Environment and Ecology 40 (3A) : 1361—1367, July—September 2022 ISSN 0970-0420

# A Review : Impact of Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration in Horticulture Crop Production

Ashish Singh, Vijay Bahadur, Ravi Kumar Singh, Gaurav Singh Vishen

Received 21 April 2022, Accepted 18 June 2022, Published on 6 August 2022

## ABSTRACT

Studies have shown that higher concentrations of atmospheric carbon dioxide affect crops in two important ways: they boost crop yields by increasing the rate of photosynthesis, which spurs growth, and they reduce the amount of water crops lose through transpiration. Plants transpire through their leaves, which contain tiny pores called stomata that open and collect carbon dioxide molecules for photosynthesis. During that process they release water vapor. As carbon dioxide concentrations increase, the pores don't open as wide, resulting in lower levels of transpiration by plants and thus increased water-use efficiency. Thus

Ashish Singh\*

Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, 211002 Uttar Pradesh, India

Vijay Bahadur Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ravi Kumar Singh Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

Gaurav Singh Vishen Research Scholar, Department of Horticulture, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Email : ashishhort202@gmail.com \*Corresponding author

the purpose of this review paper is to summarize all the factor affecting to the horticultural crops through the elevated corbon dioxide in environment.

**Keywords** Impact, Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, Crop, Production, Photosynthesis.

## INTRODUCTION

The level of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere is rising at an unprecedented rate, has increased from 280 ppm at the beginning of the industrial revolution (1750) to  $\approx$ 380 ppm today and is expected to double preindustrial levels some time during this century (Keeling and Whorf 2001, Neftel et al. 1985). The extent of growth and yield responses of plants to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> depends on the photosynthetic pathway. Crops with C<sub>3</sub> photosynthesis will respond markedly to increasing CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Common C<sub>3</sub> crops are small grain cereals (wheat, rice, barley, oat and rye); grain legumes or pulses (soybean, peanut, various beans and peas); root and tuber crops (potato, cassava, sweet potato, sugar beet, yams); most oil, fruit, nut, vegetable and fiber crops ; and temperate-zone (cool-climate) for age and grassland species. In contrast, plants with  $C_4$  photo synthesis will respond little to rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> because a mechanism to increase the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in leaves causes CO<sub>2</sub> saturation of photosynthesis at current ambient concentrations. Common C<sub>4</sub> crops are maize (corn), sugarcane, sorghum, millet, and many tropical and subtropical zone (warm-climate) grass species. This article focuses on responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and increased temperature of C<sub>3</sub> crops. Response patterns are similar, but not the same, across a broad range of species and conditions (Allen *et al.* 2004).

In the recent years CO<sub>2</sub> has rapidly increased to about 370 µmol mol1 at present. At present rates of emissions, CO<sub>2</sub> is predicted to more than double by the end of  $21^{st}$  century (Houghton *et al.* 2001). These changes in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> along with other greenhouse gases (water vapor, methane, nitrous oxide, sulfurdioxide) can potentially change global climate. At the present rate of CO, increase, various atmospheric general circulation models have predicted that the near-surface temperature of the earth could increase as much as 3 to 6°C (Houghton et al. 2001). Changes in CO<sub>2</sub> and associated changes in global temperature can cause significant changes in crop production. In this review, we will summarize the responses of soybean, dry bean, peanut and cowpea to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and its interaction with temperature. Among all the legume crops, soybean is the most widely studied crop species with reference to responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Because of the breadth of available literature, most of the examples are drawn from soybean; however, species differences between these legume crops will be highlighted and discussed as necessary.

As CO<sub>2</sub> is responsible for 61% of global warming, a doubling of the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and a rise in other so-called greenhouse gases (methane, nitrous oxide, chloro-fluorocarbons) would increase the mean global temperature, possibly as much as 4.5 to 6°C. In addition, shifts in regional precipitation patterns as a result of rising atmosphere (CO<sub>2</sub>) will probably result in decreased soil water availability in many areas of the world (Keeling et al. 1995, Wigley and Raper 1992, Allen 1994). Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is an essential compound for life on Earth. Through photosynthesis plants obtain carbon for their growth and provide sustenance for other livingthings, ourselves included. In photosynthesis, solar energy is absorbed by a system of pigments and inorganic atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is fixed and reduced into organic com-pounds. Reduction of carbon is a major function of photosynthesis and is quantified by realizing that plant organic matter is about 45% carbon on a dry weight basis (Mnibur rahman et al. 2015).

