Environment and Ecology 42 (2B) : 762—769, April—June 2024 Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.60151/envec/MKBT1613 ISSN 0970-0420

Impact of Different Seed Priming Treatments on Seed Yield in Foxtail Millet

D. S. Balaji, S. Arunkumar, D. Venkatakrishnan, G. Sathiya Narayanan

Received 8 September 2023, Accepted 2 April 2024, Published on 3 June 2024

ABSTRACT

The experiments were carried out both in the laboratory as well as in the field condition. Field experiments were conducted to determine the productivity of foxtail millet due to different seed priming treatments. Halo priming with KH₂PO₄ @ 2 %, CaCl₂ @ 2%, osmo priming with Mannitol @ 2 %, PEG @ -15 Bars, bio priming with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (LF) @ 15, *Prosophis* leaf extract @ 10 % with seed to solution ratio of 1:1 for the soaking duration of 8 h along with unprimed, Thiram @ 2g/kg and hydro primed seeds were evaluated for its productivity during *kharif* and *rabi* seasons. The results revealed that the crop performance with regard to growth parameters, physiological parameters, yield and yield attributing parameters was outperformed in halo-priming with

Email: sathiyaa2005@gmail.com

2% KH₂PO₄ for 8 hrs primed seeds during *kharif* season than *rabi*.

Keywords Minor millet, Foxtail millet, Seed enhancement, Priming, Seed yield.

INTRODUCTION

One of the first crops to be domesticated, foxtail millet (Tenai) (*Setaria italica* (L.) *P. beauvois*) is a native of China. Foxtail millet produces about six million tonnes of food annually for millions of people, largely on marginal or poor soils in temperate, subtropical, and tropical Asia. It is the second-largest producer of millet in the world and continues to play a significant part in global agriculture. The main source of feed for the entire cattle population, small millet grains are commonly consumed. Small millets may seem unimportant in terms of the production of food on a worldwide scale, yet they are essential as food crops in their own agro ecosystems.

A larger yield can be achieved by using quality seeds, which are an essential input and the secret to successful agriculture. Each seed must be easily germinable and produce a typical seedling. Seed priming is a method for enhancing the quality of seeds that involves partially hydrating seeds till germination starts but prevents radicle emergence (Dawood 2018). Seed priming involves immersing seeds in low water potential solutions to stimulate pre-germinative metabolic activity while preventing radical protrusion. A better

D. S. Balaji¹, S. Arunkumar², D. Venkatakrishnan³, G. Sathiya Narayanan^{4*}

^{1,2} PhD Scholar

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, India

³Associate Professor, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, India

⁴Associate Professor, Center of Excellence for Millets, Athiyandal, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu 606603, India

^{*}Corresponding author

understanding of the metabolic events that occur in the seed during priming and subsequent germination will improve the technology's effectiveness.

Priming applications improve seed germination and seedling growth in vegetables and some field crops significantly (Ghassemi-Golezani *et al.* 2012). Priming may also cause structural and ultrastructural changes that facilitate subsequent water uptake and reduce initial differences in imbibition between seeds, resulting in more uniform germination. As a result, the study sought to assess the changes that occur in primed seeds. With the foregoing in mind, studies were conducted in Foxtail millet cv Co 6. The objective of this study is to investigates the effect of different seed priming treatments on seed yield in foxtail millet.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genetically pure seeds of Foxtail millet (Tenai) cv CO 6 (*Setaria italica* Beauv) were procured from the Center of Excellence in Millets, TNAU, Athiyandal. Field experiments were conducted at Kosalai village, Thiruvanamalai district (located at 12.2312° N latitude, 79.0672° E longitude) and laboratory experiments were conducted at Seed Science and Technology Laboratory, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India (located at 11° N latitude and 79° E longitude).

Effect of various seed priming treatments on field performance and seed yield in foxtail millet

The foxtail millet cv Co 6 seeds were imposed with the following treatments along with control, Thiram and Hydro priming.

