Environment and Ecology 41(2B): 1182—1190, April—June 2023 ISSN 0970-0420

Optimization of Sowing Date and Crop Geometry Management for Herbage Production of Fenugreek cv Kasuri (*Trigonella corniculata*) in a Subtropical Region

Deepanshi Deora, K. C. Meena, Akansha Deora, A.S. Shekhawat

Received 8 January 2023, Accepted 23 April 2023, Published on 21 June 2023

ABSTRACT

Sowing date and crop geometry are critical factors in the production of Kasuri methi as development, yield and quality of crop may be hampered by crop spacing and early or late sowing. So, to achieve the goal of doubling farmers' income, a study was conducted at Horticulture Research Farm, College of Horticulture Mandsaur, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior (MP), India during the year 2021-2022 to evaluate the effect of sowing dates and spacing on (*Trigonella corniculata*) fenugreek cv Kasuri with three dates of sowing viz. D₁ - 15th October, D₂ - 30th October and D₃ - 15th November as main plot and four different spacings S₁ (15 × 10

Deepanshi Deora^{1*}, K. C. Meena² ²Assistant Professor

Email: deepanshideora29@gmail.com *Corresponding author cm), $S_2(20 \times 10 \text{ cm})$, $S_3(25 \times 10 \text{ cm})$ and $S_4(30 \times 10 \text{ cm})$ as sub-plot in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The result obtained during experiment showed significant effect to growth and herbage yield and most of the parameters were found maximum in main treatment $D_2 - 30^{\text{th}}$ October and sub treatment $S_4 - 30 \times 10 \text{ cm}$. The interaction of 30^{th} October + $S_3 - 25 \times 10 \text{ cm}$ observed maximum values in growth parameters while herbage yield was found maximum in 30^{th} October + $S_1 - 15 \times 10 \text{ cm}$.

Keywords Kasuri methi, Date of sowing, Spacing, *Trigonella corniculata*.

INTRODUCTION

Trigonella corniculata L., sometimes referred to as Kasuri, Pan methi, Champa methi, Marwari methi or sickle fruited fenugreek is an annual herbaceous, bushy, slow-growing legume seed spice crop that is native to the Mediterranean region and belongs to the family Fabaceae, subfamily Papilionaceae. It is farmed mostly for green herbage as well as dry herb. The chromosomal number for this species is 2n=16. It is a self-pollinating crop. During most of the vegetative growth period, it stays in rosette form (Anupama et al. 2017). It is a semi-arid crop that grows to a height of 30 cm, has pinnate leaves with leaflet sizes ranging from 1.25 to 2.0 cm and has bright orange-yellow flowers (Chandan et al. 2021). In the Nagaur District of Rajasthan (India), it is cultivated under the name of Pan methi because only the

1182

Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture (Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior), Mandsaur 458001, Madhya Pradesh, India Akansha Deora³

Division of Plant Pathology, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute (Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner), Durgapura 302018, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

A.S. Shekhawat⁴

⁴Assistant Professor

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Baytu (Agriculture University, Jodhpur) 344034, Rajasthan, India

leaves are harvested four to five times during the crop season and after drying, the leaves are used as a food flavoring agent in food preparation (Kumawat *et al.* 2018). Fresh green leaves are used as a condiment to add a delightful flavor to dishes.

Kasuri methi is usually planted in the winter. Early on, dry, cold conditions encourage vegetative growth, while dry, somewhat hot conditions encourage seed production (Al-Dalain *et al.* 2012). For vegetative growth and final yield manifestation, seeding time is crucial (Aggarwal *et al.* 2013). When growing vegetatively, the crop prefers a chilly climate, and when it is mature, it prefers a warm, dry climate (Halesh *et al.* 2000). It is advised to sow in October during the *rabi* season to produce both seeds and leaves.

