Environment and Ecology 40 (2B) : 799—805, April—June 2022 ISSN 0970-0420

# Effect of Farmyard Manure and Bio-Digester Liquid Manure on Growth, Yield and Protein Content of Field Bean (*Dolichos lablab* L.)

Siddaram, V.C. Reddy, Veeresh Hatti

Received 18 February 2022, Accepted 19 March 2022, Published on 28 May 2022

#### ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted from 2010-11 to 2011-12, Mandya, to study the effect of farmyard manure and bio-digester liquid manure on the performance of field bean. Soil was red sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon (0.38 %) and available nitrogen (215.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (26.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and K<sub>2</sub>O (162.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Treatment consisted of three levels of FYM (5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and four levels of bio-digester liquid manure equivalent (BDLME) to (20, 25, 30 and 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and compared with recommended practice (FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and control. It was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Significantly superior growth components viz., plant height (62.9 cm), number of

Siddaram\*

Assistant Professor (Agronomy), College of Agriculture, Kalaburagi UAS, Raichur Karnataka 584101 India

V.C. Reddy

Farmer Professor (Agronomy), UAS, GKVK, Bengaluru Karnataka, India

Veeresh Hatti

Directorate of Research, SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar Gujarat, India

Email: siddaramwaded@gmail.com

\*Corresponding author

branches per plant (7.1), leaves per plant (18.5), LAI (4.75) and total dry matter production per plant (52.5 g) were produced by the application of FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and was on par with FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and recommended practice. Similarly, seed and haulm yields (1088 and 3683 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) produced by the application of FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (1045 and 3619 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and recommended practice (1137 and 3798 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were on par with each other.

**Keywords** Farm yard manure, Bio-digester liquid, Field bean.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Field bean (*Dolichos lablab* L.) is one of the most ancient crops among cultivated plants. It is a multipurpose crop grown for pulse, vegetable and forage purposes. It is one of the major sources of protein (20-28 %) in southern states of India and mostly confined to the peninsular region. Karnataka state records a production of 18,000 tonnes from an area of 85,000 hectares which accounts for nearly 90% in terms of both area and production in the country (*Anon* 2012). Now, the agricultural research is focused on evolving ecologically sound, biologically sustainable and socio-economically viable technologies. There is need for a fresh look to exploit the organic farming approaches using the local manurial and bio-pesticide sources for growing organic crops. Organic farming minimizes environmental pollution and maintains sustainability of soil by maintaining

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

high soil organic matter.

Field experiments were conducted during rabi 2010 and 2011 at Zonal Agricultural Research Station, Mandya of the University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore. The experimental site is situated between 11º 30' to 13º 05' North latitude and 76º 05' to 77º 45' East longitude and an altitude of 695 meters above mean sea level. Soil of the experimental site was red sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon (0.38 %) and available nitrogen (215.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available  $P_2O_5$  (26.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_2O$  (162.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>1</sup>). Treatment consisted of three levels of FYM (5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 t ha-1) and four levels of bio-digester liquid manure equivalent (BDLME) to (20, 25, 30 and 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and compared with recommended practice (FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and control. It was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Hebbal Avare - 4 variety was used for experimentation.

Well decomposed farmyard manure was analyzed for its nutrient composition and applied as per the treatment specifications two weeks before sowing of the crop and mixed thoroughly with soil. Bio-digester liquid manure was analyzed for nitrogen a day before application and required quantity for different treatments was estimated based on N content and then applied by opening furrows near to the crop rows and later on covered with soil to avoid evaporation loss. Total quantity of nitrogen of different treatments was top dressed through BDLM in two splits at 20 and 40 days after sowing.

Nitrogen content in the seeds of field bean was estimated by Kjeldhal's method (Jackson 1973). The protein per cent in the seeds was calculated by multiplying the nitrogen content by a factor of 6.25.

Protein content (%) = Nitrogen content in seeds (%) X 6.25

Protein yield per hectare was worked out on the basis of seed protein content and seed yield of field bean.