 $\begin{array}{l} T_0 \text{-} \text{Unprimed (Control)} \\ T_1 \text{-} \text{Thiram } @ 2 \ g/kg^{-1} \\ T_2 \text{-} \text{Soaking in water for 8 h} \\ T_3 \text{-} \text{CaCl}_2 @ 2\% \text{ for 8 h} \\ T_4 \text{-} \text{-} \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 @ 2\% \text{ for 8 h} \\ T_5 \text{-} \textit{Prosophis leaf extract } @ 10 \% \text{ for 8 h} \\ T_6 \text{-} \textit{Pseudomonas fluorescens (LF)} @ 15 \% \text{ for 8 h} \\ T_7 \text{-} \text{Mannitol } @ 2\% \text{ for 8 h} \\ T_8 \text{-} \text{PEG } @ \text{-}15\text{Bar for 8 h} \end{array}$

After 8 hrs of priming, those seeds were taken out and shade dried. Treated seeds were evaluated for yield attributes in the field over two seasons i.e., *kharif* and *rabi*. As a control, unprimed seeds have been used. FRBD design was used with plot size of 4×3 m and a spacing of 45×10 cm. Panse and Sukhatme (1985), procedure were used to statistically analyze the data from several experiments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of various seed priming treatments on seed yield in foxtail millet

Seed priming is a pre-sowing strategy for influencing seedling development by modulating pre-germination metabolic activity prior to radicle emergence, which improves germination rate and plant performance in general. As a result, a study was designed to assess the effect of different seed priming treatments on seed yield in foxtail millet. Fresh seeds of Foxtail millet cv CO 6 were treated with various seed priming treatments i.e., halo priming with KH, PO, @ 2 %, CaCl, (a) 2%, osmo priming with Mannitol (a) 2%, PEG (a) -15 Bars, bio priming with Pseudomonas fluorescens (LF) (a) 15 and Prosophis leaf extract (a) 10 % with seed to solution ratio of 1:1 for the soaking duration of 8 h. Then treated seeds were dried adequately and evaluated for their production potential in field condition kharif and rabi seasons and resultant seed qualities in laboratory along with unprimed, Thiram (a) 2g/kg and hydro primed seeds.

The growth, gas exchange, and yield parameters were evaluated in the field. It was discovered that seeds primed with 2% KH_2PO_4 for 8 hrs had higher values for biometrical traits such as field emergence percentage, plant height at 45 DAS and 90 DAS, and chlorophyll content at 45 DAS and 90 DAS, which were 93%, 90.85 cm, 131.95 cm, 1.170 mg and 1.113 mg for foxtail millet respectively with the above-mentioned characters followed by the 15 % *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (LF) for 8 h (Table 1). In contrast, the control had the lowest growth parameters. Interaction effects between season and treatment exhibited significant influence towards growth traits on the field. The *kharif* season, 2% KH₂PO₄ for 8 h

Treatment	Field emergence			Plan	t height (cn	n)	Plant height (cm)			
	\mathbf{S}_1	S_2	Intera- ction	S ₁	S_{2}	Intera- ction	S ₁	S ₂	Intera- ction	
T ₀	85 (67.32)	82 (64.97)	84	74.8	66.2	70.50	120.3	111.3	115.80	
T ₁	90 (71 71)	(69.84)	(00112) 89 (70 77)	85.3	72.3	78.80	126.5	117.6	122.05	
T ₂	(71.71) 88 (69.90)	(69.01) 87 (69.01)	(70.77) 88 (69.46)	84.2	70.1	77.15	125.3	115.6	120.45	
T ₃	(69.95) 88 (69.95)	(69.95) 88	88 (69.95)	79.6	80.1	79.85	122.8	119.4	121.10	
T_4	94 (76.18)	92 (73,79)	93 (74.98)	95.4	86.3	90.85	134.3	129.6	131.95	
T ₅	90 (72.0)	85 (67.41)	88 (69.70)	89.1	77.6	83.35	129.1	120.2	124.65	
T_6	92 (73.93)	(0)111) 89 (70.83)	91 (72.38)	91.1	81.6	86.35	130.2	124.3	127.25	
T ₇	(72.83)	85 (67.32)	88 (70.08)	88.6	75.6	82.10	127.3	121.0	124.15	
T ₈	86 (68.19)	84 (66.55)	85 (67.37)	80.1	77.3	78.70	120.9	122.3	121.60	
Mean	89.33 (71.33)	86.67 (68.85)	88 (70.09)	85.36	76.34	80.85	126.30	120.14	123.22	
Level of significance	SEd	(00000)	CD (p=0.05)	SEd		CD (p=0.05)	SEd		CD (p=0.05)	
S	1.157		2.352	1.069		2.174	1.624		3.302	
Т	2.454 (2.180)		4.990	2.268		4.612	3.445		7.004	
S ×T	3.471 (3.084)		7.056 (6.270)	3.208		6.522	4.872		9.905	

Table 1. Effect of various seed priming treatments on field emergence (%), plant height (cm) and chlorophyll content (mg g^{-1} of fresh leaf) in foxtail millet cv Co 6.

