Environment and Ecology 41(3): 1343—1347, July—September 2023 Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.60151/envec/VBRX5466 ISSN 0970-0420

Effect of NPK and Bio-fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Chandrasur (*Lapidium sativum* L.)

Sanjay Vaktariya, K.C. Meena, R. Gallani, I. S. Naruka, B.K. Patidar, Nitin Soni

Received 2 January 2023, Accepted 23 May 2023, Published on 24 July 2023

ABSTRACT

The present field experiment was conducted at Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, RVSKVV, Mandsaur (MP) during the year 2020-2021 using Randomized Block Design in three replications. The experiment was carried out with various bio-fertilizers (PSB and *Azotobacter* @ each 5 kg/ha) and different doses of chemical fertilizers (NPK) along with one control. Result revealed that treatment T₁₁ -NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha) was recorded highest values of plant height (20.97, 101.20 and 114.40 cm plant⁻¹), number of branches at harvest (26.29 plant⁻¹), fresh weight (8.34, 95.57 and 84.17 g plant⁻¹) and dry

Sanjay Vaktariya¹, K.C. Meena²

R. Gallani^{3*}, B.K. Patidar⁵, Nitin Soni⁶

I. S. Naruka⁴

Professor, Zonal Director Research, Jalor, Rajasthan, India

Email: dr.roshangallani@gmail.com

*Corresponding author

weight (1.19, 19.11 and 40.40 g plant⁻¹) at 40, 80 and 120 days after sowing respectively. However, days to 50% emergence (6.28), days to 50% flowering (61.32) and days to maturity (114.38) were took lowest days with the same treatment. The maximum seed yield (22.06 q ha⁻¹), biological yield (83.59 q ha⁻¹), test weight (1.89 g) and highest harvest index (26.40) in the same treatment as compared to control. Under the economics of the treatment, the highest net return (Rs 70300) and benefit: Cost ratio (1.75) also found with same treatment.

Keywords Bio-fertilizer, Chemical fertilizer, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Yield.

INTRODUCTION

Chandrasur (Lapidium sativum L.) is commonly known as garden cress of Brassicaceae family. It is a fast-growing, erect annual edible herb grows up to a height of 50 to 90 centimeter, basal leaves have long petioles and culinary leaves are pinnate while, the upper leaves are entire in shape. The inflorescence is a dense raceme. The flowers have white or slightly pink petals, measuring two millimeter in length. The fruit, a siliqua measures five to six millimeter long and four millimeter wide, elliptical, is elate form at upper half and is glabrous (Chundawat et al. 2017). Seeds, leaves and roots are the economic parts of this crop (Vaishnavi et al. 2020). It is hottest drug of Unani medicine and useful in asthma, cough, diarrhea, dysentery, skin disease, blood disorder (Raval 2016). Chandrasur is widely cultivated in temperate

²Assistant Professor, Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops,College of Horticulture, Mandsaur 458001, Madhya Pradesh, India

³Scientist, Department of Soil Science, ⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, ⁶Scientist, AICRP on Fruits, College of Horticulture, Mandsaur 458001, Madhya Pradesh, India

countries for various culinary and medicinal purposes. In India, it is mainly grown in Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Gujarat and Maharashtra.

The amount of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in the soil are the most important limiting factors for crop yield. Fertilizers are an important element for achieving the desired yield levels. Bio-fertilizers have developed as an essential component of an integrated nutrient delivery system in recent years, with the potential to boost crop yields and nutrient supplies. The most widely used bio-fertilizers are *Azotobacter*, PSB, and *Azospirillum*, which contribute large amounts of N, P and K to plants while also offering drought tolerance (Mounika *et al.* 2017). Many researchers and producers have been focusing on this trend in order to provide a high-quality and safe product, not only for humans but also for the environment. As a result, using organic and biofertilizers has become necessary.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present field experiment was conducted at Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, RVSKV-V,Mandsaur (MP) during the year 2020-2021 using Randomized Block Design in three replications. Treatments accompanied as T₁: Control, T₂: FYM (25 t/ha), T₃: NPK (40:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ ha), T₄: NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha), T₅: NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha), T₆:NPK $(45:40:40 \text{ kg/ha}) + \text{FYM} (10 \text{ t/ha}), T_{7}: \text{NPK} (45:45:40)$ kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha), T_s: NPK (45:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha), T_o:NPK (40:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM $(10 \text{ t/ha}) + \text{PSB} + Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha), T_{10}$: NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha) + PSB +Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha), T₁₁: NPK (40:50:40 kg/ ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha) assessed for growth and yield attribute under field condition while, the plants in the control plots were shown without any application of supplements. All the parameters were recorded at 40, 80 and 120 days after sowing. The experimental data were subjected to statistical analysis using analysis of variance technique suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). Where the "F" test was found significant at 5% level of significance, the critical differences

for the treatment's comparison were worked out. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Effect of NPK and bio-fertilizers on growth parameters

