Environment and Ecology 40 (2C) : 927—942, April—June 2022 ISSN 0970-0420

Effect of Seed Size and Sowing Depth on the Growth Parameters of Soapnut (*Sapindus mukorossi* Gaertn) at Different Time Intervals under Treatment with Different Organic Manures Doses

Varun Attri, Navjot Kaler, Rajeev Dhiman, Rajneesh Kumar, K. S. Pant

Received 23 March 2022, Accepted 24 April 2022, published on 8 June 2022

ABSTRACT

The seeds of *Sapindus mukorossi* were collected from trees with the ideal phenotypical characters of good height, large crown and medium aged with abundant seed production. The seeds based on their diameter were graded into three classes i.e., small (L_1) (<1.2 cm), medium (L_2) (1.2-1.4 cm) and large (L_3) (>1.4 cm). Graded seeds were sown at two depths viz., 1.0 cm (D_1) and 1.5 cm (D_2) and were applied with control (M_1), vermicompost @ 5 t/ha (M_2) and vermicompost @ 10 t/ha (M_3), FYM @ 5 t/ha (M_4) and FYM @ 10 t/ha (M_5). The growth parameter of soapnut was observed at monthly intervals of 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing. The growth and seedling biomass parameter like shoot length, root length seedling

height, collar diameter; number of lateral roots, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and total biomass were significantly related to seed size, sowing depth and application of different organic manure doses. Among seed size categories, large seed (L_2) produced higher shoot length (2.34 cm) (15.35 cm) (21.49 cm)(26.68 cm), root length (1.87 cm) (10.00 cm) (15.09 cm), (18.28 cm), collar diameter (1.28 mm) (3.10 mm) (3.67 mm) (4.64 mm), seedling height (4.21 cm) (25.35 cm) (44.97 cm) (36.58 cm) and number of lateral roots (5.37) (30.17) (43.03) (63.03). Among sowing depths, D₁ showed better shoot length (1.27cm) (13.40 cm) (19.50 cm) (24.53 cm), root length (1.00 cm) (8.76 cm) (13.14 cm) (16.35 cm), collar diameter (0.82 mm) (2.89 mm) (3.46 mm) (4.29 mm), seedling height (2.27cm) (22.16cm) D₁ (32.64 cm) (40.88 cm) and number of lateral roots (3.09) (24.80) (41.00) (54.71) as compared to D₂. Among organic manures doses, M3 showed better shoot length (26.44 cm) (15.50 cm) (21.41 cm) (1.31cm), root length (0.99 cm) (9.70 cm) (14.31 cm) (17.36 cm), collar diameter (0.83 mm) (3.10 mm) (3.65 mm) (4.50 mm), seedling height (2.29 cm) (25.20 cm)(35.72 cm) (43.91 cm) and number of lateral roots (3.56) (27.44) (42.17) (57.67). The effectiveness of organic manures was in the order of vermicompost (a)10 t/ha > FYM (a) 10t/ha > vermicompost (a) 5 t/ ha > FYM (a) 5t/ha > control (no manure) and other hand, interactions viz. $M \times D$, $L \times D$ and $M \times L \times D$ were found to be non-significant.

Keywords Graded seeds, Seedling biomass, Sowing depth, Organic manures, Vermicompost.

Varun Attri¹, Navjot Kaler², Rajeev Dhiman^{3*}, Rajneesh Kumar⁴, K. S. Pant⁵

¹Silviculturist, Dr DR Bhumla Regional Research Station, Ballowal Saunkhri

Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (Punjab) 144521 ²Assistant Professor (Agroforestry)

³Research fellow (Tree Improvement and Genetic Resources)

⁴Assistant Professor (Forest Products) ⁵Professor (Agroforestry)

Professor (Agrofores

Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan (HP) 173230

Email: rajeevforester86@gmail.com

^{*}Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Sapindus mukorossi or soapnut tree (Ritha) is an important MPTs of north India, belongs to family Sapindaceae. The tree is native to China and Japan and much more cultivated in North India, in the moister tracts along the foot of Himalayas from ravi eastwards upto 1500 m elevation. The tree is also found wild in the valleys of north-western Himalayas, Assam and West Bengal (Upadhyay and Singh 2012). The tree is cultivated in many parts of India as ornamental and for its saponaceous fruits. Sapindus mukorossi is a moderate sized deciduous tree. It has a spreading crown and short clean bole attaining a height of about 20 m. The fruits are collected from the trees during winter months for seeds and or sale in the market as soapnut. The seeds retain their viability for one to two years. Occasionally, the tree come up from the self sown seeds and can also be raised artificially by direct sowings or by transplanting the nursery raised seedlings. The dried fruit of S. mukorossi has a soapy texture because of the presence of saponins and has traditionally been used to prepare Ayurvedic shampoos, detergents and hand wash for its bio surfactant activity (Bahar and Singh 2007). In addition, S. mukorossi is reported to have various pharmacological properties such as anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antiparasitic, antiviral, antifungal and antibacterial activities (Shah et al. 2017). Traditionally, powdered seed of S. mukorossi was utilized in the treatment of dental carries, common cold and nausea (Shah et al. 2017).

Among various factors responsible for successful plantation program, use of quality seeds in terms of genetic and physical attributes is of paramount importance. The seed size have been found to have a marked bearing on the quality of the nursery stock in numerous species and *Sapindus mukorossi* need not necessarily provide an exception to this. It is therefore, worthwhile to determine the optimum seed size for improving the physical quality of the seedlings/ growing stock. Sowing of seeds at proper depth is essential for the successful seedling emergence and subsequent growth because of difference in the micro-environments at various soil depths. Seeds must be well covered with soil in nursery to avoid damage by heat or desiccation and to avoid washing away by showers or watering (Azad *et al.* 2011). Chima *et al.* (2018) stated that seeds must be sown at a depth of twice to the diameter of seeds for longer seeds (1.5 -2 cm) and four times to diameter of small seeds (<1.5 cm). The seedling biomass of any crop is the result of available nutrients during the seedling growth period and these nutrients can be supplied either by chemical fertilizers, organic manures or some other means. It has been seen that intensive and continuous use of chemical fertilizer posed a serious threat to the environment and led to residual effect in the food product. Organic farming is best way to attain sustainable growth and productivity by taking care of quality of produce, which is considered imperative for human and animal health.

Organic fertilizers are 'naturally' occurring compounds manufactured through natural process (such as composting) or naturally occurring mineral deposits. Manure promotes plant growth, provides nutritious food to soil organisms, adds genetic and functional diversity to soils and improves the chemical and physical soil properties. Koninger *et al.* (2021). These include farm yard manure, vermicompost, enriched manure, biofertilizer, green manures.

Sapindus mukorossi importance lies in the sale of fruits, which are mainly sold in the local market. A number of farmers are earning livelihood by marketing and selling the fruits from their planted trees. As the domestication and cultivation started the demand for quality seedling during planting time is very high. But low, delayed and uneven germination has created problem in raising seedling in nursery. The application of organic manures as soil supplement may improve the performance of this species but knowledge and information about the response of this species to organic manures are scarce. Therefore, in consideration of this, it is important to understand the effect of interaction between and among the variables of seed sizes, sowing depths and organic manures so as to develop strategy for better approach to cultivation and sustained benefits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The selection of trees was based on the ideal pheno-

typical characters of good height, large crown, and medium aged trees with abundant seed production. The seeds of Sapindus mukorossi were collected from the three districts of Himachal Pradesh viz., Sirmour, Solan and Kullu. The experiments related to seeds and nursery technology of Sapindus mukorossi were conducted in nursery area of the university, respectively. Experimental field was prepared by ploughing the field twice and made smooth by harrowing followed by planking during January-February. The plots were prepared to accommodate all the treatments. The sunken nursery beds $(1m \times 1m)$ were prepared in the nursery area. Sowing was done during the month of March. Before sowing, the seeds based on their diameter were graded into three classes i.e. small (L_1) (<1.2 cm), medium (L_2) (1.2-1.4 cm) and large (L_2) (>1.4 cm). Seeds of *S. mukorossi* were sown at two different depths S_1 (1.00 cm) and S_2 (1.5 cm) for raising seedlings. Nursery beds were kept moist by sprinkling water and kept free from weeds. Organic manures viz., FYM and vermicompost were applied to all the plots except control. Five different doses of organic manures viz., without manure (M₁) (control), Vermicompost (5 t/ha) (M₂), Vermicompost (10 t/ha) (M_{2}) , FYM (5t/ha) (M_{4}) and FYM (10t/ha) (M_{5}) were applied to respective plots. The following growth and seedling biomass were recorded in nursery condition. Five randomly selected seedlings per replication were carefully uprooted without breaking the roots and observations were taken at an interval of 30, 60, 90, 120 days after sowing.

Shoot length (cm): It was measured with the help of meter scale from leading shoot tip to the collar region of the seedling at ground surface.

