Environment and Ecology 41(2B): 1225—1229, April– June 2023 ISSN 0970-0420

Characterization of A Few Genotypes of *Gomphrena* globosa L. from a Composite Population and their Response to Pinching

Plato Basumatary, Preeti Hatibarua

Received 3 January 2023, Accepted 10 April 2023, Published on 21 June 2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out in the Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam during the year 2021-2022 to study the effect of pinching on different component genotypes of Gomphrena globosa cv Choice mix. The experiment consisted of four genotypes G₁ (Purple violet), G₂ (Medium blue pink), G₃ (Light violet) and G₄ (White) and two level of pinching P₀: No pinching and P₁: With pinching. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 3 replications. Genotype G₂ recorded the maximum plant height (66.77 cm), plant spread (54.98 cm), number of primary and secondary branches (5.48 and 12.36 cm respectively), number of leaves (376.67), number of flowers per plant (144.95) and stalk length (19.35 cm). Early

Plato Basumatary^{1*}, Preeti Hatibarua² ²Professor Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat 785013, Assam, India

Email: plato.basumatary.amj20@aau.ac.in *Corresponding author flower bud initiation (30.72 days) and 50% flowering (48.02 days) were recorded in G_4 . Unpinched plants recorded the maximum plant height (63.94 cm), maximum stalk length (19.35 cm), early flower bud initiation (29.63 days) and 50% flowering (46.82 days) whereas, pinched plants recorded the maximum plant spread (58.48 cm), number of primary and secondary branches (5.59 and 12.65 days respectively), number of leaves (383.40) and number of flowers per plant (133.35).

Keywords Characterization, Genotypes, Gomphrena, Pinching.

INTRODUCTION

Gomphrena (Gomphrena globosa L.) also known as Bachelor's button, belongs to the Amaranthaceae family. The plant is native to Central America. It is a hardy annual flowering plant with erect branched stems and bushy growth. It produces small oval or round shaped inflorescence of colorful bracts exhibiting various colours like magenta, pink, red, white. It is commonly used as a loose flower in garland making and dried flowers in making flower arrangements and Potpourris. Besides this, the flowers were grown in the herbaceous border, bed, pot and in rock garden. It is used as a dry flower due to its ability to retain its color even after drying. It is a leading commercial dry flower crop with immense export potential (Rathava et al. 2021). Magenta flowers are a rich source of Betacyanin which have the potential to be used natural dyes for silks and wool (Cai et al. 2005) and also antioxidant rich food colorants (Cai et al. 2005). Management practices such as pinching is one of the important factor that governs the quality and yield of the flowers. It is a technique of removal of apical buds. Pinching of plants results in better vegetative growth, increase in side shoots resulting in increase in the flower yield. Flower yield and productivity are also greatly influenced by the choice of the variety.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out in the Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam during the year 2021-2022. The experiment was laid out in factorial Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 3 replications. The mixed variety of Gomphrena var 'Choice mix' was taken for the experiment. Seeds were sown in the nursery bed in the 1st week of November, 2021 and after one-month seedlings were transplanted in beds in the rows with plant-to-plant distance of 30 cm. At 30 days after transplanting half of the plots were pinched and remaining were left unpinched. Four different component genotypes with different

colors (comparing with Royal Horticultural Society color chart) of flowers were observed in the composite population and were evaluated and characterized.

| Genotype | Flower color |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| Genotype 1 (G_1) | N81A (Purple violet) |
| Genotype 2 (G_2) | 68B (Medium blue pink) |
| Genotype 3 (G_3) | 75A (Light violet) |
| Genotype 4 (G_4) | N155A (White) |
| 7 | |

The parameters like plant height, plant spread, number of primary branches, number of secondary branches, number of leaves, days to flower bud initiation, day to 50% flowering, number of flowers per plant and stalk length were recorded during the experimental period.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height: Plant height was found to be significantly influenced by pinching and the genotypes (Tables 1–2). Tallest plants (66.77 cm) were observed in G_2 (Medium blue pink flowers) followed by G_1 (Purple violet flowers) recording 64.18 cm plant height. On the other hand, minimum plant height (50.48 cm)

 Table 1. Effect of different genotypes and pinching on the growth and yield of gomphrena.