All the growth parameters were significantly influenced with the application of NPK and bio-fertilizers. This variation in growth characteristics was arising due to different doses of NPK and bio-fertilizers combinations. Result confirmed from the Table 1 that, Treatment T₁₁ -NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha) had maximum plant height (20.97, 101.20 and 114.40 cm plant⁻¹), fresh weight (8.34, 95.57 and 84.17 g plant⁻¹) and dry weight (1.19, 19.11 and 40.40 g plant⁻¹) at 40, 80 and 120 days after sowing, respectively while, it was minimum in T₁-control. The bio-fertilizers enhanced the availability of nitrogen in the rhizosphere, which is responsible for higher vegetative growth of plants as well as accumulation of more photosynthets and these might be the reason for highest dry weight (Naidu et al. 2016). PSB increases the dry matter is due to the production of some growth promoting substances that are involved in increasing accumulation of food in plant (Singh and Singh 2019). The combination of chemical and bio-fertilizers with farm yard manure ensured readily availability of nutrients for initial requirement through inorganic source and slow pace as long term availability through organic source and resulted in higher plant and dry matter accumulation (Choudhary et al. 2011). Similar result was also recorded by Singh and Verma (2002).

Effect of NPK and bio-fertilizers on yield parameters

In the present study, it was found that application of chemical fertilizers and bio-fertilizers were significantly influenced the yield and yield attributing components of chandrasur. At the initial stage, the chemical fertilizers had provided sufficient nutrient to plant followed by bio-fertilizers through biological fixation of nitrogen and solubilization of phosphorus in the rhizosphere. Result revealed that (Table 2) the highest number of racemes (129.56 plant⁻¹), racemes length (27.63 cm), seed yield (22.06 q ha⁻¹), test weight (1.89 g), biological yield (83.59q ha⁻¹) and

Table 1. Effect of NPK and bio-fertilizers on plant height, fresh and dry weight of chandrasur. T_1 : Control, T_2 : FYM (25 t/ha), T_3 : NPK (40:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha), T_4 : NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha), T_5 : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha), T_6 : NPK (45:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha), T_7 : NPK (45:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha), T_8 : NPK (45:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha), T_9 : NPK (40:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{10} : NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{12} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{12} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T_{12} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter*.

	Plant height (cm)			Fresh weight (g plant ⁻¹)			Dry weight (g plant ⁻¹)		
Treatments	40	80	120	40	80	120	40	80	120
	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS	DAS
T ₁	11.77	82.13	92.73	4.87	61.10	52.10	0.70	12.22	16.41
T ₂	14.50	85.73	95.43	5.91	65.63	56.23	0.84	13.13	19.08
T,	16.07	87.07	100.93	6.32	76.63	67.33	0.90	15.33	25.74
T ₄	16.83	89.20	102.50	6.57	79.67	70.40	0.93	15.65	27.41
T,	17.80	92.17	104.17	7.04	82.17	72.53	1.00	16.43	29.56
T,	16.57	91.23	102.30	6.68	78.43	71.03	0.95	15.82	28.31
T ₂	17.53	92.33	105.13	6.95	81.83	72.03	0.99	16.37	29.59
T _o	18.73	95.07	108.03	7.65	88.73	78.87	1.10	17.65	35.88
T ₀	18.97	94.17	106.07	7.07	85.10	75.30	1.04	17.02	32.91
T ₁₀	20.23	97.20	110.77	8.00	91.47	81.57	1.14	18.29	37.69
T.,	20.97	101.50	114.40	8.34	95.57	84.17	1.19	19.11	40.40
SËm±	0.41	1.20	1.25	0.13	1.55	1.05	0.03	0.40	0.95
CD at 5%	1.22	3.53	3.67	0.39	4.56	3.10	0.07	1.17	2.81

Harvest index (33.89%) were found with treatment T_{11} -NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+

Table 2. Effect of NPK and bio-fertilizers on yield and yield attributing traits of chandrasur. T₁: Control, T₂: FYM (25 t/ha), T₃: NPK (40:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha), T₄: NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha), T₅: NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha), T₆: NPK (45:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha), T₇: NPK (45:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha), T₈:NPK (45:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha), T₉:NPK (40:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ha), T₁₀: NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter*.