Root length (cm): The length of tap root was recorded in centimeters using measuring scale by placing it horizontally on the ground.

Collar diameter (mm): Collar diameter of the seedling was measured in millimeters (mm) by using digital vernier calliper.

Seedling height (cm): Seedling height was recorded in centimeters using a scale from root tip to the top/ tip of stem.

Seedling height = Shoot length + Root length **Number of roots** / **seedling:** After washing the seedling thoroughly each fibrous root was counted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Shoot length (cm)

Shoot length was recorded at monthly intervals of 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing and the respective data presented in Table 1. The contents of the table revealed that at an interval of 30 days in seed size categories, maximum shoot length was recorded in L, (2.34cm) and there was no measurable shoot length in small seed category (L_1) . Irrespective of seed size and doses of organic manure, sowing depth D, recorded significantly better shoot length of 1.27cm than D₂ (1.09cm). Among organic manure doses maximum shoot length was recorded in M_2 (1.31cm) which was statistically at par with M_s (1.24 cm) while minimum shoot length was recorded in M₁ (1.03cm). Among cumulative effect of seed size and sowing depth $(L \times D)$, maximum shoot length was recorded in D_1L_2 (2.48cm) while there was no measurable shoot length

						30 DA	S							
Category	Se	owing c	$depth(D_1)$		S	Sowing depth (D_2)					Seed size			
	L_1	L_2	L,	Mean	L ₁	L,	L, Ĩ	Mean	L_1	L ₂	L ₃	Mean		
Doses	•	-	5			-	5			-				
M,	0.00	0.97	2.27	1.08	0.00	0.9	2.03	0.98	0.00	0.93	2.15	1.03		
M,	0.00	1.33	2.40	1.24	0.00	1.07	2.20	1.09	0.00	1.20	2.30	1.17		
M,	0.00	1.60	2.63	1.41	0.00	1.23	2.37	1.20	0.00	1.42	2.50	1.31		
M ₄	0.00	1.23	2.57	1.27	0.00	1.03	2.13	1.06	0.00	1.13	2.35	1.16		
M _s	0.00	1.53	2.53	1.36	0.00	1.13	2.27	1.13	0.00	1.33	2.40	1.24		
Mean	0.00	1.33	2.48	1.27	0.00	1.07	2.20	1.09	0.00	1.20	2.34	-		
CD (0.05)	Seed size (L) 0.06		Depth (D) 0.05		LxD 0.8	Dose 0.08	es (M)	MxD NS		MxL 0.13		MxLxD NS		

Table 1. Effect of seed size, sowing depth and organic manures (doses) on shoot length (cm) of *Sapindus mukorossi* at different time intervals under nursery condition.

Table	1.	Continued.

7.70 10.92	L ₂ 11.37		Mean	L ₁	sowing u	$eptil(D_2)$			seeu	SIZC	
7.70 10.92	11.37	L ₃	Ivicali	L ₁		T	Maan	т	т	т	Moon
7.70 10.92	11.37				L ₂	L ₃	Weall	L ₁	L ₂	L ₃	Wican
10.92		12.97	10.68	6.97	10.50	12.28	9.91	7.33	10.93	12.62	10.30
	13.97	15.66	13.52	10.10	13.12	14.92	12.71	10.51	13.55	15.29	13.12
13.41	16.20	18.91	16.17	12.24	15.00	17.26	14.84	12.83	15.60	18.09	15.50
9.61	12.77	14.37	12.25	8.96	11.90	13.70	11.52	9.29	12.33	14.03	11.88
11.64	14.33	17.18	14.39	10.85	13.48	16.24	13.52	11.25	13.91	16.71	13.95
10.66	13.73	15.82	13.40	9.82	12.80	14.88	12.50	10.24	13.26	15.35	-
Seed size (L) Depth (D) 0.22 0.18		LxD NS	LxDDoses (M)MxDNS0.28NS			MxL M 0.48 N			LxD		
				9	0 DAS						
S	owing de	epth (D_1)		5	Sowing d	epth (D_2)			Seed	size	
L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean	L_1	L ₂	L ₃	Mean
14.08	17.48	18.93	16.83	13.48	16.65	18.22	16.12	13.78	17.07	18.58	16.47
17.02	20.13	21.88	19.68	16.33	19.36	21.09	18.93	16.68	19.75	21.48	19.30
18.97	21.98	24.99	21.98	18.18	20.85	23.49	20.84	18.57	21.41	24.24	21.41
15.79	18.94	20.78	18.50	15.18	18.12	19.82	17.71	15.49	18.53	20.30	18.11
17.80	20.44	23.29	20.51	16.93	19.63	22.38	19.65	17.37	20.04	22.83	20.08
16.73	19.79	21.97	19.50	16.02	18.92	21.00	18.65	16.38	19.36	21.49	
Seed size 0.12	e (L)	Dept 0.1	h (D)	LxD NS	Do: 0.1	ses (M) 5	MxD NS	N 0	íxL .26	Mx NS	LxD
				12	20 DAS						
S	owing de	epth (D_1)		5	Sowing d	epth (D_2)			Seed	size	
L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean
18.81	22.68	24.32	21.94	18.31	21.87	23.67	21.28	18.56	22.27	23.99	21.61
21.89	25.33	26.97	24.73	21.16	24.54	26.29	24.00	21.52	24.94	26.63	24.36
23.90	26.85	29.91	26.88	23.12	26.08	28.81	26.00	23.51	26.46	29.36	26.44
20.61	24.12	25.74	23.49	20.06	23.32	25.01	22.80	20.34	23.72	25.38	23.15
22.65	25.64	28.52	25.6	21.87	24.82	27.59	24.76	22.26	25.23	28.05	25.18
21.57	24.92	27.09	24.53	20.90	24.13	26.27	23.77	21.24	24.53	26.68	
Seed size	e (L)	Dept	th (D)	LxD NS	Do 0 2	ses (M)	MxD NS	N	1xL 39	Mx	LxD
	$\begin{array}{c} 13.41\\ 9.61\\ 11.64\\ 10.66\\ \hline \\ \text{Seed size}\\ 0.22\\ \hline \\ \text{S}\\ \text{L}_1\\ \hline \\ 14.08\\ 17.02\\ 18.97\\ 15.79\\ 17.80\\ 16.73\\ \hline \\ \text{Seed size}\\ 0.12\\ \hline \\ \text{S}\\ \text{L}_1\\ \hline \\ 18.81\\ 21.89\\ 23.90\\ 20.61\\ 22.65\\ 21.57\\ \hline \\ \text{Seed size}\\ 0.18\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13.41 & 16.20 \\ 9.61 & 12.77 \\ 11.64 & 14.33 \\ 10.66 & 13.73 \\ \hline \end{array}$ Seed size (L) 0.22 $\begin{array}{c} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								

in D_1L_1 and D_2L_1 . Whereas interactions between organic manure and seed size (M×L), maximum shoot length was recorded in M_3L_3 (2.50 cm) which was statistically at par with M_5L_3 (2.40 cm) while there was no measurable shoot length observed in M_1L_1 , M_2L_1 , M_3L_1 , M_4L_1 and M_5L_1 . While other interactions M×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

At 60 days after sowing in seed size categories, maximum shoot length was observed in L_3 (15.35

cm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum was recorded in L_1 (10.24 cm). Among two sowing depths, depth D_1 (13.40 cm) performed significantly better than D_2 (12.50 cm). In case of organic manure doses, maximum shoot length was recorded in M_3 (15.50 cm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum shoot length was recorded in M_1 (10.30 cm). In combinations, treatment combination (M_3L_3) recorded highest shoot length (18.09 cm) which was

significantly superior to all other combinations, while minimum was recorded in M_1L_1 (7.33 cm). Other interactions viz. M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

At an interval of 90 days after seed sowing in seed size categories, maximum shoot length was observed in L_{2} (21.49 cm) while minimum shoot length was recorded in L_1 (16.38 cm). Among sowing depths, D_1 (19.50 cm) performed significantly better than D_2 (18.65 cm) in terms of shoot length. Among various organic manure doses, maximum shoot length was recorded in M₂ (21.41 cm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum shoot length (16.47cm) was recorded in M₁ (control). In cumulative effect of organic manure and seed size categories (M×L), maximum shoot length was recorded in M₂L₂ (24.24 cm) which was significantly superior to all other combinations while minimum shoot length was recorded in M₁L₁ (13.78 cm) combination. On other hand, other interactions viz. M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

In seed size categories maximum shoot length was observed in L₂ (26.68 cm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, after 120 days of sowing. Minimum shoot length was recorded in L, (21.24 cm). Among two sowing depths, D, (24.53 cm) performed significantly better than D_{2} (23.77 cm). Among different organic manure doses, higher shoot length was recorded in M₂ (26.44 cm) which was significantly superior over other organic manure doses while minimum shoot length (21.61 cm) was recorded in M₁ (control). Interactions between organic manure and seed size categories (M×L) higher shoot length was recorded in treatment combination M₂L₂ with a value of 29.36 cm while minimum was recorded in M,L, (18.56 cm). Other interactions viz. M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