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | Plant spread (cm) | No. of primary branches | No. of secondary branches | No. of leaves per plant | Days to flower bud initiation | Days to 50% flowering | No. of flowers per plant | Flower stalk length (cm) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Genotypes | | | | | | | | | |
| Genotype 1 (G ₁) | 64.18 | 52.03 | 4.67 | 11.81 | 376.67 | 36.85 | 52.63 | 127.97 | 18.20 |
| Genotype 2 (G ₂) | 66.77 | 54.98 | 5.48 | 12.36 | 372.97 | 38.85 | 55.07 | 144.95 | 19.35 |
| Genotype 3 | 55.50 | 49.97 | 4.80 | 11.60 | 362.67 | 32.35 | 49.42 | 126.32 | 16.67 |
| Genotype 4 | 50.48 | 43.93 | 4.25 | 10.71 | 347.50 | 30.72 | 48.02 | 99.42 | 12.43 |
| SE (d) CD (0.05) | 1.01 2.18 | 1.11 2.41 | 0.17 0.37 | 0.16 0.34 | 6.65 14.40 | 0.81 1.76 | 1.00 2.16 | 2.56 5.54 | 0.54 1.16 |
| Pinching | | | | | | | | | |
| No pinching (P.) | 63.94 | 41.98 | 4.02 | 10.59 | 346.40 | 29.63 | 46.82 | 115.98 | 17.17 |
| With pinching (P_{1}) | 54.53 | 58.48 | 5.59 | 12.65 | 383.50 | 39.75 | 55.75 | 133.35 | 16.16 |
| SEd (±) CD (0.05) | 0.71 1.54 | 0.79 1.70 | 0.12 0.26 | 0.11 0.24 | 4.70 10.19 | 0.57 1.24 | 0.71 1.53 | 1.81 3.92 | 0.38 0.82 |

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | Plant spread (cm) | No. of primary branches | No. of secondary branches | No. of leaves per plant | Days to flower bud initiation | Days to 50% flowering | No. of flowers per plant | Flower stalk length (cm) |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| G, P | 68.70 | 43.00 | 4.23 | 10.78 | 353.00 | 32.20 | 48.73 | 119.20 | 19.00 |
| $\mathbf{G}_{1}\mathbf{P}_{1}^{0}$ | 59.67 | 61.07 | 5.11 | 12.83 | 400.33 | 41.50 | 56.53 | 136.73 | 17.40 |
| $\mathbf{G}_{2}\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | 72.20 | 44.93 | 4.22 | 11.31 | 357.60 | 33.73 | 50.33 | 134.50 | 20.00 |
| $G_{2}^{2} P_{1}^{0}$ | 61.33 | 65.03 | 6.75 | 13.41 | 388.33 | 43.97 | 59.80 | 155.40 | 18.69 |
| G, P | 59.00 | 43.33 | 3.93 | 10.53 | 344.33 | 27.00 | 44.73 | 123.47 | 17.00 |
| G, P, | 52.00 | 56.60 | 5.67 | 12.66 | 381.00 | 37.70 | 54.10 | 129.17 | 16.33 |
| $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{A}}^{'} \mathbf{P}_{0}^{'}$ | 55.87 | 36.67 | 3.68 | 9.73 | 330.67 | 25.60 | 43.47 | 86.77 | 12.67 |
| G P | 45.10 | 51.20 | 4.82 | 11.69 | 364.33 | 35.83 | 52.57 | 112.08 | 12.20 |
| SĒd (±) | 1.42 | 1.57 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 9.41 | 1.14 | 1.41 | 3.62 | 0.76 |
| CD (0.05) | 3.08 | 3.41 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 20.37 | 2.49 | 3.06 | 7.84 | 1.65 |

Table 2. Interaction effect of different genotypes and pinching on the growth and yield of Gomphrena.

was recorded in G_4 (White flowers).

The unpinched plants recorded the maximum plant height (63.94 cm) as compared to pinched plants (54.53 cm). The interaction between genotype G_2 along with unpinched plants showed the maximum plant height (72.20 cm). However, the minimum plant height (45.10 cm) was recorded in the treatment combination G_4P_1 . The difference in height observed among the genotypes might be due to the genetic factor. Similar results were reported by Ashwini *et al.* (2019) and Rathava *et al.* (2021) in gomphrena. The increase in height in the unpinched plants might be due to the effect of apical dominance. Similar findings were reported by Thakare *et al.* (2020), Jena *et al.* (2021) and Nagdeve *et al.* (2022) in marigold.