Treat- ments	Num- ber of racemes (plant ⁻¹)	Race- mes length (cm)	Seed yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Test wei- ght (g)	Bio- logi- cal yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
т	102.05	22.20	11.97	1 71	55.92	21.25
T	102.05	22.20	15.17	1.71	65.63	21.23
T ²	116.39	23.50	16.61	1.75	66.17	25.12
т Т	119.88	25.10	17.34	1.80	67.05	25.12
T ⁴	121.03	26.23	18.34	1.82	72.54	24.94
T	118.71	25.53	17.79	1.82	70.98	25.19
T ⁶	120.84	26.07	18.13	1.84	70.65	25.67
T.	125.95	26.87	19.15	1.87	74.13	25.83
Т.	123.84	26.47	18.80	1.86	74.09	25.38
T.,	127.48	27.20	20.60	1.87	78.53	26.28
T	129.56	27.63	22.06	1.89	83.59	26.40
SËm±	1.64	0.45	0.62	0.02	1.68	0.32
CD at						
5%	4.83	1.33	1.81	0.06	4.96	0.95

Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha) over control plots. The higher seed yield was due to more translocation of food materials from source to sink. It was due to biofertilizers inoculation might be due to increase in plant height, higher number of branches and total chlorophyll content and also increased the yield components (number of racemes, racemes length and 1000 seed weight, as well as seed and stalk yield). Finally the yield attributing parameters helped to increase the seed production (Bhat et al. 2020). These findings corroborate with the results of Patra et al. (2013) in sunflower. Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria enhances the availability of phosphorus to plants and gives rise to better utilization of nutrients by the crop which might have in turn greater root development and plant height (Choudhary et al. 2017). These findings are also supported by Pramanik and Bera (2013) and Shambhu et al. (2019) in chandrasur.

Effect of NPK and bio-fertilizers on phenological parameters

In the present study, all the phenophases of chandrasur were significantly influenced by the application of chemical fertilizers in combination with bio-fertilizers (Table 3). However, the investigation revealed that, minimum days to emergence (6.28 days), 50% flowering (61.32 days) and maturity (114.38 days) were

Table 3. Effect of NPK and bio-fertilizers on phenology and eco-
nomics of chandrasur. T1: Control, T2: FYM (25 t/ha), T3: NPK
$(40:40:40 \text{ kg/ha}) + \text{FYM} (10 \text{ t/ha}), T_{4}: \text{NPK} (40:45:40 \text{ kg/ha}) +$
FYM (15 t/ha), T.: NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha), T.:
NPK (45:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha), T ₂ : NPK (45:45:40 kg/
ha) + FYM (15 t/ha), T ₈ :NPK (45:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha),
T_{q} :NPK (40:40:40 kg/ha) + FYM (10 t/ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter
(each 5 kg/ha), T ₁₀ : NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha) + PSB+
Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha), T_{11} : NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20
t/ha) + PSB + Azotobacter.

Treat- ments	Days to 50% emer- gence	Days to 50% flow- ering	Days to matu- rity	Gross returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (₹ ha ⁻¹)	B: C ratio
T.	7.43	67.03	124.15	59350	31750	1.15
T,	7.24	66.51	123.12	75850	43850	1.37
T,	7.11	64.32	121.74	83050	49150	1.44
T ₄	6.91	63.11	121.32	86700	50700	1.4
T,	6.75	62.17	119.47	91700	53700	1.41
T ₆	6.85	63.15	120.44	88950	54950	1.61
T ₇	6.64	62.19	118.47	90650	54550	1.51
T _s	6.46	61.81	116.32	95750	58650	1.58
T _o	6.59	62.07	117.10	94000	55500	1.44
T_10	6.36	61.64	115.80	103000	64000	1.64
T ₁₁	6.28	61.32	114.38	110300	70300	1.75
SËm±	0.10	1.23	0.86			
CD at						
5%	0.29	3.64	2.54			

recorded with T_{11} -NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha) as compared to control plots. This finding is due to the combination of Azotobacter and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria which has helped in fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and mobilization of phosphates, improves germination indexes such as percentage, speed of germination, viability, flowering and maturity. Beneficial soil microbes along with recommended NPK led to abundant availability of nutrients in readily available form for the crop uptake (Praneeth et al. 2018). The result correlated with the study of Choudhary et al. (2006) in cumin, Jat et al. (2006) in fenugreek, Mandal and Singh (2002) in Mustard. The reason for obtaining early maturity may be due to increase in cell multiplication, cell elongation and cell expansion throughout the entire period of crop. This might be resulted in higher production of photosynthetics and their translocation to sink (seed), which ultimately increased the plants growth. These findings are supported by Meena et al. (2018) in mustard and Nayma et al. (2019) in chandrasur.