Root length (cm)

Data on root length was recorded at intervals of 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing and presented in Table 2. The contents of the table revealed that after 30 days of sowing, maximum root length was re-

Category	S	Sowing d	epth (D_1)		5	Sowing d	lepth (D ₂)			Seed	l size		
	L,	L,	L, İ	Mean	L_1	L,	L, Î	Mean	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	
Doses	1	2	5		1	2	2		1	2	5		
M ₁	0.00	0.57	1.73	0.77	0.00	0.53	1.57	0.70	0.00	0.55	1.65	0.73	
M ₂	0.00	0.97	1.97	0.98	0.00	0.70	1.77	0.82	0.00	0.83	1.87	0.90	
M ₃	0.00	1.23	2.20	1.14	0.00	0.87	1.63	0.83	0.00	1.05	1.92	0.99	
M	0.00	0.87	2.13	1.00	0.00	0.67	1.73	0.80	0.00	0.77	1.93	0.90	
M ₅	0.00	1.17	2.13	1.10	0.00	0.77	1.83	0.87	0.00	0.97	1.98	0.98	
Mean	0.00	0.96	2.03	1.00	0.00	0.71	1.71	0.80	0.00	0.83	1.87	-	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (L) Depth (D)		LxD	Doses	(M)	M×D	M×	M×L M×		×D			
	0.07		0.06		0.1	0.09		NS	0.1	6	NS		
					60	DAS							
Category	S	Sowing d	epth (D_1)		S	Sowing d	lepth (D ₂)			Seed	Seed size		
	L_1	L ₂	L,	Mean	L_1	L ₂	L,	Mean	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean	
Doses			2			-	2				2		
M.,	6.13	7.52	8.53	7.40	5.30	6.48	7.52	6.43	5.72	7.00	8.03	6.92	
M,	6.89	8.89	10.23	8.67	5.73	7.91	9.22	7.62	6.31	8.40	9.73	8.15	
M ₂	7.99	9.98	12.72	10.23	7.25	8.75	11.50	9.16	7.62	9.36	12.11	9.70	
M	6.92	7.95	9.51	8.13	5.46	6.97	8.56	6.99	6.19	7.46	9.03	7.56	
M,	7.33	9.27	11.56	9.39	7.29	8.32	10.62	8.74	7.31	8.80	11.09	9.07	
Mean	7.05	8.72	10.51	8.76	6.20	7.69	9.49	7.79	6.63	8.20	10.00	-	

Table 2. Effect of seed size, sowing depth and organic manures (doses) on root length (cm) of *Sapindus mukorossi* at different time intervals under nursery condition.

Table 2. Co	ntinued.
-------------	----------

Seed size (L) Depth			th (D) $L \times D$ Doses (M)		M×D		M×L	Μ	$M \times L \times D$		
0.16		0.13		NS	0.2	2	NS	5	0.35	NS	5
				90 E	DAS						
So	wing de	$pth(D_1)$		Sowing depth (D_2)				Seed	size		
L ₁	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L ₂	L ₃	Mean
9.13	11.49	12.84	11.15	8.57	10.68	12.58	10.61	8.85	11.08	12.71	10.88
10.52	13.66	15.40	13.19	9.85	12.88	14.60	12.44	10.19	13.27	15.00	12.82
12.00	14.67	17.67	14.78	11.16	13.88	16.51	13.85	11.58	14.27	17.09	14.31
9.81	12.99	14.80	12.53	9.21	12.13	13.83	11.73	9.51	12.56	14.32	12.13
11.32	13.96	16.81	14.03	10.45	13.16	15.89	13.17	10.89	13.56	16.35	13.60
10.56	13.35	15.50	13.14	9.85	12.54	14.68	12.36	10.20	12.95	15.09	-
Seed size (L)		Depth	(D)	L×D	D	oses (M)	M>	<d< td=""><td>M×L</td><td>М</td><td>×L×D</td></d<>	M×L	М	×L×D
0.1		0.08		NS	0.	13	NS		0.23	NS	5
				120 1	DAS						
So	wing de	pth (D ₁)		S	owing d	epth (D ₂)			Seed	size	
L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L,	Mean
	-	5			-	5		•	-	5	
12.82	14.68	16.32	14.61	12.00	13.87	15.67	13.84	12.41	14.27	15.99	14.23
13.90	16.83	18.47	16.40	13.63	16.04	17.80	15.83	13.77	16.44	18.13	16.11
14.90	17.85	20.91	17.88	14.24	17.08	19.81	17.04	14.57	17.46	20.36	17.46
13.37	16.12	17.74	15.74	13.06	15.33	17.01	15.14	13.22	15.73	17.38	15.44
14.15	17.14	20.02	17.10	13.38	16.32	19.09	16.26	13.76	16.73	19.55	16.68
13.83	16.52	18.69	16.35	13.26	15.73	17.87	15.62	13.55	16.13	18.28	-
Seed size (L)		Depth	(D)	L×D	D	oses (M)	M>	<d< td=""><td>M×L</td><td>М</td><td>×L×D</td></d<>	M×L	М	×L×D
0.2		0.16		NS	0.	.25	NS		0.44	N	S
	Seed size (L) 0.16 So L ₁ 9.13 10.52 12.00 9.81 11.32 10.56 Seed size (L) 0.1 12.82 13.90 14.90 13.37 14.15 13.83 Seed size (L) 0.2	Seed size (L) 0.16 Sowing de L_1 L_2 9.13 11.49 10.52 13.66 12.00 14.67 9.81 12.99 11.32 13.96 10.56 13.35 Seed size (L) 0.1 Sowing de L_1 L_2 12.82 14.68 13.90 16.83 14.90 17.85 13.37 16.12 14.15 17.14 13.83 16.52 Seed size (L) 0.2	Seed size (L) Depth (D) 0.16 0.13 Sowing depth (D) L_1 L_2 L_3 9.13 11.49 12.84 10.52 13.66 15.40 12.00 14.67 17.67 9.81 12.99 14.80 11.32 13.96 16.81 10.56 13.35 15.50 Seed size (L) Depth 0.1 0.08 12.82 14.68 16.32 13.90 16.83 18.47 14.90 17.85 20.91 13.37 16.12 17.74 14.15 17.14 20.02 13.83 16.52 18.69 Seed size (L) Depth 0.2 0.16	Seed size (L) Depth (D) 0.16 0.13 L Sowing depth (D ₁) Mean 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 Seed size (L) Depth (D) 0.08 0.1 0.08 12.82 14.68 12.82 14.68 16.32 14.61 13.90 16.83 18.47 16.40 14.90 17.85 20.91 17.88 13.37 16.12 17.74 15.74 14.15 17.14 20.02 17.10 13.83 16.52 18.69 16.35 Seed size (L) Depth (D) 0.16 0.16	Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D 0.16 0.13 NS 90 I Sowing depth (D ₁) S L ₁ L ₂ L ₃ Mean L ₁ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D 0.1 0.08 NS S 12001 Sowing depth (D ₁) L×D L1 L2 L3 Mean L1 13.90 16.83 18.47 16.40 13.63 14.90 17.85 20.91 17.88 14.24 13.37 16.12 <td>Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Data 0.16 0.13 NS 0.1 90 DAS Sowing depth (D₁) L₁ L₂ L₃ Mean L₁ L₂ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 13.16 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D D 0.1 0.08 NS 0. 12.00 13.87 13.90 16.83 18.47 16.40 13.63 16.04 14.90 17.85 20.91 17.88 14.24 17.08 13.37 16.12 17.74 15.74 13.06 15.33 <</td> <td>Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) 0.16 0.13 NS 0.2 90 DAS Sowing depth (D₁) L₁ L₂ L₃ Mean $\begin{array}{c} 90 \text{ DAS} \\ Sowing depth (D_2) \\ L_1 \\ L_2 \\ L_3 \\ \end{array}$ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 12.58 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 14.60 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 16.51 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 13.83 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 13.16 15.89 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 14.68 Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) 0.1 0.08 NS 0.13 0.13 12.00 DAS Sowing depth (D₁) L L D Doses (M) 0.1 0.08 13.63</td> <td>Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) M× 0.16 0.13 NS 0.2 NS Sowing depth (D₁) L Sowing depth (D₂) L L L L L L L NS 0.2 NS 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 12.58 10.61 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 14.60 12.44 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 16.51 13.85 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 13.83 11.73 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 14.68 12.36 Seed size (L) Depth (D₁) L×D Doses (M) M> 0.1 0.08 NS 0.13 NS 0.13 NS I20 DAS Sowing depth (D₁) L L L L L 13.84 13.90 16.83 <t< td=""><td>Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) M×D 0.16 0.13 NS 0.2 NS 90 DAS Sowing depth (D₁) L₁ L₂ L₃ Mean L₁ L₂ L₃ Mean L₁ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 12.58 10.61 8.85 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 14.60 12.44 10.19 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 16.51 13.85 11.58 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 13.83 11.73 9.51 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 13.16 15.89 13.17 10.89 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 14.68 12.36 10.20 L₁ L₂</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></t<></td>	Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Data 0.16 0.13 NS 0.1 90 DAS Sowing depth (D ₁) L ₁ L ₂ L ₃ Mean L ₁ L ₂ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 13.16 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D D 0.1 0.08 NS 0. 12.00 13.87 13.90 16.83 18.47 16.40 13.63 16.04 14.90 17.85 20.91 17.88 14.24 17.08 13.37 16.12 17.74 15.74 13.06 15.33 <	Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) 0.16 0.13 NS 0.2 90 DAS Sowing depth (D ₁) L ₁ L ₂ L ₃ Mean $\begin{array}{c} 90 \text{ DAS} \\ Sowing depth (D_2) \\ L_1 \\ L_2 \\ L_3 \\ \end{array}$ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 12.58 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 14.60 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 16.51 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 13.83 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 13.16 15.89 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 14.68 Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) 0.1 0.08 NS 0.13 0.13 12.00 DAS Sowing depth (D ₁) L L D Doses (M) 0.1 0.08 13.63	Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) M× 0.16 0.13 NS 0.2 NS Sowing depth (D ₁) L Sowing depth (D ₂) L L L L L L L NS 0.2 NS 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 12.58 10.61 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 14.60 12.44 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 16.51 13.85 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 13.83 11.73 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 14.68 12.36 Seed size (L) Depth (D ₁) L×D Doses (M) M> 0.1 0.08 NS 0.13 NS 0.13 NS I20 DAS Sowing depth (D ₁) L L L L L 13.84 13.90 16.83 <t< td=""><td>Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) M×D 0.16 0.13 NS 0.2 NS 90 DAS Sowing depth (D₁) L₁ L₂ L₃ Mean L₁ L₂ L₃ Mean L₁ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 12.58 10.61 8.85 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 14.60 12.44 10.19 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 16.51 13.85 11.58 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 13.83 11.73 9.51 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 13.16 15.89 13.17 10.89 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 14.68 12.36 10.20 L₁ L₂</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></t<>	Seed size (L) Depth (D) L×D Doses (M) M×D 0.16 0.13 NS 0.2 NS 90 DAS Sowing depth (D ₁) L ₁ L ₂ L ₃ Mean L ₁ L ₂ L ₃ Mean L ₁ 9.13 11.49 12.84 11.15 8.57 10.68 12.58 10.61 8.85 10.52 13.66 15.40 13.19 9.85 12.88 14.60 12.44 10.19 12.00 14.67 17.67 14.78 11.16 13.88 16.51 13.85 11.58 9.81 12.99 14.80 12.53 9.21 12.13 13.83 11.73 9.51 11.32 13.96 16.81 14.03 10.45 13.16 15.89 13.17 10.89 10.56 13.35 15.50 13.14 9.85 12.54 14.68 12.36 10.20 L ₁ L ₂	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