Plant spread: The data presented on Tables 1–2 showed that plant spread of Gomphrena globosa is significantly influenced by genotypes, pinching and as well as the interaction between the two factors. Genotype G_2 recorded the maximum plant spread (54.98 cm) whereas, the minimum plant spread (43.93 cm) was recorded in G_4 . Pinching resulted in bushy plants (58.48 cm) as compared to the unpinched plants. The treatment combination G_2P_1 recorded the maximum plant spread (65.03 cm), whereas G_4P_0 recorded the least (36.67 cm). Genetic factor might be the responsible for the variation in growth characters such as plant spread among the different genotypes. Similar findings were reported by Gulia *et al.* (2017)

in marigold and Ashwini *et al.* (2019) in gomphrena. However, the increased plant spread in pinched plants might be due to the removal of apical portion of plant, breaking the apical dominance and thereby resulting in more lateral branching. The results are in conformity with the findings of Thakare *et al.* (2020) in annual chrysanthemum, Yaseen *et al.* (2021) in gomphrena and Kumar *et al.* (2022) in marigold.

Number of primary and secondary branches: Higher production of primary branches (5.48/plant) and secondary branches (12.36/plant) was recorded in G_2 , whereas the least (4.25 and 10.71 per plant respectively) were recorded in G_4 .

Pinching resulted in more branching (5.59 primary branches and 12.65 secondary branches per plant) compared to unpinched plants. The treatment combination G₂P₁ recorded the maximum (6.75) primary branches (6.75) and secondary branches (13.41)whereas; $G_{4}P_{0}$ recorded the minimum number of primary branches (3.68) and secondary branches (9.73). Similar variation in number of branches among the genotypes were reported by Gulia et al. (2017) in marigold, Ashwini et al. (2019) and Rathava et al. (2021) in Gomphrena. The increase in primary and secondary branches in the pinched plants might be due to the diversion of photosynthates to the axillary buds instead of apical portion and thereby increases the number of branches. Similar results were obtained by Thakare et al. (2020) in annual chrysanthemum, Ehsanullah *et al.* (2021) in chrysanthemum and Kumar *et al.* (2022) in marigold.

Number of leaves per plant: Maximum number of leaves (376.67) were recorded in genotype G₁ which is statistically at par with G_2 (372.97). However, the minimum numbers of leaves per plant (347.50) was recorded in G_4 . Pinched plant recorded the maximum number of leaves per plant (383.40) as compared to unpinched plants. The treatment combination G₁P₁ recorded the maximum number of leaves (400.33) whereas, G₄P₀ recorded the minimum number of leaves (330.67). Variations in number of leaves among the different genotype were also reported by Rathava et al. (2021) in gomphrena. Increase in number of leaves per plant in pinched plants might be due to the diversion of food materials to the developing auxiliary branches instead of apical portion and thereby results in production of more number of leaves. Similar observations were reported by Ehsanullah et al. (2021) in chrysanthemum, Jena et al. (2021) in annual chrysanthemum and Kumar et al. (2022) in marigold.

Days to flower bud initiation: Genotype G4 took the earliest time for flower bud initiation (30.72 days) whereas, G, took the maximum number of days to flower bud initiation (38.85 days). This might be due to the difference genetic trait among the different genotypes and the similar variations were reported by Roopa et al. (2018) in chrysanthemum, Naik et al. (2019) in marigold and Rathava et al. (2021) in gomphrena. Flower bud initiated earlier (29.63 days) in unpinched plants as compared to pinched plants. Treatment combination G_4P_0 recorded the minimum number of days to flower bud initiation (25.60 days). However, G_2P_1 recorded the maximum number of days to flower bud initiation (43.97 days). Delayed in flower bud initiation in pinched plants might be due to the decapitation of apical portion that results in more and longer vegetative growth which delayed the physiological maturity of the plant and thereby delayed the flower bud initiation. Similar results were reported by Jena et al. (2021) in annual chrysanthemum, Nakum et al. (2022) and Sheoran et al. (2022) in marigold.

Days to 50% flowering: Maximum days to 50% flowering (55.07 days) was recorded in G_2 whereas,

the minimum number of days to 50% flowering (48.02 days) was recorded in G_4 . Delayed in flower bud initiation might also delayed the 50% flowering in G_2 . Similar variations among the genotypes were reported by Naik *et al.* (2019) in marigold. Unpinched plants recorded the maximum number of days to 50% flowering (55.75 days) as compared to pinched plants. Treatment combination G_4P_0 recorded the minimum number of days to 50% flowering (43.47 days) which is at par with G_3P_0 (44.73 days). On the other hand, G_2P_1 recorded the maximum number of days to 50% flowering (59.80 days). Delayed in flower bud initiation in turn might have delayed the days to 50% flowering. Similar findings were obtained by Nakum *et al.* (2022) in marigold.