Protein yield =	Seed protein content	X Seed vield
$(kg ha^{-1})$	100	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Growth parameters and total dry matter production

Pooled results of the present study revealed that, significantly taller plants (62.9 cm), more number of branches per plant (7.1), leaves per plant (18.5), LAI (4.75) and total dry matter production per plant (52.5 g) were produced by the application of FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> which was on par with FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and recommended practice (FYM 10 t + 25:50:25 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, all these three were superior in the above growth parameters when compared to other organic treatment combinations (Tables 1 and 2). The superiority of the above growth parameters could be due to greater availability of macro and micronutrients from organic sources which inturn might have helped in acceleration of various metabolic processes. Conjunctive use of liquid manure and FYM might have played their potent roles on enhanced cell division and elongation of leaves resulting in higher biomass.

Further, the improvement in dry matter production with organic manures might be ascribed to the increase in plant size (as indicated by plant height, number of leaves, leaf area and LAI) and cumulative effect of all these parameters. Judicious use of farmyard manure in combination with liquid manure improves the soil fertility status mainly due to mineralization of organically bound nutrients and exchange reactions contributing towards better availability of nutrient elements present in the soil as suggested by Palaniappan and Natarajan (1993). The results are in conformity with the findings of Ravi Kumar (2009) who reported higher growth parameters with FYM  $(7.5 \text{ t ha}^{-1}) + Rhizobium + PSB + 3\%$ Panchagavya equivalent to 25 kg N ha-1 than FYM alone in groundnut.

Treatments Plant height (cm) 2010 2011 Pooled	Pla	Plant height (cm)			No. of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>			of leave	s plant <sup>-1</sup>	LAI		
	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Poolec		
T <sub>1</sub>	46.2	47.1	46.6	3.7	4.2	3.9	9.6	10.3	10.0	2.71	2.92	2.82
T <sub>2</sub>	47.4	48.5	48.0	3.9	4.4	4.1	10.3	11.1	10.7	2.87	3.19	3.03
T <sub>3</sub>	51.6	53.0	52.3	4.6	5.3	4.9	12.8	14.2	13.5	3.77	4.08	3.92
T <sub>4</sub>	52.4	53.8	53.1	4.7	5.7	5.2	13.5	14.7	14.1	3.85	4.19	4.02
T <sub>5</sub>	48.5	50.0	49.2	3.9	4.5	4.2	11.0	12.3	11.7	3.26	3.59	3.42
T <sub>6</sub>	48.9	50.7	49.8	4.1	4.8	4.5	11.3	12.5	11.9	3.34	3.68	3.51
T <sub>7</sub>	53.2	55.2	54.2	4.9	5.9	5.4	14.1	15.3	14.7	4.06	4.32	4.19
T <sub>8</sub>	54.5	55.8	55.1	5.1	6.4	5.7	14.5	16.0	15.3	4.11	4.41	4.26
T <sub>9</sub>	50.2	51.2	50.7	4.2	5.1	4.6	11.7	13.0	12.4	3.43	3.80	3.62
T <sub>10</sub>	50.6	51.6	51.1	4.3	5.2	4.8	12.1	13.2	12.7	3.58	3.93	3.75
T <sub>11</sub> <sup>10</sup>	59.0	60.5	59.8	6.3	7.2	6.7	16.1	18.1	17.1	4.55	4.69	4.62
T <sub>12</sub> <sup>11</sup>	60.8	64.9	62.9	6.6	7.5	7.1	17.4	19.6	18.5	4.63	4.88	4.75
T <sub>13</sub> <sup>12</sup>	64.0	66.5	65.3	7.1	8.0	7.5	18.3	20.3	19.3	4.67	4.96	4.81
T14	35.0	33.6	34.3	3.3	3.0	3.2	7.4	6.6	7.0	1.67	1.56	1.61
SEm±	2.1	2.6	2.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.14	0.16	0.15
CD at 5%	6.2	7.6	6.7	1.0	0.9	0.9	2.7	3.0	2.7	0.41	0.47	0.43

Table 1. Growth parameters of field bean as influenced by FYM and bio-digester liquid manure.