corded in large sized seeds (L_2) (1.87 cm) and there was no measurable root length in L₁. Irrespective of seed size and organic manure doses, sowing depth D₁ recorded significantly better root length of 1.00 cm than D_2 (0.80 cm). In organic manure doses, maximum root length was recorded in M_3 (0.99 cm) which was statistically at par with M_5 , M_7 and M_4 while it was recorded minimum in M₁ (0.73 cm). Among interactions between seed size and sowing depth $(L \times D)$, maximum root length was recorded in D_1L_2 (2.03 cm) whereas no measurable root length was found in D_1L_1 and D_2L_1 . Other interactions, between organic manure and seed size (M×L) root length was recorded maximum in M5L3 (1.98 cm) which was statistically at par with M₃L₃, M₄L₃, M₂L₃ whereas it was recorded negligible in M₁L₁, M₂L₁, M₃L₁, M₄L₁ and $M_{5}L_{1}$. Other interactions M×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

At an interval of 60 DAS in seed size categories, maximum root length was observed in L_3 (10.00 cm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum root length was recorded in L_1 (6.63 cm). Among two sowing depths, D_1 (8.76 cm) performed significantly better than D_2 (7.79 cm). Among different doses of organic manures, maximum root length was recorded in M_3 (9.70 cm) whereas minimum was recorded in M_1 (6.92 cm). Among interactions between organic manure and seed size categories (M×L), maximum root length was recorded in M_3L_3 (12.11 cm) and minimum root length was recorded in M_1L_1 (5.72 cm). On other hand, other interactions viz. M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

After 90 days of seed sowing in seed size categories, maximum root length was recorded in L_3 (15.09 cm), whereas minimum root length was recorded in L_1 (10.20 cm). Among two sowing depths D_1 (13.14 cm) performed significantly better than D_2 (12.36 cm). Among different organic manure doses, maximum root length was recorded in M_3 (14.31 cm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum root length was recorded in M_1 (10.88 cm). Among interactions between organic manure and seed size categories (M×L), maximum root length was recorded in M_3L_3 (17.09 cm) which significantly differ from other combinations while minimum root length was recorded in M_1L_1 (8.85 cm). On the other hand, other interactions viz. M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

(15.62 cm) in terms of root length. Among different doses of organic manure, maximum root length was recorded in M_3 (17.36 cm) which was significantly superior over other doses while minimum (14.23 cm) root length was recorded in M_1 . Interactions between organic manure and seed size categories (M×L) maximum root length was recorded in M_3L_3 (20.36 cm) which was significantly superior to all other combinations while minimum root length was recorded in M_1L_1 (12.41 cm). On other hand, other interactions viz., M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

Collar diameter (mm)

At 120 DAS in seed size categories, maximum root length was observed in L_3 (18.28 cm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum root length was recorded in L_1 (13.55 cm). Among two sowing depths, sowing depth D_1 (16.35 cm) performed significantly better than D_2

Collar diameter of soapnut seedling was recorded at a monthly interval of 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after sowing and the respective data presented in Table 3. At 30 DAS, in seed size categories, maximum collar diameter was recorded in L_3 (1.28 mm) whereas no measurable collar diameter was observed in L_1 . Other

					30 D	AS						
Category	So	wing de	epth (D_1)			Sowing d	epth (D ₂))		Seed	size	
	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L, 2	Mean	L_1	L,	L ₃	Mean
Doses	*	-	5			-	5		*	-	5	
M ₁	0.00	1.14	1.28	0.81	0.00	1.14	1.27	0.80	0.00	1.14	1.27	0.81
M ₂	0.00	1.17	1.25	0.81	0.00	1.14	1.30	0.81	0.00	1.16	1.28	0.81
M ₃	0.00	1.21	1.32	0.85	0.00	1.20	1.27	0.82	0.00	1.21	1.30	0.83
M	0.00	1.17	1.27	0.81	0.00	1.17	1.24	0.80	0.00	1.17	1.26	0.81
M _s	0.00	1.22	1.33	0.85	0.00	1.14	1.31	0.82	0.00	1.18	1.32	0.83
Mean	0.00	1.18	1.29	0.82	0.00	1.16	1.28	0.81	0.00	1.17	1.28	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (L)	D	epth (D)	LxD		Doses (M)	M×D	M	×L	M×L:	×D
	0.03	N	IS	NS		NS		NS	NS	5	NS	
					60 DA	S						
Category	So	wing de	epth (D_1)			Sowing d	epth (D ₂))		Seed	size	
	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L, 2	Mean	L_1	L,	L,	Mean
Doses	Ĩ	2	5		1	2	5		1	2	5	
M,	2.15	2.67	2.93	2.58	2.03	2.53	2.80	2.45	2.09	2.60	2.87	2.52
M ₂	2.62	2.97	3.13	2.91	2.42	2.84	3.05	2.77	2.52	2.91	3.09	2.84
M ₂	2.89	3.24	3.34	3.16	2.69	3.18	3.28	3.05	2.79	3.21	3.31	3.10
M	2.37	2.87	3.10	2.78	2.32	2.83	3.03	2.73	2.35	2.85	3.06	2.75
M _s	2.78	3.09	3.23	3.03	2.56	2.96	3.12	2.88	2.67	3.02	3.18	2.96
Mean	2.56	2.97	3.15	2.89	2.40	2.87	3.06	2.78	2.48	2.92	3.10	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (L) 0.03	С 0	Depth (D) .02	L×D NS		Doses (0.04	M)	M×D NS	M> 0.0	×L)6	M×L× NS	×D

Table 3. Effect of seed size, sowing depth and organic manures (doses) on collar diameter (mm) of Sapindus mukorossi at different time intervals under nursery condition.