Number of flowers per plant: The perusal of Tables1-2 showed a significant effect on number of flowers per plant. Maximum number of flowers per plant (144.95) was recorded in G, whereas, minimum number of flowers per plant (99.42) was recorded in G_4 . Increase in number of flowers in G_5 might be due to the production of more number of branches and shoots. Similar observations were reported by Ashwini et al. (2019) and Rathava et al. (2021) in gomphrena. Pinched plants recorded the maximum number of flowers (133.35) as compared to unpinched plants. Interaction between G₂ and pinched plants recorded the maximum number of flowers per plant (155.40). The increase in the number of flowers in the pinched plants might be due to the formation of more number of branches and shoots which resulted in more number of flowers. Similar observations were reported by Thakare et al. (2020), Jena et al. (2021) and Nagdeve et al. (2021) in annual chrysanthemum

Stalk length: Longest flower stalk (19.35 cm) was recorded in G_2 whereas; G_4 recorded the shortest stalk (12.43 cm). Similar variations in stalk length were reported by Ashwini *et al.* (2019) in gomphrena. Unpinched plants recorded the maximum stalk length (16.16 cm) as compared to pinched plants. G_2P_0 recorded the longest flower stalk (20.00 cm) whereas, G_4P_1 recorded the shortest stalk (12.20 cm) which is at par with G_4P_0 (12.67 cm). The increase in the stalk length in the unpinched plants might be due

to less number of branches as well as the flowers and thereby the food materials are concentrated only on few flowers and also increase its stalk length.

CONCLUSION

From the experiment it can be concluded that Genotype G_2 along with the pinched plants showed superior performance in almost all the growth and yield parameters.

REFERENCES

- Ashwini, Kurubar AR, Patil S, Kumar SC (2019) Evaluation of bachelor's button (*Gomphrena globosa* L.) genotypes for growth and yield parameters. J Pharm Phytochem 8(4): 541-545.
- Cai YZ, Sun M, Corke H (2005) Characterization and application of betalain pigments from plants of the Amaranthaceae. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 16(9): 370-376.
- Ehsanullah M, Tarapder SA, Maukeeb ARM, Khan AU, Khan AU (2021) Effect of pinching on growth and quality flower production of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum indicum* L.). *J Multidiscip Appl Nat Sci* 1(2): 62-68.
- Gulia R, Beniwal BS, Sheoran S, Sandooja JK (2017) Evaluation of marigold genotypes for growth, flowering, yield and essential oil Content. *Res Crop* 18(2): 299-304.
- Jena S, Mohanty CR, Dash RM (2021) Effect of pinching on growth and flowering of annual chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.). J Pharmacog Phytochem 10(2): 1042-1045.

Kumar A, Nayyer MA, Pandey L, Singh A, Singh R (2022) Effect

of different plant spacing and pinching on vegetative growth of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv Pusa Narangi Gainda. *J Pharm Innov* 11(6): 495-500.

- Nagdeve NS, Khobragade HM, Thakare AA, Gajbhiye RP, Mandhare KS (2021) Effect of plant spacing and pinching on growth and flower yield of annual chrysanthemum. *Int J Chem Studies* 9(1): 491-495.
- Naik PV, Seetaramu GK, Tejaswani MG, Sadanand GK, Shivashankara KS, Kalmath BS (2019) Evaluation of marigold genotypes for flowering and quality parameters under upper Krishna project command area in Karnataka State. Int J Chem Sci 7(4): 1567-1570.
- Nakum MP, Karetha KM, Malaviya SB (2022) Effect of plug seedling age and pinching methods on flower yield and seed yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv Loacal. J Pharm Innov 11(7): 3640-3643.
- Rathava KN, Joshi NS, Thumar BV (2021) Evaluation of different genotypes of gomphrena for their growth and flowering parameters under South Saurashtra region. J Pharm Innov 10(9): 519-523.
- Roopa S, Chandrashekar SY, Shivaprasad M, Hanumantharaya L, Kumar H (2018) Evaluation of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev) genotypes for floral and quality traits under hill zone of Karnataka, India. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci* 7(8): 1874-1879.
- Sheoran S, Beniwal BS, Dalal RPS (2022) Floral and yield attributes of African marigold as influenced by pinching and gibberellic acid in different seasons. *J Pharm Innov* 11(5): 495-498.
- Thakare AA, Dahale MH, Deogade AS, Ingole AR, Ningot EP (2020) Effect of pinching and nitrogen on growth and flower yield of annual chrysanthemum. *J Pharmacog Phytochem* 9(6): 945-949.
- Yaseen T, Khan FU, Gani I, Abass M (2021) Response of globe amaranth (*Gomphrena globosa* L.) to pinching and biofertilizer application. *Int J Hortic Food Sci* 3(1): 01-05.