 $T_2$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $\begin{array}{l} T_2: \text{FYM 5 t} + \text{BDLME to 30 kg N ha}^1\\ T_4: \text{FYM 5 t} + \text{BDLME to 35 kg N ha}^1\\ T_5: \text{FYM 7.5 t} + \text{BDLME to 20 kg N ha}^1\\ \end{array}$ 

 $T_7$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_8^7$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_9^7$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_{10}^9$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{12}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{12}$  FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> T<sub>14</sub> : Absolute control BDLME - Bio-digester liquid manure equiv-

FYM - Farmyard manure

DAS - Days after sowing

alent

Table 2. Total dry matter production (g plant<sup>1</sup>) at different growth stages of field bean as influenced by FYM and bio-digester liquid manure.

Treatments	30 DAS			60 DAS			At harvest		
	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	1.15	1.40	1.28	18.1	19.9	19.0	30.0	33.2	31.6
$T_2^{'}$	1.18	1.48	1.33	18.5	20.5	19.5	32.8	35.8	34.3
$T_3^2$	1.53	2.27	1.90	23.1	23.1	23.1	38.5	44.2	41.3
T <sub>4</sub>	1.60	2.32	1.96	23.7	26.4	25.1	40.2	45.3	42.8
T <sub>5</sub> <sup>4</sup>	1.23	1.56	1.39	19.5	22.2	20.9	35.0	38.0	36.5
$T_6^3$	1.23	1.67	1.45	20.0	23.3	21.7	35.7	38.7	37.2
T <sub>7</sub>	1.67	2.43	2.05	23.9	26.9	25.4	41.2	48.5	44.9
T <sub>8</sub> '	1.73	2.57	2.15	25.0	28.4	26.7	42.6	49.7	46.2
T <sub>9</sub>	1.30	1.80	1.55	20.7	24.2	22.4	37.1	40.5	38.8
T <sub>10</sub>	1.45	2.08	1.77	21.9	24.7	23.3	37.3	41.5	39.4
T <sub>11</sub> <sup>10</sup>	1.82	2.80	2.31	27.7	30.4	29.1	46.2	53.8	50.0
$T_{12}^{11}$	2.20	3.02	2.61	28.9	32.8	30.8	48.1	56.9	52.5
T <sub>13</sub> <sup>12</sup>	3.30	3.92	3.61	30.1	33.5	31.8	50.8	58.5	54.7
$T_{14}^{13}$	1.07	0.82	0.94	11.3	10.5	10.9	19.5	17.5	18.5
SEm±	0.14	0.20	0.18	0.9	1.6	1.29	2.1	2.0	2.05
CD at 5%	0.41	0.59	0.51	2.8	4.7	3.67	6.1	5.8	5.81

 $T_1$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $\rm T_{6}$  : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> T<sub>2</sub>: FYM 5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_7$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_8$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_3$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_4$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_9$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_5$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

FYM - Farmyard manure

DAS - Days after sowing

 $T_{10}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{_{11}}$  : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha-1  $T_{12}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{13}^{12}$ : FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{14}$ : Absolute control

BDLME - Bio-digester liquid manure equiv alent

## Yield and yield parameters

Seed and haulm yields of field bean were significantly influenced by the combinations of farmyard manure and bio-digester liquid manure. Pooled data indicated that seed and haulm yields produced by the application of FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (1088 and 3683 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (1045 and 3619 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and recommended practice (FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 N:P2O5:K2O kg ha-1) (1137 and 3798 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were on par with each other (Table 3). Higher yields obtained with FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> could be attributed to significantly superior growth components viz. plant height (62.9 cm), number of branches per plant (7.1), leaves per plant (18.5), LAI (4.75) and total dry matter production per plant (52.5 g) as compared to other combinations of FYM and BDLM. These could have resulted in significantly higher yield components like number of pods per plant (18.0) and number of seeds per pod (3.7) than other treatments. However, these yield parameters were on par with FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (17.4 and 3.5 respectively) and recommended practice (FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (19.2 and 3.8) yield parameters (Table 4). Perhaps, high uptake of nutrients like N, P and K (92.3, 15.45 and 83.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) might have promoted the growth as well as yield components. Further, improvement in yield might be due to abundant supply of nutrients with the application of higher doses of FYM and BDLM. Besides, the increased microbial population might have increased the nutrient availability. Not only the amount of nutrients present in the soil but also their availability in rhythm with the pattern of crop growth is important, which inturn could influence the crop growth and yield.