					90]	DAS						
Category	S	Sowing	depth (D_1)	1	S	Sowing o	lepth (D ₂))		Seed	size	
	L_1	L_2	L, .	Mean	L_1	L_2	L, Ĩ	Mean	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean
Doses		-	-		-		-		-	_	-	
M ₁	2.96	3.18	3.41	3.18	2.92	3.14	3.38	3.15	2.94	3.16	3.39	3.17
M,	3.21	3.48	3.78	3.49	3.18	3.44	3.64	3.42	3.20	3.46	3.71	3.46
M ₃	3.46	3.71	3.96	3.71	3.34	3.57	3.87	3.59	3.40	3.64	3.92	3.65
M ₄	3.08	3.33	3.61	3.34	3.08	3.30	3.55	3.31	3.08	3.32	3.58	3.33
M ₅	3.31	3.54	3.81	3.56	3.27	3.47	3.68	3.47	3.29	3.51	3.74	3.51
Mean	3.21	3.45	3.72	3.46	3.16	3.38	3.62	3.39	3.18	3.42	3.67	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (I	2)	Depth (I	D)	L×D	Doses	s (M)	M×D		M×L		M×L×D
	0.03	,	0.02	, 	NS	0.04		NS		0.07		NS
					120	DAS						
Category	5	Sowing	depth (D_1)	1	5	Sowing o	lepth (D ₂))		Seed	size	
	L ₁	L_2	L,	Mean	L_1	L_2	L, -	Mean	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean
Doses		_	-		-		-		-	_	-	
M,	3.67	3.94	4.14	3.92	3.47	3.74	3.93	3.71	3.57	3.84	4.03	3.81
M,	4.00	4.17	4.91	4.36	3.79	3.99	4.72	4.17	3.90	4.08	4.82	4.26
M ₃	4.21	4.38	5.22	4.60	4.01	4.16	5.02	4.40	4.11	4.27	5.12	4.50
M	3.86	4.16	4.38	4.13	3.65	3.96	4.18	3.93	3.76	4.06	4.28	4.03
M ₅	4.06	4.19	5.06	4.43	3.86	3.99	4.86	4.23	3.96	4.09	4.96	4.33
Mean	3.96	4.17	4.74	4.29	3.76	3.97	4.54	4.09	3.86	4.07	4.64	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (I	L)	Depth (I	D)	L×D	Doses	s (M)	M×D		M×L		M×L×D
	0.04		0.03		NS	0.05		NS		0.09		NS

Table 3. Continued.

factors viz., sowing depth (D) and organic manures doses (M) were found to be non-significant. At an interval of 60 DAS in seed size categories, maximum collar diameter was recorded in L₃ (3.10 mm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum collar diameter was recorded in L₁ (2.48 mm). Among two sowing depths, depth D₁ (2.89 mm) performed significantly better than D₂ (2.78 mm). Among different organic manure doses, maximum collar diameter was recorded in M₃ (3.10 mm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum collar diameter was recorded in M₁ (2.52 mm).

In a cumulative effect of organic manure and seed size categories (M×L), maximum collar diameter was recorded in M_3L_3 (3.31 mm), while minimum collar diameter was recorded in M_1L_1 (2.09 mm). On other hand, other interactions viz., M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant. After 90 days of seed sowing in seed size categories, maximum collar diameter was recorded in L_3 (3.67 mm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum was recorded in L_1 (3.18 mm). Among sowing depths, D_1 (3.46 mm) performed significantly better than D_2 (3.39 mm). Among different doses of organic manure, maximum collar diameter was recorded in M_3 (3.65 mm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum collar diameter was recorded in M_1 (3.17 mm). Among interactions between organic manures and seed size categories (M×L), maximum collar diameter was recorded in M_1L_1 (3.92 mm), while minimum was recorded in M_1L_1 (2.94 mm). On other hand, other interactions viz., M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

At 120 DAS in seed size categories, maximum collar diameter was observed in L_3 (4.64 mm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum was recorded in L_1 (3.86 mm). Among sowing depths, D_1 (4.29 mm) performed significantly better than D_2 (4.09 mm). Among different organic manure doses, maximum collar diameter was recorded in M_3 (4.50 mm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum collar diameter was recorded in M_1 (3.81 mm). An interaction between organic manure and seed size categories (M×L), maximum collar diameter was recorded in M_3L_3 (5.12 mm), while minimum collar diameter was recorded in M_1L_1 (3.57 mm). On other hand, other interactions viz., M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

Seedling height (cm)

The contents of Table 4 revealed that at an interval of 30 DAS in seed size categories, maximum seedling height was recorded in L_3 (4.21 cm) and there was no measurable seedling height in L_1 . Irrespective of seed size and organic manure doses, sowing depth D_1 recorded significantly better seedling height of 2.27cm than D_2 (1.90 cm). In organic manure doses maximum seedling height was recorded in M_3 (2.29cm) which was statistically at par with M_5 (2.23cm) while min-

imum was recorded in M₁ (1.76cm). Among interactions between seed size and sowing depth $(L \times D)$ maximum seedling height was recorded in D_1L_2 (4.51cm) whereas no measurable seedling height was found in D_1L_1 and D_2L_1 . Interactions between organic manure and seed size (M×L), maximum seedling height was recorded in M₃L₃ (4.42 cm) which was statistically at par with M_4L_3 , M_5L_3 , M_2L_3 while no measurable seedling height was recorded in M₁L₁, M₂L₁, M₃L₁, M_4L_1 and M_5L_1 . At an interval of 60 days after sowing in seed size categories, maximum seedling height was observed in L₂ (25.35 cm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum was recorded in L₁ (16.87cm). Among two sowing depths, D₁ (22.16cm) performed significantly better than D₂ (20.29cm). Among different organic manure doses, maximum seedling height was recorded in M₂ (25.20 cm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum seedling height was recorded in M₁ (17.21 cm). Among interactions, organic manure and seed size categories

Table 4. Effect of seed size, sowing depth and organic manures (doses) on seedling height (cm) of Sapindus mukorossi at different time intervals under nursery condition.

					30 DA	S						
Category	S	owing de	epth (D ₁)		Se	owing de	epth (D ₂)		Seed size			
	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L_3	Mean
Doses		-	5			-	5			-	5	
M,	0.00	1.53	4.00	1.84	0.00	1.43	3.60	1.68	0.00	1.48	3.80	1.76
M ₂	0.00	2.30	4.37	2.22	0.00	1.77	3.97	1.91	0.00	2.03	4.17	2.07
M ₃	0.00	2.83	4.83	2.56	0.00	2.10	4.00	2.03	0.00	2.47	4.42	2.29
M ₄	0.00	2.10	4.70	2.27	0.00	1.70	3.87	1.86	0.00	1.90	4.28	2.06
M _s	0.00	2.70	4.67	2.46	0.00	1.90	4.10	2.00	0.00	2.30	4.38	2.23
Mean	0.00	2.29	4.51	2.27	0.00	1.78	3.91	1.90	0.00	2.04	4.21	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (1	L)	Depth	(D)	L×D	Do	ses (M)	M×D		M×L	M×	L×D
. ,	0.11	,	0.09		0.16	0.1	5	NS		0.26	NS	
					30 DAS							
Category	S	owing de	$epth(D_1)$		Se	owing de	epth (D_2)			Seed	size	
	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L,	Mean
Doses	1	2	5		1	2	5		1	2	5	
M,	13.83	18.89	21.50	18.07	12.27	16.98	19.80	16.35	13.05	17.94	20.65	17.21
M ₂	17.81	22.86	25.89	22.19	15.83	21.03	24.15	20.34	16.82	21.95	25.02	21.26
M ₂	21.40	26.18	31.63	26.40	19.49	23.75	28.76	24.00	20.44	24.96	30.20	25.20
M ₄	16.53	20.72	23.88	20.38	14.42	18.87	22.26	18.51	15.48	19.79	23.07	19.45
M _s	18.98	23.60	28.74	23.77	18.13	21.80	26.87	22.27	18.56	22.70	27.80	23.02
Mean	17.71	22.45	26.33	22.16	16.03	20.49	24.37	20.29	16.87	21.47	25.35	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (I	L)	Depth 0.24	(D)	L×D NS	Do 03	ses (M) 8	M×D NS		M×L 0.66	M× NS	L×D