Table 1. Continued.

Treatment	atment Chlorophyll co (mg g ⁻¹ of fresh 45 DAS				Chlorophyll cont (mg g ⁻¹ of fresh le 90 DAS	ent caf)		
	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S_2	Interaction	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S_2	Interaction		
T	0.881	0.831	0.856	0.889	0.843	0.866		
T ₁	0.958	0.911	0.935	0.911	0.933	0.922		
T,	0.924	0.859	0.892	0.953	0.907	0.930		
T,	0.893	0.884	0.889	1.073	0.887	0.980		
T_{4}^{3}	1.281	1.059	1.170	1.168	1.057	1.113		
T,	0.951	0.853	0.902	1.011	0.912	0.962		
T ₆	1.118	0.971	1.045	1.112	0.977	1.045		
T_7^{0}	0.906	0.872	0.890	0.923	0.941	0.932		
T,	0.983	0.946	0.965	0.978	0.951	0.965		
Mean	0.988	0.910	0.949	1.002	0.934	0.968		
Level of signif	ficance SEd		CD	SEd		CD		
-			(p=0.05)			(p=0.05)		
S	0.012		0.025	0.013		0.026		
Т	0.026		0.053	0.027		0.055		
S×T	0.037		0.075	0.038		0.078		

primed seeds recorded highest biometric traits for foxtail millet. But the lowest percentage was recorded with rabi season control seeds. Among the season, the *kharif* season (S_1) recorded higher biometric traits for all the treatments than rabi (S₂) in foxtail millet. Micronutrients in the seeds, which typically work as co-factors in enzyme systems and take part in redox reactions in addition to serving a variety of other crucial seed responsibilities, were thought to be responsible for the KH₂PO₄ priming. They take part in crucial physiological processes, which is what matters most. KH₂PO₄ has been previously reported to be involved in the regulation of a variety of plant growth and developmental processes, with a focus on stem elongation. The findings agreed with those of (Sathish et al. 2011) in maize hybrid and (Chauhan et al. 2016) in sorghum, whom reported a beneficial effect of nutrients in improving germination.

The 2 % KH_2PO_4 treatment for 8-hour primed seeds (T₄) resulted in shorter days to first flower and shorter days to 50 % flowering. This T₄ treatment resulted in early days to first and 50 % flowering of 44.3 and 49.1 days in foxtail millet respectively, followed by a 15% *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (LF) treatment for 8 hrs (Table 2). In contrast, late flowering was observed in the control. Among the seasons, the *kharif* season was earlier in terms of days to first flower and 50 % flowering than the *rabi* season. Among the interactions, the *kharif* season, 2 % KH_2PO_4 for 8-h primed seeds recorded the earliest days to first flower and 50% flowering for foxtail millet studied. Priming increases the activities of isocitrate lyase and malate synthase, enzyme activities, and this increase in glyoxysome enzyme activities has been linked to improved emergence and flowering responses in primed seeds (Aboutalebian and Nazari 2017).

Similar results were predicted by the physiological parameters i.e., net assimilation rate (NAR) and leaf area. The NAR and leaf area are good measures of physiological gain that results in plant growth, indicating the rate at which dry matter accumulates in plants. These NAR and leaf area were higher in the kharif season than in the rabi season. The 2% $KH_{2}PO_{4}$ for 8 h primed seeds had the highest NAR and leaf area among the treatments, followed by the 15% Pseudomonas fluorescens (LF) for 8 h. In case of foxtail millet, the above T_4 recorded, higher NAR at 30-60 days, NAR at 60-95 days and leaf area, it was $0.44 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}, 0.39 \text{ mg cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ and } 782.22 \text{ cm}$ respectively (Table 2). But control recorded 0.22 mg cm⁻² day⁻¹, 0.18 mg cm⁻² day⁻¹ and 696.77 cm in respectively with above mentioned characters. Among the interactions, the *kharif* season, 2% KH₂PO₄ for 8-h primed seeds resulted in higher NAR and leaf area