These results are in conformity with the findings of Jayaram Reddy and Reddy (2011) who reported that field bean gave 10 q ha<sup>-1</sup> of seed yield with FYM 10 t + BDLM equivalent to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Devakumar *et al.* (2011) revealed that combinations of compost + poultry manure + pressmud (1:1:1 by weight equivalent to 7.5 t of FYM + 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced higher seed yield of field bean (12.8 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was on par with that of compost + poultry manure

Treatments	See	d yield (kg	Seed yield (kg ha-1)			Haulm yield (kg ha-1)			Harvest Index		
	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled		
T <sub>1</sub>	710	762	736	2239	2365	2302	0.242	0.247	0.244		
T <sub>2</sub>	722	795	759	2328	2468	2398	0.237	0.245	0.241		
T <sub>3</sub>	797	921	859	2753	2937	2845	0.230	0.244	0.237		
T <sub>4</sub>	810	943	877	2817	3000	2908	0.227	0.243	0.235		
T <sub>5</sub>	748	844	796	2472	2667	2569	0.237	0.244	0.241		
T <sub>6</sub>	774	825	800	2550	2750	2650	0.236	0.234	0.235		
T <sub>7</sub>	825	976	900	2883	3083	2983	0.227	0.246	0.237		
T <sub>8</sub>	825	1020	923	2931	3097	3014	0.221	0.249	0.235		
T <sub>9</sub>	788	883	836	2682	2855	2768	0.228	0.238	0.233		
T <sub>10</sub>	794	898	846	2682	2872	2777	0.229	0.239	0.234		
T <sub>11</sub> <sup>10</sup>	955	1172	1045	3533	3705	3619	0.213	0.242	0.228		
T <sub>12</sub>	972	1187	1088	3617	3748	3683	0.213	0.241	0.227		
$T_{13}^{12}$	1055	1218	1137	3753	3843	3798	0.220	0.241	0.230		
$T_{14}^{15}$	371	338	355	1129	1034	1082	0.250	0.249	0.250		
SÊm±	49	35	45	182	242	212	0.170	0.179	0.018		
CD at 5%	144	101	128	529	703	600	NS	NS	NS		

Table 3. Seed yield, haulm yield and harvest index of field bean as influenced by FYM and bio-digester liquid manure.

 $T_1$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_2$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_7$ : FYM

 $T_3$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_4^3$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_5^4$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

FYM - Farmyard manure

 $T_6$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $\mathbf{r}_{7}^{1}$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_8$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> T<sub>0</sub>: FYM 10 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $ha^{-1}$  T<sub>10</sub> : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> BDLME - Bio-digester liquid manure equivalent

NS - Non-significant

 $T_{11}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{12}^{"}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{13}$ : FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{14}$ : Absolute control

SDEWE - BIO-algester inquia manure equivalent

Treatments	No. of pods plant <sup>1</sup>			No. of seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>			100 seed weight (g)		
	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	10.5	11.3	10.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	17.1	17.2	17.2
	11.1	12.0	11.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	17.0	17.3	17.2
T <sub>2</sub> T <sub>3</sub> T <sub>4</sub>	13.2	14.5	13.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	17.7	17.7	17.7
T,	13.5	14.9	14.2	3.0	3.3	3.2	17.9	17.9	17.9
T,	11.7	12.3	12.0	2.8	2.9	2.9	17.1	17.4	17.3
$T_{5}^{'}$ $T_{6}^{'}$	11.9	12.8	12.4	2.9	3.0	2.9	17.2	17.7	17.5
T <sub>7</sub>	13.7	15.1	14.4	3.0	3.3	3.2	17.9	18.2	18.1
T <sub>8</sub>	14.3	15.6	15.0	3.1	3.4	3.2	17.9	18.4	18.2
T <sub>9</sub>	12.1	13.4	12.7	2.9	3.1	3.0	17.4	17.8	17.6
T <sub>10</sub>	13.0	14.1	13.6	3.0	3.1	3.1	17.6	18.0	17.8
T <sub>11</sub> <sup>10</sup>	16.8	17.9	17.4	3.4	3.6	3.5	18.1	18.7	18.4
T <sub>12</sub> <sup>11</sup>	17.0	19.1	18.0	3.5	3.8	3.7	18.2	18.8	18.5
T <sub>13</sub> <sup>12</sup>	18.5	19.8	19.2	3.7	3.9	3.8	18.5	19.1	18.8
T <sub>14</sub>	6.8	5.8	6.3	2.3	2.1	2.2	17.1	17.0	17.0
SEm±	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.15	0.4	0.5	0.46
CD at 5%	2.1	2.3	2.2	0.4	0.5	0.40	NS	NS	NS

