and trait correlations in selected sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) varieties. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research* 11 (5): 47–56.

Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE (1955) Estimation of

genetic and environment variability in sorghum. *Agronomy Journal* 47: 314–318.

- Kalpande HV, More AW, Aundhekar RL, Dhutmal RR (2018) Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in sweet grain (Hurda) sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Int J of Cur Microbiol, App Sci Special Issue 6:400–405.
- Karadi A, Kajjidoni ST (2019) Genetic diversity and principal component analysis for grain quality and productivity traits in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci* 8 (9) : 839—850. DOI: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.809.101.
- Khadakabhavi S, Girish G, Dharmaraj PS, Lokesh R (2014) Genetic diversity analysis in germplasm lines of rabi sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench). Based on Quantitative traits International Journal of Plant Science 9: 129–132.
- Lazarides M, Hacker JB, Andrew MH (1991) Taxonomy, cytology and ecology of indigenous Australian sorghums (Sorghum Moench : Andropogoneae : Poaceae). *Aust Syst Bot* 4 : 591–635.
- Malik A, Singh SK, Chand P, Singh B, Singh DK (2015) Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies on forage sorghum. *Progressive Agriculture* 15 (1): 92–94.
- Prasad BVV, Sridhar V (2020) Assessment of variability correlation and path analysis for yield and yield related traits in yellow pericarp sorghum germ plasm line (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 9(1): 870—873.
- Rajarajan K, Ganesamurthy K, Yuvaraja A (2018) Genetic variability and diversity for shoot/root parameters under early drought stress condition in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L. Moe*nch) genotypes. *Forage Res* 43 : 266—269.
- Rao CR (1952) Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. John Wiley and Sons Inc New York, pp 236–272.
- Shivani D, Sreelakshmi C (2013) Morphometric diversity of rabi sorghum germplasm. Forage Research 39 (1): 20—23.
- Singh SK, Gangwar LK, Chaudhary M (2019) Studies on character association and path analysis in forage sorghum. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 7 (5): 2939–2942.
- Singh SK, Singh A, Kumar R (2016) Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in forage sorghum. *Progressive Agriculture* 16 (2) : 214–218. DOI : 10.5958/0976-4615.2016.00040.5.
- Sivasubramaniam S, Madhavamenon P (1973) Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. *Madras Agricul J* 60:1093— 1096.
- Umakanth AB, Nikhil BSK, Tonapi VA (2019) Genetic diversity studies in sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) a candidate crop for biofuelproduction. *Forage Res* 45 (1): 28—32.
- Wadikar PB, Ubale DL, Magar MR, Thorat GS (2018) Genetic variability studies in sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench). Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci Special 6:920– 923.
- Yadav V, Singh SK, Katiyar H, Lodhi SK, Singh K (2019) Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for fodder yield and its components in forage sorghum. *Indian Journal* of Agriculture and Allied Sciences 5 (1): 2455—9709.