Treatment Days to first flowering Days to 50% flowering Net assimilation rate (mg cm⁻² day⁻¹) 30-60 DAS Interaction Interaction S_1 S_1 S_2 S₁ S_2 Interaction S_2 48.2 54.2 51.2 53.7 56.2 55.0 0.24 0.20 0.22 T_{0}^{0} T_{1}^{1} T_{2}^{2} T_{3}^{2} T_{4}^{4} T_{5}^{6} T_{7}^{6} 50.3 45.1 47.7 51.6 52.1 51.9 0.37 0.30 0.34 47.1 51.7 49.4 49.9 55.6 52.8 0.27 0.22 0.25 47.8 0.29 53.8 50.8 52.7 55.1 53.9 0.31 0.30 41.4 47.2 44.3 48.1 50.1 49.1 0.48 0.40 0.44 45.8 53.2 49.5 50.7 52.2 0.35 51.5 0.380.32 43.3 49.6 46.5 49.6 51.4 50.5 0.44 0.34 0.39 44.6 52.6 48.6 53.1 54.5 53.8 0.29 0.26 0.28 T_s 46.2 51.2 48.7 50.2 53.6 51.9 0.40 0.31 0.36 Mean 45.50 51.53 48.52 51.07 53.42 52.24 0.353 0.293 0.323 Level of SEd CD SEd CD SEd CD significance (p=0.05) (p=0.05) (p=0.05) S 0.690 0.004 0.646 1.314 1.404 0.009 Т 1.371 2.787 1.464 2.977 0.009 0.019 $\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{T}$ 1.938 3.941 2.071 0.013 0.026 4.211

Table 2. Effect of various seed priming treatments on physiological parameters in Foxtail millet cv Co 6.

Table 2.	Continued.
----------	------------

Treatment							
		Net assimilati (mg cm ⁻² da 60-95 DA	ion rate 1y ⁻¹) S				
	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S_2	Interaction	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S_2	Interaction	
T ₀	0.20	0.15	0.18	711.42	682.12	696.77	
T ₁	0.28	0.27	0.28	737.64	711.72	724.68	
T,	0.24	0.26	0.25	760.12	730.12	745.12	
T ₃	0.30	0.20	0.25	740.11	707.74	723.93	
T,	0.41	0.36	0.39	791.32	773.11	782.22	
T,	0.35	0.19	0.27	743.12	740.11	741.62	
T,	0.37	0.33	0.35	776.21	747.11	761.66	
T ₇	0.27	0.25	0.26	729.23	698.72	713.98	
T _s	0.33	0.29	0.31	753.11	721.46	737.29	
Mean	0.306	0.256	0.281	749.14	723.58	736.36	
	SEd		CD	SEd		CD	
Level of			(p=0.05)			(p=0.05)	
significance							
S	0.004		0.008	9.703		19.729	
Т	0.008		0.016	20.584		41.851	
$\mathbf{S} imes \mathbf{T}$	0.011		0.023	29.110		59.186	

for the foxtail millet studied. The findings agreed with (Sathish *et al.* 2011) in maize hybrid. This rise might be explained by the fact that one of the key factors affecting plant growth is the availability of phosphorus (P) in KH_2PO_4 . The rate of photosynthesis in maize is negatively impacted by a shortage of P, according to finding reported by (Carstensen *et al.* 2018). This resulted in enzymatic changes in the seed, which resulted in increased shoot biomass and assimilation rate. These findings are consistent with this reported

by (Gnanasekaran and Padmavathi 2013) in cotton. The gas exchange parameter such as photosynthetic rate, transpiration, intercellular CO_2 concentration, and stomatal conductance are all higher in *kharif* than in *rabi* seasons. In terms of the above-mentioned characteristics, the above T_4 treatment performed 20, 25, and 60% better than the control in foxtail millet (Table 3). Among the interactions, the *kharif* season, 2% KH₂PO₄ for 8-h primed seeds resulted in higher photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, and

Table 3. Effect of various seed priming treatments on gas exchange parameters in Foxtail millet cv Co 6.