Table 4. Yield parameters of field bean as influenced by FYM and bio-digester liquid manure.

 $T_1$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_2$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

T<sub>3</sub>: FYM 5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_4$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_8$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{13}$ : FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

T., : Absolute control BDLME - Bio-digester liquid manure equivalent

T<sub>11</sub>: FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_{12}^{\cdot \cdot}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{s}^{-1}$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

FYM - Farmyard manure

 $T_{0}^{\circ}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_{10}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> NS - Non-significant

 $T_6$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_7$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

(1:1) (12.2 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

## Protein content and protein yield

Similar results have also been reported in groundnut by Ravi Kumar (2009) who found that pod and haulm yields were significantly higher (2304 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2695 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) with FYM (7.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Rhizobium + PSB + 3% Panchagavya equivalent to 25 kg N ha-1 than FYM alone. Anand (2003) also reported higher pod yield (2445 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and haulm yield (3625 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of groundnut with FYM 10 t + 25:75:37.5 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Reddy et al. (2011) found that application of FYM 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + bio-digester liquid manure (equivalent to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in two splits produced significantly maximum yield of groundnut, red gram and soybean (1362, 2035 and 881 kg ha-1, respectively) at Balajigapade. Shete et al. (2011) obtained higher seed yield (964.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and haulm yield (2229.7 kg ha-1) of greengram with FYM at 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> over control. Further, 30 years long term field trial at Rodale institute, Kutztown, USA revealed that organic system gave higher or equal yields of soybean when compared with that of inorganic system (Anon 2011).

Grain protein is important in human and animal nutrition. Protein content of field bean seed was not significantly influenced by the combinations of farmyard manure and bio-digester liquid manure. Whereas, protein yield of field bean differed significantly. Higher protein yields (289.6 and 275.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained by the application of recommended practice (FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and FYM 10t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha-1, respectively as compared to other treatment combinations (Table 5). The increased protein yield could be attributed to higher seed yield, besides the vital role played by micronutrients present in organic manures in the synthesis of plant hormones, chlorophyll formation and carbohydrate and in auxin metabolism. Further, the beneficial effect exhibited by FYM when it was applied in conjunction with liquid organic manures could possibly be due to synergistic role of FYM in increasing the nutrient availability, physical structure of soil, microbial environment and sustaining it over a period of time as compared to chemical fertilizer

Treatments		Protein content (%	6)	Pr	otein yield (kg ha	-1)
	2010	2011	Pooled	2010	2011	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	22.1	23.6	22.8	156.9	179.8	168.0
T <sub>2</sub>	23.6	23.9	23.7	171.3	190.0	180.6
T <sub>3</sub>	22.8	23.1	22.9	180.4	212.7	196.5
T <sub>4</sub>	23.3	23.5	23.4	190.1	221.9	206.0
T <sub>5</sub>	23.8	24.0	23.9	177.6	202.6	190.1
T <sub>6</sub>	23.6	24.0	23.8	181.0	197.5	189.3
T <sub>7</sub>	24.2	24.6	24.4	199.2	238.9	219.0
T <sub>8</sub>	23.9	24.4	24.1	196.4	247.6	222.0
T <sub>9</sub>	24.1	24.5	24.3	191.1	216.0	203.6
T <sub>10</sub>	24.1	24.7	24.4	192.4	221.3	206.9
T <sub>11</sub>	24.1	24.8	24.4	231.1	289.3	260.2
$T_{12}^{11}$	25.0	25.2	25.4	242.8	307.8	275.3
T <sub>13</sub> <sup>12</sup>	25.4	25.5	25.5	268.7	310.6	289.6
T <sub>14</sub> <sup>15</sup>	23.1	22.8	22.9	73.7	80.9	77.3
SEm±	1.17	0.99	1.07	10.9	10.4	11.2
CD at 5%	NS	NS	NS	31.6	30.2	31.8

Table 5. Protein content and protein yield of field bean as influenced by FYM and bio-digester liquid manure.