### Impact of CO, on water use efficiency

The rising concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere is considered to be one of the main driving forces of global climate change. Studies have shown that CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations increased from 280 µmolmol-1 in the industrial revolution to 388 µmol·mol-1 today, and are expected to increase to 700 µmol mol-1 by the end of the twenty-first century. Global warming caused by increased CO2 concentrations will have a profound impact on the environment and climate change (Fangmeier et al. 2000, Aranjuelo et al. 2011, Yin et al. 2017). As a substrate for plant photosynthesis, higher CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations will affect plant photosynthesis and their physiological and biochemical processes (Prasad et al. 2009), by increasing intercellular CO, and carboxylation efficiency, reducing photorespiration (Bowes 2003, Ainsworth and Long 2005, Zhang and Dang 2005, Stiling et al. 2013), increasing WUE (Fleisher et al. 2008, Pazzaglia et al. 2016, Varga et al. 2017), increasing the content of soluble sugar and starch (Bindi et al. 2001, Sun et al. 2012) and enhancing the activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT) (Marabottini et al. 2001, Schwanz and Polle 2001). Other researchers have reported that increased CO<sub>2</sub> con concentrations could lead to a decrease in stomatal density (Xu et al. 2017) and conductance (Ainsworth and Long 2005), the reduction of partial pressure of oxygen in cells, and a decrease in plant leaf respiration rate (Gifford 1995, Ziska and Bunce 1998). Rising CO<sub>2</sub> promotes plant photosynthesis and growth and increases crop yield (Murray et al. 2000, Li et al. 2007), but other studies have demonstrated that high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations change the source sink balance of crops and affect the operation of organic matter from the vegetative organ to grain (Biswas et al. 2013, Bourgault et al. 2013). The decreased transpiration rate caused by reduced stomatal conductance indirectly limits nutrition uptake for plants, affecting the growth and quality of crops (Loladze 2002, Högy and Fangmeier 2008, Högy et al. 2009, Myers et al. 2014, Li et al. 2016, Dong et al. 2018). Global climate change caused by the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations causes extreme weather, such as drought, and these jointly affect the physiological and ecological processes of plants (Jiang et al. 2016, Xu et al. 2016). Drought stress is one of the important limiting factors for agricultural production and leads to a decline in crop yield and quality (Ye et al. 2018, Zhang CO<sub>2</sub> 2019). Plant photosynthesis is a process that is sensitive to drought. Under drought stress, wilting and curling of plant leaves and reduced chlorophyll content occur frequently. More specifically, drought stress could suppress a series of physiological processes, such as the decrease or closure of stomatal conductance, the inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis or the acceleration of degradation, the reduction of photosynthetic enzyme activity, and reduced photosynthetic carbon assimilation (Pukacki and Kamińska-Rożek 2005, Nikolaeva et al. 2010, Albert et al. 2011). Moreover, drought stress also results in the reduction of PSII and PSI activities, the resistance of photosynthetic electron transfer and the accumulation of excess energy (Schansker et al. 2005, Zhang et al. 2018b). Excess electrons and energy may lead to the increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS) content and excessive ROS breaks the balance of redox in plants and causes peroxidation membrane damage (Gill and Tuteja 2010, Wang et al. 2017). Many studies have shown that increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations can alleviate the inhibition of abiotic stress on plant growth and physiological function (Shanmugam et al. 2013, Medina et al. 2016, Li et al. 2017, Wei et al. 2018). This increase causes a rise of intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Ci), which could compensate for the CO<sub>2</sub> restriction caused by the decrease of stomatal conductance under stress (Morison and Gifford 1983). It also reduces transpiration by reducing the stomatal conductance (Gs), thus improving the WUE of plant leaves under stress (Fleisher et al. 2008, Pazzaglia et al. 2016, Varga et al. 2017). Rising CO, increases carbohydrate concentration under drought stress, resulting in the enhancement of cell osmotic potential and drought resistance of plants (Dong et al. 2015). The leaves of mulberry trees (Morus alba L.) can not only be used as silkworm feed, but also have antioxidants with important medicinal value (Katsube et al. 2006). In addition, mulberry has strong drought resistance and is an excellent tree species for sand fixation and water and soil conservation, which has important economic and ecological value (Sarkar et al. 2017). However, there are no reports on the photosynthetic functions of mulberry seedling leaves under drought stress with increased CO2 concentrations, and most of the studies on plant photosynthesis have focused on the stoma and photosynthetic gas exchange. There are few studies of the PSII function of plant light reactions under this condition. Therefore, under the condition of natural drought, the effects of rising  $CO_2$  on photosynthetic gas exchange of mulberry seedling leaves, instantaneous WUE, and PSII function were studied in this paper to provide some basic data for the mechanism of plant photosynthesis under drought stress.

## Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on vegetables

Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) enhances the yield of vegetables and could also affect their nutritional quality. We conducted a meta-analysis using 57 articles consisting of 1,015 observations and found that eCO<sub>2</sub> increased the concentrations of fructose, glucose, total soluble sugar, total antioxidant capacity, total phenols, total flavonoids, ascorbic acid and calcium in the edible part of vegetables by 14.2%, 13.2%, 17.5%, 59.0%, 8.9%, 45.5%, 9.5% and 8.2%, respectively, but decreased the concentrations of protein, nitrate, magnesium, iron and zinc by 9.5%, 18.0%, 9.2%, 16.0% and 9.4%. The concentrations of titratable acidity, total chlorophyll, carotenoids, lycopene, anthocyanins, phosphorus, potassium, sulfur, copper and manganese were not affected by eCO<sub>2</sub>. Furthermore, we propose several approaches to improving vegetable quality based on the interaction of eCO<sub>2</sub> with various factors, including species, cultivars, CO<sub>2</sub> levels, growth stages, light, O<sub>2</sub> stress, nutrient, and salinity. Finally, we present a summary of the CO<sub>2</sub> impact on the quality of three widely cultivated crops, namely, lettuce, tomato and potato. (Dong et al. 2018).

There have been many studies of the storage of fruit and vegetables since the report of Kidd and West (1927) concerning the beneficial effects of high  $CO_2/low O_2$  storage (controlled atmosphere CA). Since  $CO_2$  is a product of respiration, it would be expected that respiration rate would decrease as  $CO_2$ concentration in the atmosphere increases (Hewer 1987). Succinic acid accumulates and the activities of enzymes involved in its metabolism decrease in several fruits stored in high  $CO_2$ , which is indirect evidence for this possibility (Biale 1960, Kader 1986). Carbon dioxide inhibits  $C_2H_4$  action competitively and helps regulate  $C_2H_4$  biosynthesis (Burg and Burg 1967). Therefore, some of the benefits of storage in a high-  $CO_2$  atmosphere arise when  $C_2H_4$  production or  $C_2H_4$ -mediated reaction is inhibited (Herner 1987). It is not well-known how high  $CO_2$ , affects respiration and  $C_2H_4$  synthesis, these two may be related (Sisler and Wood 1988).

The response of crops to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Ca) is of great concern for horticultural vegetable production in greenhouse facilities, where Ca levels are often artificially elevated. To elucidate the long-term effect of the elevated Ca on canopy photosynthesis and growth, we conducted long-term continuous measurements of the canopy photosynthesis (A) and leaf area index (L) of hydroponically grown spinach canopies under elevated Ca (approximately 800 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) and ambient Ca (approximately 400 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) treatments by combining the open chamber method and image analysis of the top of view of canopies. There existed a positive feedback loop between A and L, where an increase in L caused an increase in A, which subsequently accelerated the increase in L. The enhancing effect of elevated Ca on A, which was evaluated with enhancement ratios (i.e., A in the elevated Ca treatment divided by A in the ambient Ca treatment, Aelev/ Aamb), showed a short-term increase under highlight conditions during the daytime and a long-term increase with canopy growth toward the harvest. The long-term increase in Aelev/Aamb was attributed to the enhancement of Aelev brought on by not only the short-term enhancing effect of elevated Ca but also the more rapidly increasing and thus larger L in the elevated Ca treatment compared with that in the ambient Ca treatment. Both the longterm increase in Aelev/Aamb and the more rapidly increasing L in the elevated Ca treatment were caused by the "compound interest effect" of elevated Ca, where the enhancing effects of elevated Ca on A and L were gradually amplified over a long-term period through the positive feedback loop between A and L. This compound interest effect of elevated Ca also caused a long-term increase in canopy nighttime respiration in proportion to daytime photosynthesis. Through these changes in the canopy-scale carbon balance, the compound interest effect detected in the elevated Ca treatment likely contributed to a substantial increase in the final aboveground dry weight at the harvest time. This study highlights the importance of the long-term compound interest effect of elevated Ca on canopy photosynthesis, carbon balance and growth (Nomura *et al.* 2021).