Treatment	Pho	Photosynthetic rate Pn - (mg CO ₂ m ⁻¹ S ⁻¹)			anspiration	rate	Stomatal conductance			
	Pn -				Tr - (mg H ₂ O CO ₂ m ⁻¹ S ⁻¹)			CS - (mol/mol ⁻¹ S ⁻¹)		
	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S ₂	Interaction	\mathbf{S}_{1}	\mathbf{S}_2	Interaction	\mathbf{S}_{1}	\mathbf{S}_2	Interaction	
T	38.13	36.92	37.53	11.23	10.12	10.68	0.61	0.52	0.57	
T ₁	42.51	39.51	41.01	12.53	11.56	12.05	0.75	0.65	0.70	
T,	39.53	38.32	38.93	12.41	12.03	12.22	0.68	0.57	0.63	
$\tilde{T_3}$	40.11	37.71	38.91	12.12	10.71	11.42	0.80	0.55	0.68	
T_	46.11	43.76	44.94	13.97	12.69	13.33	0.97	0.84	0.91	
T ₅	40.61	37.12	38.87	11.72	10.52	11.12	0.71	0.59	0.65	
T ₆	44.12	40.75	42.44	13.14	12.12	12.63	0.84	0.71	0.78	
T_7	42.12	39.11	40.62	11.63	11.13	11.38	0.65	0.60	0.63	
T _s	43.23	40.12	41.68	12.91	11.76	12.34	0.74	0.68	0.71	
Mean	41.83	39.26	40.543	12.407	11.404	11.906	0.750	0.634	0.692	
Level of significance SEd CD (p=0.0		05) SEd CD (p=0.0		5) SEd	CD (p=0.05)					
S	0.531		1.079	0.156		0.316	0.009		0.018	
Т	1.126		2.289	0.330		0.671	0.019		0.039	
$\mathbf{S}\times\mathbf{T}$	1.592		3.237	0.467		0.949	0.027		0.055	

stomatal conductance. The findings agreed with those of (Sathish *et al.* 2011) in maize hybrid. Increased germination due to KH_2PO_4 priming could be due to ion absorption by seeds. Furthermore, potassium salts have been shown to increase ambient oxygen levels by making less oxygen available for the citric acid cycle (Shakuntala *et al.* 2020) and to influence plant development by modulating pre-germination metabolic activity prior to radicle emergence, as well as to improve germination rate and plant photosynthetic performance in general.

The kharif season had higher yielding attributed

characters in the current study than the *rabi* season. The 2% $\rm KH_2PO_4$ for 8-h primed seeds had higher values for yield attributing characters such as panicle weight plant⁻¹, panicle to seed recovery percent, seed yield plant⁻¹, seed yield plot⁻¹, and thousand seed weight when compared to other treatments and non-primed seeds. This T₄ treatment recorded 26.97 g, 56.63%, 15.40 g, 2740 g, and 3.130 g, whereas the control recorded 22.94 g, 48.62 %, 11.72 g, 2195 g and 2.885 g respectively (Table 4). In terms of yield-attributing characters, the 15% *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (LF) for 8 h priming treatment was the second best of foxtail millet studied. The findings

Table 4. Effect of various seed priming treatments on yield parameters in Foxtail millet cv Co 6.

	Pan	Panicle weight /Plant (g)			le to seed re	covery (%)	Seed yield/Plant (g)		
Treatment	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S_2	Interaction	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S_2	Interaction	\mathbf{S}_1	S ₂	Interaction
T	24.71	21.17	22.94	52.43	44.80	48.62	12.45	10.98	11.72
T,	27.23	22.75	24.99	55.13	50.66	52.90	13.63	11.83	12.73
T ₂	25.35	21.53	23.44	54.78	46.12	50.45	13.41	11.76	12.59
T_2	24.91	22.64	23.78	52.91	46.83	49.87	14.77	12.41	13.59
T,	29.16	24.77	26.97	59.57	53.69	56.63	16.93	13.87	15.40
T _s	25.78	22.51	24.15	53.78	45.61	49.70	13.12	11.31	12.22
T _e	28.07	23.12	25.60	57.17	51.29	54.23	15.91	12.81	14.36
T ₇	26.11	21.93	24.02	55.63	49.98	52.81	14.12	12.11	13.12
T,	26.81	23.07	24.94	56.12	47.73	51.93	12.75	11.23	11.99
Mean	26.46	22.61	24.53	55.28	48.52	51.90	14.12	12.03	13.08
Level of	SEd		CD	SEd		CD	SEd		CD
significance			(p=0.05)			(p=0.05)			(p=0.05)
S	0.323		0.656	0.680		1.383	0.171		0.348
Т	0.685		1.392	1.443		2.933	0.363		0.737
$\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{T}$	0.968		1.969	2.040		4.148	0.513		1.043

Table 4. Continued.