					90 DA	S						
Category	Se	owing de	epth (D_1)		S	owing d	epth (D ₂)			Seed	size	
	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean
Doses												
M,	23.21	28.96	31.78	27.98	22.04	27.33	30.80	26.73	22.63	28.15	31.29	27.35
M ₂	27.55	33.79	37.28	32.87	26.19	32.23	35.69	31.37	26.87	33.01	36.48	32.12
M ₃	30.97	36.64	42.66	36.76	29.34	34.72	40.00	34.69	30.15	35.68	41.33	35.72
M ₄	25.60	31.93	35.58	31.04	24.39	30.26	33.66	29.43	25.00	31.10	34.62	30.24
M ₅	29.12	34.41	40.10	34.54	27.39	32.79	38.27	32.81	28.25	33.60	39.18	33.68
Mean	27.29	33.15	37.48	32.64	25.87	31.47	35.68	31.01	26.58	32.31	36.58	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (]	L)	Depth	(D)	L×D		Doses (N	[)	M×D	M×I	L	M×L×D
	0.2	, 	0.16		NS		0.26	,	NS	0.44		NS
					120 DA	S						
Category	Se	owing de	$epth(D_1)$		S	owing d	epth (D ₂)			Seed	size	
	L_1	L_2	L, .	Mean	L_1	L ₂	L, Ĩ	Mean	L ₁	L_2	L_3	Mean
Doses	Ĩ	2	5		Ĩ	2	5		Ĩ	2	5	
M.	31.63	37.35	40.64	36.54	30.31	35.73	39.33	35.13	30.97	36.54	39.99	35.83
M ₂	35.79	42.17	45.43	41.13	34.79	40.59	44.09	39.82	35.29	41.38	44.76	40.48
M,	38.79	44.69	50.82	44.77	37.37	43.16	48.62	43.05	38.08	43.93	49.72	43.91
M,	33.98	40.25	43.49	39.24	33.13	38.66	42.02	37.93	33.55	39.45	42.75	38.59
M _c	36.79	42.79	48.54	42.71	35.24	41.14	46.67	41.02	36.02	41.96	47.61	41.86
Mean	35.4	41.45	45.78	40.88	34.17	39.86	44.15	39.39	34.78	40.65	44.97	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (1	L)	Depth	(D)	L×D		Doses (N	[)	M×D	M×I	_	M×L×D
	0.37		0.3		NS		0.47		NS	0.82		NS

Table 4. Continued.

(M×L), maximum seedling height was recorded in M_3L_3 (30.20 cm) which was significantly superior to all other combinations while minimum was recorded in M_1L_1 (13.05 cm).

At an interval of 90 DAS in seed size categories, maximum seedling height was observed in L_3 (36.58) cm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum seedling height was recorded in L_1 (26.58 cm). On the other hand among two sowing depths, depth D₁ (32.64 cm) performed significantly better than D_2 (31.01 cm). Among different organic manure doses, maximum seedling height was recorded in M₃ (35.72 cm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum seedling height was recorded in M, (27.35 cm). Interactions between organic manures and seed size categories (M×L), maximum seedling height was recorded in M₃L₃ (41.33 cm) which was significantly superior to all other combinations while minimum was recorded in M_1L_1 (22.63 cm).

At an interval of 120 days after sowing in seed size categories, maximum seedling height was observed in L_3 (44.97 cm) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum was recorded in L_1 (34.78 cm). Sowing depths, D_1 (40.88 cm) performed significantly better than D_2 (39.39 cm). Among different organic manure doses, maximum seedling height was recorded in M_3 (43.91 cm) which was significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum seedling height was recorded in M_1 (35.83 cm). Interaction between organic manures and seed size categories (M×L), maximum seedling height was recorded in M_3L_3 (49.72 cm) which was significantly superior to all other combinations while minimum was recorded in M_1L_1 (30.97 cm).

Number of lateral roots

Number of lateral roots of soapnut was observed at monthly intervals at 60, 90 and 120 DAS and the data presented in Table 5. After 30 days of seed sowing, in seed size categories, maximum number of lateral roots was observed in L_{2} (5.37) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in L₁. Maximum number of lateral roots was observed in D, sowing depth (3.09) while minimum was recorded in $D_{2}(2.73)$, however non significant variation has been observed among the various sowing depths. Among different doses of organic manure, maximum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_3 (3.56) which were statistically at par with M₅ while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in M₁ (2.33). At 60 DAS in seed size categories, maximum number of lateral roots was recorded in L_2 (30.17) which were significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in L_1 (17.92). Among two sowing depths, depth D_1 (24.80) performed significantly better than D₂ (22.98). Among different doses of organic manure, maximum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_2 (27.44) which were significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_1 (19.17). Other interactions viz. $M \times L$, $M \times D$, $L \times D$ and $M \times L \times D$ were found to be non-significant.

At an interval of 90 days after sowing in seed size categories, maximum number of lateral roots was observed in L_3 (43.03) which was significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum was recorded in L_1 (34.73). Among two sowing depths, depth D_1 (41.00) performed significantly better than D_2 (37.56). Among various doses of organic manure, maximum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_3 (42.17) which were significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_3 (42.17) which were significantly superior to all other organic manure doses while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_1 (35.83).

At an interval of 120 DAS in seed size categories, maximum number of lateral roots was observed in L_3 (63.03) which were significantly superior to all other seed categories, while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in L_1 (45.97). Among two sowing depths, sowing depth D_1 registered maximum

					30 DA	S						
Category	S	owing de	$pth(D_1)$		S	owing d	epth (D_2)			Seed s	size	
	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean
Doses												
M ₁	0.00	3.00	4.33	2.44	0.00	2.67	4.00	2.22	0.00	2.83	4.17	2.33
M ₂	0.00	3.33	5.33	2.89	0.00	3.00	4.67	2.56	0.00	3.17	5.00	2.72
M ₃	0.00	4.33	7.00	3.78	0.00	4.00	6.00	3.33	0.00	4.17	6.50	3.56
M ₄	0.00	3.33	5.67	3.00	0.00	3.00	5.00	2.67	0.00	3.17	5.33	2.83
M ₅	0.00	3.67	6.33	3.33	0.00	3.33	5.33	2.89	0.00	3.50	5.83	3.11
Mean	0.00	3.53	5.73	3.09	0.00	3.2	5.00	2.73	0.00	3.37	5.37	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (L)	Dept	h (D)	L×D	E	Doses (M)		M×D	M×L		M×L×D
	0.38		NS		NS	0	.49		NS	NS		NS
					60 DA	S						
Category	Se	owing de	$epth(D_1)$		S	owing d	epth (D_2)			Seed s	size	
Doses	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean	L_1	L_2	L_3	Mean
M ₁	15.33	17.35	26.33	19.67	14.33	16.33	25.33	18.67	14.83	16.84	25.83	19.17
M ₂	19.11	25.33	30.33	24.93	18.00	22.33	28.67	23.00	18.56	23.83	29.50	23.96
M ₃	23.00	29.00	34.67	28.89	20.67	26.00	31.33	26.00	21.83	27.50	33.00	27.44
M	16.33	24.67	32.33	24.44	15.33	23.67	30.00	23.00	15.83	24.17	31.17	23.72
M ₅	19.78	25.72	32.67	26.05	17.33	25.33	30.00	24.22	18.56	25.53	31.33	25.14
Mean	18.71	24.41	31.27	24.8	17.13	22.73	29.07	22.98	17.92	23.57	30.17	
CD (0.05)	Seed size ((L)	Dept	h (D)	L×D	E	Doses (M)		M×D	M×L		M×L×D
	1.27		1.03		NS	1	.64		NS	NS		NS

Table 5. Effect of seed size, sowing depth and organic manures (doses) on number of lateral roots of *Sapindus mukorossi* at different time intervals under nursery condition.

Category	Sc	owing de	pth (D ₁)	
Doses	L_1	L_2	L ₃	Mean
М	22.22	20.22	20.22	27.00

	L_1	L ₂	L, İ	Mean	L_1	L_2	L, Ĩ	Mean	L_1	L,	L_3	Mean
Doses	·	-	5			-	5		*	2	5	
M,	33.33	39.33	38.33	37.00	31.00	36.67	36.33	34.67	32.17	38.00	37.33	35.83
M ₂	35.67	42.67	45.67	41.33	32.67	39.33	43.33	38.44	34.17	41.00	44.50	39.89
M ₃	38.67	46.67	48.33	44.56	36.33	40.67	42.33	39.78	37.50	43.67	45.33	42.17
M ₄	35.33	40.33	45.33	40.33	33.33	36.33	41.67	37.11	34.33	38.33	43.50	38.72
M ₅	36.67	41.33	47.33	41.78	34.33	37.33	41.67	37.78	35.50	39.33	44.50	39.78
Mean	35.93	42.07	45.00	41.00	33.53	38.07	41.07	37.56	34.73	40.07	43.03	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (L)		Depth (D)		L×D	Doses (M)		M×D		M×L		M×L×D
	1.74		1.42		NS	2.24		NS		NS	NS	
					120 DA	S						
Category	Sowing depth (D_1)			Sowing depth (D_2)					Seed size			
	L,	L,	L,	Mean	L_1	L_2	L,	Mean	L_1	L,	L_3	Mean
Doses	·	-	5			-	5		*	2	5	
M,	47.67	49.67	52.33	49.89	44.00	47.33	52.33	47.89	45.83	48.50	52.33	48.89
M ₂	45.00	51.00	63.33	53.11	42.33	50.33	61.00	51.22	43.67	50.67	62.17	52.17
M ₃	48.00	56.33	71.00	58.44	46.00	53.67	71.00	56.89	47.00	55.00	71.00	57.67
M	47.67	53.00	64.33	55.00	45.33	51.67	64.33	53.78	46.50	52.33	64.33	54.39
M ₅	48.00	55.00	68.33	57.11	45.67	52.67	62.33	53.56	46.83	53.83	65.33	55.33
Mean	47.27	53.00	63.87	54.71	44.67	51.13	62.2	52.67	45.97	52.07	63.03	
CD (0.05)	Seed size (L)		Depth (D)		L×D	Doses (M)		M×D		M×L		M×L×D
	2.28		NS		NS	2.94		NS		5.09	19 NS	

90 DAS

Sowing depth (D₂)

Seed size

number (54.71) of lateral roots, while minimum was recorded in D_{2} (52.67), however non significant variation has been observed among the various sowing depths. In organic manure doses maximum number of lateral roots was recorded in M₂ (57.67) which were statistically at par with M_c while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in M₁ (48.89). Among interactions between organic manure and seed size categories (M×L), maximum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_2L_2 (71.00) which was significantly superior to all other combinations while minimum number of lateral roots was recorded in M_2L_1 (43.67). On the other hand, other interactions viz. M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

Growth and seedling biomass characteristics of Sapindus mukorossi viz. shoot length, root length, collar diameter, seedling height and number of lateral roots significantly influenced by seed size categories, sowing depth and different organic manure doses and their interactions (Tables 1-5).