## Impact of CO<sub>2</sub> on fruit

Six year-old Japanese pear (Pyrus seratina Reheder cv Kosui) trees grafted on P. serotina cv. Nihonyamanashi were grown in containers filled with Granite Regosol under glasshouse conditions. At different stages of fruit growth, pear trees were exposed to an elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (130 Pa CO<sub>2</sub>) along with a control (35 Pa CO<sub>2</sub>). For one group of plants, CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment was applied for 79 d from 52 d after full bloom (DAB) to fruit maturity (long-term CO, enrichment) and for another group the same treatment was applied for 35 d from 96 DAB to fruit maturity (shortterm  $CO_2$  enrichment). The effects of the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on vegetative growth, mineral contents and fruit production and quality were examined. Long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment enhanced vegetative growth, without any significant effect on the mineral contents in either flower bud or fruit except for a remarkable increase in the K content. Long-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment increased the fruit size and fresh weight, but had no significant effect on the fruit quality. On the other hand, the short-term CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment did not induce any significant change in the fruit size but increased the fruit sugar concentration. Along with the reduction of the sorbitol concentration in fruit, the fructose and sucrose concentrations increased and these changes occurred earlier at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> than at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. From these results, we concluded that the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on fruit growth varies depending upon the growth stages of fruit : During the initial and fruitlet stages when fruit expansion occurs, CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment increases the fruit size, whereas, during maturation when fruit expansion has slowed down and sugar accumulation in fruit is active, it increases the fruit sugar concentration (Ito et al. 1999).

The respiration rate ( $O_2$  uptake) and the rate of  $C_2H_4$ . Production were measured before, during and after 24 hrs of treatment with 60% CO<sub>2</sub> (20%

 $O_{2}$ ) in 18 kinds of fruits and vegetables by use of an automated system connected to a microcomputer. High CO<sub>2</sub> decreased respiration only in climacteric fruit and broccoli, which were producing C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>. Ethylene production decreased with CO2, treatment of peaches, tomatoes, and broccoli, but that of bananas increased. In five nonclimacteric fruits (three citrus species, grapes and Japanese pears) and several vegetables (carrots, onions, cauliflower and cabbage), in which  $C_2H_4$  production was not detected, high  $CO_2$ affected respiration little, if at all. When eggplants, cucumbers, podded peas, spinach and lettuce were treated with high CO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> production began and respiration increased. These results indicate that the respiratory responses of harvested horticultural crops to high CO<sub>2</sub> might be mediated by the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> on the action and/or synthesis of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (Kubo et al. 1990).

### Impact of CO, on photosynthesis

The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration outdoors continues to increase and is now 400 ppm and even higher near urban areas. While this increase has negative effects on the environment, it is a main ingredient for photosynthesis and thus subtly increases plant growth. However, the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration inside a greenhouse is often not at 400 ppm. For example, when greenhouses are closed during the winter and filled with crops, CO<sub>2</sub> is used by plants and the concentration becomes low, perhaps as low as 200 ppm. A low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration has two consequences : Photosynthesis is reduced and the light saturation point is decreased (The light saturation point is the intensity at which additional increases in light do not increase photosynthesis). This means the value of supplemental lighting is marginalized at a low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Photosynthesis increases as CO2 increases until some saturating concentration, which is typically around 1,000 ppm. Enriching the air with CO<sub>2</sub> enables plants to more effectively utilize light, resulting in an increase in the light saturation point. Just as with supplemental lighting, the law of diminishing returns applies to CO<sub>2</sub> supplementation. Increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration from 300 to 500 ppm causes a much greater increase in photosynthesis than increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> from 800 to 1,000 ppm. In the United States, few growers of ornamentals use supplemental  $CO_2$ , but it is commonly used in greenhouse production of vegetables, especially for tomatoes (Erik runkle 2015).

### CONCLUSION

In general, increased CO<sub>2</sub> increases plant growth (both above and below ground) and improves plant water relations (reduces transpiration and increases WUE). It is likely these benefits will also occur for horticultural plants, but data to support this are lacking relative to crop and forest species. In addition to basic research on the response of diverse horticultural species to future levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, it may become crucial to breed or screen varieties and species of horticultural plants for increased drought tolerance as a result of predicted changes in precipitation patterns. How CO<sub>2</sub> induced changes in plant growth and water relations will impact the complex interactions with pests (weeds, insects and diseases) is a deficient area of research not only for horticulture, but for plants in general. All this information is needed to develop best management strategies for the horticulture industry to successfully adapt to future environmental change.