Economics of the treatments

The perusal of data pertaining to benefit: Cost ratio revealed that (Table 3) maximum B : C ratio (1.75) was obtained with the treatment combination T_{11} -NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha) followed by T₁₀ -NPK (40:45:40 kg/ha) + FYM (15 t/ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha) (1.64), due to higher yield and lower cost of cultivation. Hence, taking into consideration all aspects, treatment T₁₁ -NPK (40:50:40 kg/ ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ ha) due to its persistent performance for yield (22.06 q/ha), gross income (Rs 110300/ha), net returns (Rs 70300/ha) and higher benefit: Cost ratio (1.75) along with balanced application of chemical fertilizers and biofertilizers to maintain the soil health was rated as best treatment. Hence, treatment T₁₁-NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ Azotobacter (each 5 kg/ha) can be recommended for commercial cultivation in long run for sustainable production of chandrasur.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of present investigation could be concluded that, out of 11 treatment combinations, Treatment T_{11} -NPK (40:50:40 kg/ha) + FYM (20 t/ha) + PSB+ *Azotobacter* (each 5 kg/ ha) is beneficial for obtaining the maximum growth and higher seed yield in Chandrasur.

REFERENCES

- Bhat GN, Mastiholi AB, Kattimani KN, Rajashekhar E, Prabhling G, Rudresh DL (2020) Effect of spacing and biofertilizers on growth and yield of garden cress (*Lapidium sativum* L.). *Int J Chem Stud* 8(1): 2191–2193.
- Chaudhary BR, Gupta AK, Parihar CM, Jat SL, Singh DK (2011) Effect of integrated nutrient management on fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) and its residual effect on fodder pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). Ind J Agron 56 (3): 189—195.
- Choudhary GR, Jain NK, Jat NJ (2006) Response of cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*) to inorganic nitrogen, farmyard manure and biofertilizer. *Ind J Agron* 51(4): 334—336.
- Choudhary M, Jat RK, Chand P, Choudhary R (2017) Effect of bio-fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of knol-khol. *J Pharma Phytochem* 6(6): 2234–2237.

- Chundawat RS, Patidar DK, Haldar A, Meena KC (2017) Growth and Seed Yield of Asalio (*Lepidium sativum* L.) as Influenced by seed rates and sowing methods. *Curr Agric Res J* 5 (3): 2347—4688.
- Jat NL, Jain NK, Choudhary GR (2006) Integrated nutrient management in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*). Ind J Agron 51(4): 331—333.
- Mandal KG, Singh AC (2002) Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, oil content and nutrient uptake of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in foothill soils of eastern India. *Ind J Agron* 47(1): 109–113.
- Meena J, Singh V, Kumar S, Sagar A (2018) Effect of bio-fertilizers and levels of sulfur on growth and yield attri butes of mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 7(10): 2242—2249.
- Mounika Y, Thanuja GS, Reddy PS, Ramaiah M (2017) Effect of individual and combined application of biofertilizers, micronutrients on growth, leaf yield and quality of corian der (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) Cv. Sadhana. *Pl Arch* 17 (2): 1108—1112.
- Naidu MM, Rao DV, Sarkar DK, Reddy KC, Shankar AS (2016) Integrated nutrient management in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). J Agro Ecology Natural Res Manag 3(1): 33—36.
- Nayma S, Meena KC, Kiran MR, Kumawat A (2019) Effect of sowing time and row spacing on growth, yield and quality of chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum L.*). *Int J Chem Stud* 7(3): 1972–1976.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV (1985) Statistical methods for agriculture workers. *Ind Council Agric Res New Delhi*, pp 108.

- Patra P, Pati BK, Ghosh GK, Mura SS, Saha A (2013) Effect of biofertilizers and sulfur on growth, yield and oil content of hybrid sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L) In a Typical Lateritic Soil 2:603 doi:10.4172/ scientific reports 603.
- Pramanik K, Bera AK (2013) Effect of biofertilizers and phytohormone on growth, productivity and quality of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). J Crop Weed 9(2): 122—127.
- Praneeth YS, Hemalatha KJ, Gowda APM (2018) Effect of integrated nutrient management on nutrient uptake and nutrient content of garden cress (*Lepidium sativum* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 7: 4632—4636.
- Raval N (2016) A comprehensive review of *Lepidium sativum* Linn, A traditional medicinal plant. *World J Pharm Pharmac Sci* 5(5): 1593—1601.
- Shambhu, Meena KC, Haldar A, Patidar DK, Abdul R (2019) Effect of sowing iime and plant geometry on growth, yield and quality of chandrasur (*Lepidium sativum L.*). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 8(3) : 1985—1991.
- Singh G, Singh SK (2019) Effect of bio-fertilizer and mulching on growth, yield and quality of cauliflower (*Brassica* oleracea var. botrytis L.) in Punjab. J Crop Weed 15(1): 182—185.
- Singh NB, Verma KK (2002) Response of french bean (*Phaseo-lus vulgaris*) to organic and inorganic manures in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Ind J Agron 47(1): 81–85.
- Vaishnavi Gupta R, Choudhary P (2020) Botanical description of garden cress (*Lepidium sativum* L.) plant and physical characteristics of its seeds. *J Pharmac Phytochem* 9 (5): 2424—2428.