Growth parameters have good positive relationship with seed size and weight. Similar trend of higher growth characteristics with larger sized seeds has been reported in Jatropha curcas (Singh and Saxena 2009), Azadirachta indica (Unival et al. 2007), Castanea sativa (Cicek and Tilki 2007), Sapindus emerginatus (Venkatesh and Nagarajaiah 2010, Suresha et al. 2007) and Buchanania lanzan (Nandeshwar et al. 2005), Salvadora persica and Jatropha curcas (Dagar et al. 2004). Similar variations were also reported with respect to seedling growth and biomass in Acacia nilotica, Albizia lebbek and Dalbergia sissoo (Khera et al. 2004), Leucaena leucocephala (Dhanda et al. 2003). Large size seeds had the highest mean germination value of 80.25%, while medium size seeds and small size seeds recorded 56.50% and 35.50% mean values of germination in Gmelina arborea. (Owoh et al. 2011). Ahirwar (2012) examined the effect of seed size and weight on seed germination of Alangium lamarckii. Edward et al. (2013) was found that combination of

Table 5. Continued.

nicking and large seeds produced the highest (100%) germination. Mtambalika et al. (2014) large seeds had a higher (94.9%) cumulative germination percentage than the other treatments. Mulani et al. (2014) studied the effect of seed size and seed weight on germination of Semecarpus anacardium and observed highest germination percent (68 ± 3.74) for large size seeds, which was followed by (63 ± 2.55) for medium size seeds and the lowest germination percentage was observed (54 ± 2.92) for the small size seeds. Chima et al. (2017) found that germination rate and growth performance, were also affected by seed size with the large seed size class performing best, followed by the medium seed size class in A. muricata. Mtambalika et al. (2014) also reported about 95% germination of large seeds of Afzelia quanzensis. However, Umeoka and Ogbonnaya (2016), observed the opposite in Telfairia occidentalis where small seeds germinated faster and were more established than the medium and large seeds. The success of larger seeds in plant vigor was explained by Ali and Idris (2015), who interpreted this phenomenon better using the seeds anatomical and physiological characters. Larger seeds have more endosperm to supply adequate potential for increased growth and enhanced development. Domic et al. (2020) found larger and medium seeds exhibited comparatively similar growth, survival percentages and final size, maternal plant size was positively associated with improved seed quality and seedling performance in Polylepis tree. Tumpa et al. (2021) examined the influence of seed size on four parameters: germination rate, seedling height and root collar diameter and sturdiness quotient. Seed size has been shown to have a positive influence on both seedling height and root collar diameter, whereas no such correlation was noted for germination rate and sturdiness quotient in sweet chestnut (Castanea sativa Mill.).

Sowing depth also influenced various growth parameters viz., shoot length, root length, collar diameter, seedling height, number of lateral roots, shoot and root dry weight and total dry weight. The results indicated that sowing depth of 1.0 cm proved to be the best. Sowing of seeds at proper depth is essential for the successful seedling emergence and subsequent growth because of difference in the micro-environments at various soil depths. Similar 939

results have been reported by Venkatesh and Nagarajaiah (2010) and Suresha et al. (2007) who studied the effect of sowing depths in Sapindus emerginatus (Linn) and reported that seed should be sown at a depth of 0.5 cm -1.0 cm for getting quality nursery stock. Nagarajan and Mertia (2006) also reported that in Colophospermum mopane shallow sowing depth and large seeds should be used for best nursery results as improved seedling height, root length and dry matter production. Kumar and Srivastava (2010) had also reported that seed depth affected germination percentage in Ricinus communis. Nabi et al. (2011) who in their studies on cotton (Gossypium spp) and faba beans (Vicia faba L.) respectively, reported that germination rate reduced significantly with increased sowing depth. Chima et al. (2017) evaluated seedling growth attributes, in most cases, did not vary significantly (p > 0.05) between the 2 cm and 4 cm sowing depths on one hand and the 4cm and 6cm sowing depths on the other hand; while they varied significantly (p < 0.05) between the 2 cm and the 6 cm depths. Seed sown at 2 cm depth, are recommended for optimum germination and early seedling growth performance in A. muricata. Gehlot et al. (2014) investigated germination experiments on Ailanthus excels, seed sown in different growth substrates at varying depths and found that germination percentage, germination energy and germination values were all greatest when seeds were sown at a depth of 0.5 cm depth and lowest when sown at 1.5 cm depth. This contradicts the findings of Umeoka and Ogbonnaya (2016) who revealed that increasing sowing depths significantly reduced cumulative height growth over time and that small seeds attained the highest plant height irrespective of the sowing depth. However, in another study by Gholami et al. (2007) on Pistacia atlantica, collar diameter was not significant with changes in sowing depth. Similar results were observed by Ali and Idris (2015), where the number of leaves reduced with increasing sowing depth.

Application of differential doses of organic manures (vermicompost and Farm yard manure) was found to substantially support the growth of *Sapindus mukorossi* seedlings. The data indicates that among the five different doses of the manures, vermicompost @10t/ha was found more effective in enhancing the root and shoot length, collar diameter, seedling height, number of lateral roots, root and shoot dry weight and total dry weight production.

Vermicompost has substantially enhanced the growth as it has been reported by several researchers. Srivastava et al. (2006) inferred that vermicompost (a) 10 t/ha substantially increased the dry matter yield in Ceriodaphnia cornuta. In other crops as cotton (Navlakhe et al. 2009), Pterocarpus marsupium (Venkatesh et al. 2009) and Ashwagandha (Ghosh et al. 2009), the plant height, collar diameter, root length, number of leaves and number of primary and secondary branches showed positive relationship with application of vermicompost. The increment in growth performance is attributed to the organic carbon and nitrogen provided by the organic manure. This improve the soil physico-chemical properties further contributes to the better growth of the plant. These results are in conformity with Navamaniraj et al. (2008) reported that the potting mixture of vermicompost enhanced seedling growth including stem girth and reduced the mortality of seedlings in Bixa orelleana. Shree et al. (2007) also divulged that vermicompost used varieties, of mulberry showed higher shoot length, root length, fresh weight and root weight. Singh and Sivaji (2004) observed that cuttings of Dalbergia sissoo showed maximum shoot length, primary root length and basal diameter in 50:50 combinations of sand and vermicompost. Vermicompost has outperformed the FYM treatment and supports the findings of Reddy et al. (2003) in mulberry (Morus sp.) where increased leaf yield, plant height and leaf area in vermicompost treated plots as compared to FYM treated plots. Prasad et al. (2017) also reported that application of vermicompost increased percentage pore space and water holding capacity, while decreased the bulk density and percentage of air space. Singh et al. (2008) recorded increased plant spread, leaf area, dry matter and total fruit yield in strawberry with the application of vermicompost @ 2.5 to 10 t ha-1 in combination with inorganic fertilizers. Baviskar et al. (2011) reported the maximum fruit weight, fruit length and fruit breadth in Sapota with application of vermicompost (a) 15 kg plant⁻¹. Maximum seed germination (%) was recorded in FYM in Sapindus mukorossi (Bali and Chauhan 2021). Application of organic manure at various doses yielded better growth and seedlings quality of *Tamarindus indica* as compared to NPK (15:15:15) and Urea fertilizer. (Dachung and Kalu 2019). The application of little doses of fertilizer stimulates cell differentiation and multiplication leading to height increments (Afa *et al.* 2011). The possible cause of differential growth performance could be due to differences in the organic components in different manures; this aspect however, needs further study.