### REFERENCES

- Abbott LK, Robson AD (1984) The effect of VA mycorrhizae on plant growth. In : Powell CL, Bagyaraj DJ (eds.). VA mycorrhiza. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL pp 113—130.
- Acock B, Allen Jr LH (1985) Crop responses to elevated carbon dioxide concentrations. In : Strain. BR Cure JD eds. Direct effects of increasing carbon dioxide vegetation. DOE/ER-0238, Office of Energy Research, US Dept of Energy, Washington DC pp 317— 346.
- Allen LH (1994) Carbon dioxide increase: Direct impact on crops and indirect effects mediated through anticipated climatic changes. In: Boote KJ, Sinclair TR, Bennett JM eds. Physiology and determination of crop yield. ASA, CSSA, SSSA, Madi son, WI, pp 425— 459.
- Allen Jr LH, Valle RR, Mishoe JW, Jones JW (1994) Soybean leaf gas-exchange responses to carbon dioxide and water stress. *Agron J* 86: 625–636.
- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO, enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic

review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising  $CO_2$ . *New Phytol* 165 (2): 351—372.

- Aranjuelo I, Cabrera-Bosquet L, Morcuende R, Avice JC, Nogués S, Araus JL, Martínez-Carrasco R, Pérez P (2011) Does ear C sink strength contribute to overcoming photosynthetic acclimation of wheat plants exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>? J Exp Bot 62 (11): 3957–3969.
- Biale JB (1960) The post-harvest biochemistry of tropical and subtropical fruits. *Adv Food Res* 10:293–354.
- Bindi M, Fibbi L, Miglietta F (2001) Free Air CO<sub>2</sub>, Enrichment (FACE) of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.): II. Growth and quality of grape and wine in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, concentrations. *Eur J Agron* 14 (2) : 145–155.
- Biswas DK, Xu H, Li YG, Ma BL, Jiang GM (2013) Modification of photosynthesis and growth responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> byozone in two cultivars of winter wheat with different years of release. *J Exp Bot* 64 (6) : 1485—1496.
- Bourgault M, Dreccer MF, James AT, Chapman SC (2013) Genotypic variability in the response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> of wheat lines differing in adaptive traits. *Funct Pl Biol* 40 (2) : 172—184.
- Burg SP, Burg EA (1967) Molecular requirements for the biological activity of ethylene. *Plant Physiol* 42 : 144—152.
- Dong JL, Gruda N, Lam SK, Li X, Duan ZQ (2018) Effects of Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on nutritional quality of vegetables a review. *Front Pl Sci* doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00924.
- Dong YH, Zhang X, Liu XN, Ai XZ, Li QM (2015) Responses of non-structural carbohydrate metabolism of cucumber seedlings to drought stress and doubled CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Chinese J Appl Ecol* 26 (1): 53—60.
- Fangmeier A, Chrost B, Högy P, Krupinska K (2000) CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment enhances flag leaf senescence in barley due to greater grain nitrogen sink capacity. *Environ Exp Bot* 44 (2) : 151—164.
- Fleisher DH, Timlin DJ, Reddy VR (2008) Elevated carbon dioxide and water stress effects on potato canopy gas exchange, water use and productivity. *Agr For Meteorol* 148 (6-7): 1109—1122.
- Gifford RM (1995) Whole plant respiration and photosynthesis of wheat under increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and temperature : Long-term vs. short-term distinctions for modeling. *Glb Chg Bio* 1 (6) : 385–396.
- Herner RC (1987) High CO<sub>2</sub> effects on plant organs. In : Weichman J (cd.). Postharvest physiology of vegetables. Marcel Dekker, New York pp 239—253.
- Högy P, Fangmeier A (2008) Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on grain quality of wheat. *J Cereal Sci* 48 (3) : 580— 591.
- Jiang Y, Xu Z, Zhou G, Liu T (2016) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> can modify the response to a water status gradient in a steppe grass : From cell organelles to photosynthetic capacity to plant growth. *BMC Pl Biol* 16 (1) : 157.
- Kader AA (1986) Biochemical and physiological basis for effects of controlled and modified atmospheres on fruits and vegetables. *Food Technol* 40 : 99–104.
- Katsube T, Imawaka N, Kawano Y, Yamazaki Y, Shiwaku K, Yamane Y (2006) Antioxidant flavonol glycosides in mulberry (*Morus alba* L.) leaves isolated based on LDL antioxidant activity. *Food Chem* 97 (1): 25–31.