Treatment	S	eed yield / Pl	ot (g)	1			
	\mathbf{S}_{1}	S ₂	Interaction	\mathbf{S}_{1}	\mathbf{S}_2	Interaction	
T ₀	2378	2012	2195	3.04	2.73	2.885	
T ₁	2541	2311	2426	3.09	2.88	2.985	
T ₂	2493	2232	2363	3.07	2.83	2.950	
T_2	2612	2412	2512	3.10	2.91	3.005	
T,	2883	2597	2740	3.21	3.05	3.130	
T_{5}^{\dagger}	2457	2178	2318	3.07	2.79	2.930	
T _c	2741	2433	2587	3.16	2.94	3.050	
T ₇	2553	2369	2461	3.10	2.90	3.000	
T _°	2412	2176	2294	3.05	2.77	2.910	
Mean	2563	2302	2433	3.099	2.867	2.983	
Level of	SEd		CD	SEd		CD	
significance			(p=0.05)			(p=0.05)	
S	31.847		64.752	0.039		0.080	
Т	67.559		137.360	0.083		0.169	
S ×T	95.542		194.257	0.118		0.239	

agreed with those of (Sathish et al. 2011) in maize hybrid and (Chauhan et al. 2016) in sorghum. The improved seed performance caused by KH₂PO₄ priming could be attributed in part to metabolic repair processes, the accumulation of germination metabolites, or osmotic adjustment during treatment. The increased grain yield could be due to priming advancing the metabolism of the seed and causing the seed protein to be synthesised, which has a direct effect on increasing seed performance and thus yield. Miraj et al. (2013), found similar result. The higher germination rate was closely correlated with the quick KH₂PO₄ utilization of treated seeds for the synthesis of different amino acids and amides. The biomass of the shoots and roots benefited. This was mainly because primed seeds have a faster metabolism than unprimed seeds, which speeds up imbibition. The beneficial effects of priming are associated with the repair and accumulation of nucleic acids, increased protein synthesis, and membrane repair (Arun et al. 2022).

In the current study, 15 % Pseudomonas fluorescens (LF) for an 8-hour priming treatment outperformed next only to 2 % KH₂PO₄ for an 8-hour priming treatment in terms of seed yield parameters. This could be attributed to the fact that Pseudomonas fluorescens, a plant growth promoting bacteria, may increase seedling emergence and growth in the field by facilitating and triggering growth hormones and nutrient uptake (Souza et al. 2015). An increase in growth parameters due to 15% Pseudomonas fluorescens (LF) for 8 hrs of priming could also be attributed to the production of plant growth regulators such as gibberellins, cytokinins and indole acetic acid, increased availability of minerals and other ions, and extensive rooting, which facilitates water and nutrient uptake (Small and Degenhardt 2018). Pseudomonas fluorescens is a biocontrol agent that produces plant growth regulators such as indole acetic acid (IAA), gibberellic acid, cytokinins, and ethylene. The Pseudomonas fluorescens is a bioagent with several beneficial roles and plant growth promotion properties. P. fluorescens produced auxins can influence plant growth, including root development, which improves nutrient uptake and thus increases plant growth.

Many scientists have reported Pseudomonas

growth promoting substances such as IAA, siderophores, HCN, ammonia, exopolysaccharides, and phosphate solubilization, biocontrol potentials, ACC deaminase, antifungal activity, nitrogen fixation (Khoso *et al.* 2024). The *Pseudomonas* led to increased crop growth, yield, and phosphorus uptake in a variety of crops. The phosphorus, a key plant nutrient, is required for plant growth and development. It is involved in a variety of key plant functions, including energy metabolism, photosynthesis, respiration, nitrogen fixation, enzyme regulation, nutrient movement within the plant, and the transmission of genetic characteristics (DNA) from one generation to the next. As a result, phosphorus is essential for cell division and the development of new tissue.