 $T_1$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_{c}$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $\rm T_{_{11}}$  : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_{12}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_7$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_2$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_{3}$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_{\circ}$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_4$ : FYM 5 t + BDLME to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_9$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>

 $T_5$ : FYM 7.5 t + BDLME to 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  $T_{10}$ : FYM 10 t + BDLME to 25 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> BDLME - Bio-digester liquid manure equivalent

NS – Non-significant FYM - Farmyard manure

#### alone.

These results are in concordance with the findings of Sharma and Mishra (1997) and Singh et al. (2003). Further, Jayaram Reddy and Reddy (2011) analyzed the organically grown seed samples of field bean (HA-4) at Balajigapade for its quality parameters and found that seed contains protein, fat, fibre, ash, Ca and Fe to the tune of 25.2, 0.57, 8.97, 3.2, 49.7 and 1.62 g per 100 g of seed, respectively. While, Anand (2003) reported that quality parameters of groundnut viz. oil percentage, oil yield, protein content and protein yield were higher (48 %, 777 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 23.4 % and 378 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) with the application of FYM 10 t + 25:75:37.5 N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### REFERENCES

- Anand MG (2003) Experiment on utilization of organic wastes in a paddy - groundnut cropping system for sustainable production under tank fed irrigation. MSc(Agri) thesis. Univ Agric Sci Bangalore, Karnataka, India.
- Anonymous (2011) The Farming Systems Trial. The Rodale Institute, Kutztown, Pensyluvania, USA. http://www.roda-

leinstitute.org.

Anonymous (2012) An overview of organic crop production. http:// www.attra.ncat.org/attra-pub/organiccrop.html.

 $T_{14}^{15}$ : Absolute control

 $T_{13}^{12}$ : FYM 7.5 t + 25:50:25 kg N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

- Devakumar N, Rao GGE, Reddy VC, Pradeep S (2011) Developing organic package of practices for yield maximization of irrigated field bean. Ann Prog Report Research Institute on Organic Farming, Univ Agric Sci Bangalore pp 38-43.
- Jayaram Reddy M, Reddy VC, Hanumanthappa H, Jagadeesh BR (2010) Composition of beejamrutha, jeevamrutha, panchagavya, bio-digester and farmyard manure. Ann Prog Report Research Institute on Organic Farming, Univ Agric Sci Bangalore pp 39-88.
- Palaniappan SP, Natarajan K (1993) Practical aspects of organic matter maintenance in soil. In: Thampan PK (ed). Organics in soil health and crop production, pp 24-37.
- Ravi Kumar HS (2009) Comparative performance of integrated organic nutrient supply systems on growth and yield of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.). MSc (Agri) thesis. Univ Agric Sci Bangalore.
- Reddy VC, Jayarama Reddy M, Shivanandanam V, Govindaraju C, Yogananda SB, Vijayalakshman, Pradeep S, Girijesh GK, Hanumanthappa H (2011) Developing organic package of practices for production of paddy, ragi, maize, ground nut, red gram, field bean and soybean. Ann Prog Report Research Institute on Organic Farming, Univ Agric Sci Bangalore, pp 13-99.
- Sharma RA, Mishra DR (1997) Crop residues, farmyard manure and fertilizer use in relation to growth, yield and nutrient uptake in soybean. Crop Res 13: 51-57.
- Shete PG, Thanki JD, Baviskar VS, Bhoye KP (2011) Yield, nu-

trient uptake and economics of greengram as influenced by land configuration and FYM levels. *Green Farming* 2(4): 425-427.

Singh AB, Ghosh PK, Ajay (2003) Effect of nutrient-management

practices on improvement in grain quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L.), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in multiple cropping systems in Vertisol. *Ind J Agric Sci* 73(2): 65-68.