- Kidd F, West C (1927) A relation between the concentration of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, rate of respiration and length of storage life in apples. Great Britain Dept Sci Ind Res Rpt. Food Investment Board 1925—1926 pp 41—45.
- Kimball BA (1983) Carbon dioxide and agricultural yield : An assemblage and analysis of 770 prior observation. USDA/ARS Water Conservation Laboratory Report Number 14. Phoenix, AZ.
- Koichi Nomura, Daisuke Yasutake, Takahiro Kaneko, Akihiro Takada, Takashi Okayasu, Yukio Ozaki, Makito Mori, Masaharu Kitano (2021) Long-term compound interest effect of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on the carbon balance and growth of a leafy vegetable canopy. Scientia Horticultural 283 (2021) 110060.
- Li WL, Han XZ, Zhang YY, Li Z (2007) Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, irrigation and nitrogenous fertilizer application on the growth and yield of spring wheat in semi-arid areas. *Agric Water Manag* 87 (1): 106–114.
- Mattson RH, Widmer RE (1971) Year round effects of carbondioxide supplemented atmospheres on greenhouse rose (*Rosa* hybrida) production. J Amer Soc Hort Sci 96: 487–488.
- Morison JI, Gifford RM (1983) Stomatal sensitivity to carbon dioxide and humidity : A comparison of two  $C_3$  and two  $C_4$  grass species. *Pl Physiol* 71 (4) : 789–796.
- Murray MB, Smith RI, Friend A, Jarvis PG (2000) Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and varying nutrient application rates on physiology and biomass accumulation of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). *Tree Physiol* 20 (7) : 421–434.
- Myers SS, Zanobetti A, Kloog I, Huybers P, Leakey ADB, Bloom AJ, Carlisle E, Dietterich LH, Fitzgerald G, Hasegawa T et al. (2014) Increasing CO<sub>2</sub> threatens human nutrition. *Nature* 510 (7503): 139–142.
- Pazzaglia PT, Weiner J, Liu F (2016) Effects of  $CO_2$  elevation and irrigation regimes on leaf gas exchange, plant water relations and water use efficiency of two tomato cultivars. *Agric Water Manag* 169 : 26–33.
- Prasad PVV, Vu JCV, Boote K, Allan LH (2009) Enhancement in leaf photosynthesis and upregulation of Rubisco in the  $C_4$  sorghum plant at elevated growth carbon dioxide and temperature occur at early stages of leaf ontogeny. *Funct Pl Biol* 36 (9) : 761—769.
- Pukacki PM, Kamińska-Rożek E (2005) Effect of drought stress on chlorophyll a, fluorescence and electrical admittance of shoots in Norway spruce seedlings. *Trees* 19 (5): 539—544.
- Schansker G, Tóth SZ, Strasser RJ (2005) Methylviologen and dibromothymoquinone treatments of pea leaves reveal the role of photosystem I in the Chl a fluorescence rise OJIP. *BBA-Bioenergetics* 1706 (3) : 250–261.
- Schwanz P, Polle A (2001) Differential stress responses of antioxidative systems to drought in pendunculate oak (*Quercus robur*) and maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*) grown under high CO, concentrations. J Exp Bot 52 (354) : 133—143.
- Sisler EC, Wood C (1988) Interaction of ethylene and CO<sub>2</sub>. *Physiol Pl* 73:440–444.
- Wang YG, Peng CX, Zhan YN, Yu LH, Li M, Li J, Geng G (2017) Comparative proteomic analysis of two sugar beet cultivars with contrasting drought tolerance. J Pl Growth Regul 36 (3): 1—13.
- Wu DX, Wang GX (2000) Interaction of CO2, enrichment and

drought on growth, water use, and yield of broad bean

(*Vicia faba*). Environ Exp Bot 43 (2) : 131–139. Xu F, Jiang M, Meng F (2017) Short-term effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (0.5%) on mitochondria in diploid and tetraploid black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia L.). Ecol Evol

7 (13) : 4651—4660.

Yasutaka Kubo, Akitsugu Inaba, Reinosuke Nakamura (1990) Respiration and  $C_2H_4$  production in various harvested crops held in CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmospheres. J Amer Soc Hort Sci 115 (6): 975–978.