The current study found that the growth and yield parameters were higher in the kharif season than in the *rabi* season. There was a significant difference in growth and yield characteristics due to season, which was supported by (Sumathi 2010), who revealed that yield varies with season of location due to soil fertility status and environmental factors favorable for seed growth and development (Liliane and Charles 2020). Seed yield is polygenic in nature, and it is influenced by a variety of internal and external factors throughout the crop growth period, including the reproductive phase. It is the manifestation of morphological, physiological, and biochemical aspects of growth parameters and is thought to be the result of efficient solar energy trapping and utilization. Furthermore, the kharif favorable environmental conditions result in a lower rate of abortive pollen due to increased photosynthetic activity. Increased translocation of solar energy from source to sink results in enhanced flower and seed formation, which has a significant effect on yield components, as reported by (Gnanasekaran and Padmavathi 2013) in cotton and (Vishwanath et al. 2019) in finger millet.

CONCLUSION

Thus, the effect of different seed priming treatments on seed yield in foxtail millet revealed that halo-priming with 2% KH₂PO₄ for 8 hrs primed seeds recorded the highest seed yield when compared to other treatments and controls in the studied foxtail millet.

REFERENCES

- Aboutalebian MA, Nazari S (2017) Seedling emergence and activity of some antioxidant enzymes of canola (*Brassica* napus) can be increased by seed priming. The Journal of Agricultural Science 155 (10): 1541-1552. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859617000661
- Arun MN, Hebbar SS, Senthivel T, Nair AK, Padmavathi G, Pandey P, Singh A (2022) Seed priming: The way forward to mitigate abiotic stress in crops. *London*, *UK: IntechOpen* 11 : 173. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.102033
- Carstensen A, Herdean A, Schmidt SB, Sharma A, Spetea C, Pribil M, Husted S (2018) The impacts of phosphorus deficiency on the photosynthetic electron transport chain. *Plant Physiol* 177 (1): 271-284. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.01624
- Chauhan P, Pandey G, Pandey PK (2016) Priming with potassium solutions improves seedling growth and vigor in forage sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.). Journal of Applied and Natural Science 8 (4): 1937-1940.
 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v8i4.1066
- Dawood MG (2018) Stimulating plant tolerance against abiotic stress through seed priming. Advances in seed priming pp 147-183. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-0032-5 10
- Ghassemi-Golezani K, Hosseinzadeh-Mahootchy A, Zehtab-Salmasi S, Tourchi M (2012) Improving field performance of aged chickpea seeds by hydro-priming under water stress. *Int J Plant Animal Environ Sci* 2 (2): 168-176.
- Gnanasekaran J, Padmavathi S (2013) Effect of pre-sowing treatments on field performance in summer and winter cotton genotype. *International Journal of Current Research* 5 (12): 3912-3914.
- Khoso MA, Wagan S, Alam I, Hussain A, Ali Q, Saha S, Poudel TR, Manghwar H, Liu F (2024) Impact of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on plant nutrition and root characteristics: Current perspective. *Plant Stress* 11: 100341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stress.2023.100341
- Liliane TN, Charles MS (2020) Factors affecting yield of crops.

Agronomy-Climate Change & Food Security 15 : 9. DOI; http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.90672

- Miraj G, Shah HU, Arif M (2013) Priming maize (*Zea mays* L.) seed with phosphate solutions improves seedling growth and yield. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences* 23 (3) : 893-899.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV (1985) Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research Publication, pp 87-89.
- Sathish S, Sundareswaran S, Ganesan N (2011) Influence of seed priming on physiological performance of fresh and aged seeds of maize hybrid (Coh(M)5) and its parental lines. *ARPN Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science* 6 (3): 11-17.
- Shakuntala NM, Kavya KP, Sangeetha IM, Kurnalliker V (2020) Effect of priming treatments on seed quality enhancement in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) seeds. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* 8 (4) : 1771-1775. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/chemi.2020.v8.i4r.9874
- Small CC, Degenhardt D (2018) Plant growth regulators for enhancing revegetation success in reclamation: A review. *Ecological Engineering* 118 : 43-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.04.010
- Souza RD, Ambrosini A, Passaglia LM (2015) Plant growth-promoting bacteria as inoculants in agricultural soils. *Genetics* and Molecular Biology 38 (4) : 401-419. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-475738420150053
- Sumathi S (2010) Studies on seed production, post-harvest handling and seed testing in karpokkarasi (*Psoralea corylifolia*). PhD (Agric) thesis. Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Vishwanath, Medar S, Parashivamurthy, Devaraju PJ, Madhusudan K, Siddaraju R, Boraiah B (2019) Effect of sowing time on growth and seed yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.) varieties under climate change regime. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* 8 (8): 1775-1786.
 - DOI: https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.808.210