CONCLUSION

The growth and seedling biomass parameter like shoot length, root length, seedling height, collar diameter; number of lateral roots, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and total biomass were significantly related to seed size, sowing depth and application of different organic manure doses. Large size seed (L_2) produced higher growth as compared to small and medium size seeds. Sowing depths of 1.0 cm showed better results of growth and seedling biomass as compared D2. Vermicompost @ 10t/ha showed better shoot length, root length, collar diameter, seedling height and number of lateral roots. The effectiveness of organic manures was in the order of vermicompost @10 t/ha > FYM @ 10 t/ha > vermicompost @ 5 t/ha > FYM (a) 5t/ha > control (no manure) and interactions viz. M×D, L×D and M×L×D were found to be non-significant.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are sincerely thankful to Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, providing necessary facilities during field work.

REFERENCES

- Afa FD, Bechem E, Andrew E, Genla FA, Ambo FB, Ndah NR (2011) Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on early growth characteristics of Khaya ivorensis Chev (African mahogany) in nursery. *African J Pl Sci* 5(12): 722 – 729.
- Ahirwar JR (2012) Effect of seed size and weight on seed germination of *Alangium lamarckii*, Akola, India. *Res J Recent Sci* 123 (1): 320-322.
- Ali SA, Idris AY (2015) Effect of Seed Size and Sowing Depth on Germination and Some Growth Parameters of Faba Bean

(Vicia faba L.). Agric Biological Sci J 1(1): 1-5.

- Bahar Nawa, Singh VRR (2007) Seed source selection of *Sapindus* mukorossi in Himachal Pradesh. *Ind For* 133: 731-736.
- Bali RS, Chauhan DS (2021) Nursery techniques to optimize seedling growth and field performance of *Sapindus mukorossi* Gaertn. *Ind J Agrofor* 23(1): 82-87.
- Baviskar MN, Bharad SG, Dod VN, Varsha GB (2011) Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of sapota. *Pl Arch* 11(2): 661-663.
- Chima UD, Etuk EC, Fredrick C (2018) Effects of sowing depths on the germination and early seedling growth of different seed sizes of *Annona muricata* L. *Afri J Agric Technol Environ* 6(2): 134-144.
- Cicek E, Tilki F (2007) Seed size effect on germination, survival and seedling growth of *Castanea sativa* Mill. *J Biol Sci* 7: 438-441.
- Dachung G, Kalu M (2019) Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the early growth of *Tamarindus indica* L. in Makurdi, Nigeria . *J Res For Wildlife Environ* 11(3): 1-7.
- Dagar JC, Bhagwan Hari, Kumar Y (2004) Seed germination studies on Salvadora persica and Jatropha curcas. Ind J For 27: 283-289.
- Domic AI, Capriles JM, Camilo GR (2020) Evaluating the fitness effects of seed size and maternal tree size on *Polylepis* tomentella (Rosaceae) seed germination and seedling performance. J Trop Ecol 36: 115–122. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0266467420000061
- Gehlot Ashok, Tripathi Atul, Rathore Anuradha, Arya ID, Arya Sarita (2014) Effect of sowing depth and media on seed germination of *Ailanthus excelsa* ROXB. Ind For 140 (8) : 763-768.
- Gholami S, Hosseini SM, Sayad E (2007) Effect of soil, sowing depth and sowing date on growth and survival of *Pistacia* atlantica seedlings. *Pak J Biol Sci* 10(2): 245-249.
- Khera N, Saxena AK, Singh RP (2004) Seed size variability and its influence on germination and seedling growth of five multipurpose tree species. *Seed Sci Technol* 32: 319-330.
- Koninger AB Julia, Lugato B Emanuele, Panagos B Panos, Kochupillai C Mrinalini, Orgiazzi B Alberto, Briones J I Maria (2021) Manure management and soil biodiversity: Towards more sustainable food systems in the EU. Agricult Syst 194: 103251.
- Kumar R, Srivastava A (2010) Effect of presowing and depth on germination capacity of castor (*Ricinus communis*) seeds. *Adv Biores* 1: 160- 162.
- Mahorkar VK, Bodkhe VA, Ingle VG, Jadhao BJ, Gomase DG (2007) Effect of various organic manures on growth and yield of raddish. Asian J Horti 2: 155-157.
- Mtambalika K, Chimuleke M, Dominic G, Edward M (2014). Effect of seed size of *Afzelia quanzensis* on germination and seedling growth. *Int J For Res* 1:1-5.
- Mulani RM, Solankar BM, Surwase BS (2014) Examining the effect of seed size and weight on seed germination of *Semecarpus anacardium* L. J Global Sci 3(11): 1084-1088.
- Nabi G, Azhar FM, Farooq J, Khan AA (2011) Responses of Gossypium hirsutum l. varieties/lines to NaCl salinity at seedling. Cercetari Agronomice in Moldova 44(1): 43-50.
- Nagarajan M, Mertia RS (2006) Effect of seed size sowing depth on germination and seedling growth of *Colophospermum mopane. Ind For* 132: 1007-1012.

- Nandeshwar DL, Negi KS, Patra AK (2005) Effect of seed grading on germination pattern seedling development of *Buchanania lanzan* spreng. *Ind For* 131: 1241-1243.
- Navamaniraj KN, Srimathi P, Ponnuswamy AS (2008) Influence of potting mixture on elite seedling production in *Bixa orelleana*. *Madras Agricult J* 95: 496-498.
- Navlakhe SM, Mankar DD, Rananavare PK (2009) Effect of organic inorganic sources of nutrient on growth parameters yield of rainfed cotton. *Res on Crops* 10: 57-60.
- Owoh PW, Offiong MO, Udofia SI, Ekanem VU (2011) Effects of seed size on germination early morphological physiological characteristics of *Gmelina arborea* Roxb. *An Int Multidis J* 5(6): 422- 433.
- Prasad Heerendra, Sajwan Paramjeet, Kumari Meena, Solanki SPS (2017) Effect of organic manures and biofertilizer on plant growth, yield quality of horticultural crop: A review. *Int J Chemi Stud* 5(1): 217-221.
- Reddy BK, Rao DMR, Reddy DC, Suryanarayana N (2003) Studies on the effect of farmyard manure and vermicompost on quantitative and qualitative characters of mulberry (*Morus* spp.) under semiarid condition of Andhra Pradesh. *Ad Pl Sci* 16 (1): 177-182.
- Shah Madeha, Parveen Zahida, Khan Muhammad Rashid (2017) Evaluation of antioxidant, antiinflammatory, analgesic and antipyretic activities of the stem bark of *Sapindus mukorossi*. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Med* 17(526): 1-19.
- Shree MP, Kumar SS, Mahadeva A, Nagaveni V, Srilakshmi N (2007) Influence of vermicompost on growth and development of mulberry saplings. *J Pl Biol* 34: 123-125.
- Singh BK, Sivaji V (2004) Rooting media and survival of plants developed from stem cutting of *Dalbergia sissoo* Roxb. J Res Birsa Agricultu Univ 16: 347-351.
- Singh N, Saxena AK (2009) Seed size variation its effect on germination and seedling growth of *Jatropha curcas* L. *Ind For* 135: 1135-1142.
- Singh R, Sharma RR, Kumar SI, Gupta RK, Patil RT (2008) Vermicompost substitution influence growth, physiological disorders, fruit yield quality of strawberry. *Biores Technol* 99: 8507-8511.
- Srivastava A, Rathore RM, Chakrabarti R (2006) Effect of four different doses of organic manures in the production of *Ceriodaphnia cornuta. Biores Tech* 97: 1036-1040.
- Suresha NL, Balachandra HC, Shivanna H (2007) Effect of seed size on germination, viability seedling biomass in *Sapindus emerginatus* (Linn). Kar J Agric Sci 20: 326-327.
- Thakur V, Khurana DK, Thakur IK (2000) Effect of potting media on seed germination and seedling growth of *Albizia lebbeck* (Linn.) Benth. J Tree Sci 19: 63-65.
- Tumpa K, Vidakovi'cA, Drvodeli'cD, Šango M, Idžojti'cM, Perkovi'cI, Poljak I (2021) Effect of Seed Size on Germination and Seedling Growth in Sweet Chestnut (*Castanea* sativa Mill.). Forests 12: 858. https://doi.org/10.3390/ f12070858
- Umeoka N, Ogbonnaya CI (2016) Effects of Seed Size and Sowing Depth on Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of *Telfairia occidentalis* (Hook F.). Inte J Adv Chem Engg Biol Sci 3(2): 201-207.
- Uniyal AK, Singh B, Todaria NP (2007) Effect of seed size, sowing orientation and depth on germination and seedling growth in neem, Azadirachta indica. Seed Tech 29: 68-75.

- Venkatesh L, Nagarajaiah C (2010) Effect of seed size on germination, viability and seedling biomass in *Sapindus emerginatus* Linn. *Environ Ecol* 28 (1): 25-27.
- Venkatesh L, Lakshmipathaiah OR, Nagarajaih C (2009) Effect of potting media on germination and seedling growth of *Pterocarpus marsupium. Environ Ecol* 27: 563-564.