Spacing is one of the most crucial elements affecting interplant competition, development and ideal yield of fenugreek (Singh *et al.* 2005). The maximum number of plants that can be grown on a specific unit of land for maximum yield depends on the plant spacing. Inadequate plant spacing can lead to populations that are either too dense or too sparse, which lowers the output of fenugreek seeds. By effectively utilizing moisture, nutrients and light, optimal plant density, on the other hand, guarantees that the plants grow equally and appropriately, leading to a larger fenugreek production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines. The influence of three dates of sowing as main plot viz., $D_1 - 15^{th}$ October, $D_2 - 30^{th}$ October and $D_3 - 15^{th}$ November, 2021 and four spacing viz., $S_1 (15 \times 10 \text{ cm})$, $S_2 (20 \times 10 \text{ cm})$, $S_3 (25 \times 10 \text{ cm})$ and $S_4 (30 \times 10 \text{ cm})$ as sub-plot was examined on Akfg-1 variety of kasuri methi. The source of this variety is National Research Center on Seed Spices, Ajmer (India). The experiment was laid in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications and 12 treatments in the year 2021-22. Plot size was kept $3 \times 1.5 \text{ m}^2$ and susceptible seeds were used as per requirement of plant spacing with the seed rate of 11 kg ha⁻¹ at $15 \times 10 \text{ cm}$ spacing (S_1) , $9.5 \text{ kg ha^{-1}}$ at $20 \times 10 \text{ cm}$ spacing (S_2) , $8 \text{ kg ha^{-1}}$ at $25 \times 10 \text{ cm}$

spacing (S₃) and 6.5 kg ha⁻¹ at 30 × 10 cm spacing (S₄). The growth parameters were calculated by standard methods mentioned below.

Leaf area (cm² plant⁻¹)

The five plants were uprooted from each plot and detached their leaves. Then the fifteen leaves were selected from each plant for the measurement of leaf area using leaf area meter (LAM-211). Calculate the arranged leaf area and multiplying by total number of leaves per plant and expressed as cm² plant⁻¹.

Leaf area index

Leaf area index expresses the ratio of leaf surface (one side only) to the ground area occupied by the plant or crop stand (Gardner *et al.* 1985).

$$LAI = \frac{(LA_2 + LA_1)}{2P}$$

Where, the LA_1 and LA_2 represent the leaf area of two consecutive intervals and 'P' stands for ground area (Watson 1974).

Leaf area duration (cm² days⁻¹)

Leaf area duration expresses the magnitude and persistence of leaf area of leafiness during the period of crop growth. It reflects the extent or seasonal integral of light interception and correlates with yield (Watson 1952). LAD as computed as:

LAD =
$$\frac{(LA_2 + LA_1)}{2} \times (t_2 - t_1) (cm^2 day^{-1})$$

Where,

 LA_1 and LA_2 are leaf areas at times t_1 and t_2 respectively.

Crop growth rate (g cm⁻² day⁻¹)

The gain in weight of a community of plants on a unit of land in a unit of time is termed to as crop growth rate (Gardner *et al.* 1985). It is estimated by calculating the average daily increment of plant biomass

					Leaf area index			Leaf area duration (cm ² days ⁻¹)		
	Leaf area $(cm^2 plant^{-1})$				DAS-					
	30	60	90	At	30-60	60-90	har-	30-60	60-90	90 DAS-
	DAS	DAS	DAS	harvest	DAS	DAS	vest	DAS	DAS	harvest
	Mai	in plot - Da	ate of sowin	σ (D)	Main 1	olot - date	of)	Main plo	t - date of sox	ving (D)
	main plot Due of sowing (D)			sowing (D)			interni pro		(ing (D)	
D ₁ (15 th Oct.)	18.38	629.97	2101.76	1954.63	1.43	6.21	9.35	9400.09	40166.18	60364.41
D_{2} (30 th Oct.)	23.67	828.73	2425.41	2247.98	1.90	7.38	10.81	12336.54	47423.11	69161.46
D ₃ (15 th Nov.)	16.97	544.67	1955.98	1829.82	1.20	5.56	8.68	7772.19	35675.69	55603.65
SEm ±	0.24	12.33	22.25	19.57	0.03	0.09	0.10	189.52	529.27	645.87
CD at 5%	0.69	36.17	65.25	57.40	0.08	0.28	0.31	555.83	1552.28	1894.27
	Sub plot - spacing (S)			Sub plot - spacing (S)			Sub plot - spacing (S)			
$S_1 (15 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	17.74	552.02	1971.87	1837.72	1.87	8.35	12.66	8411.67	37559.25	56982.12
$S_{2}(20 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	18.86	603.27	2061.28	1919.48	1.52	6.52	9.84	9108.97	39094.56	59062.20
$S_{2}(25 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	20.42	724.79	2250.99	2093.12	1.35	5.59	8.46	10126.02	41898.94	63473.94
$S_{1}(30 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	21.68	791.08	2360.04	2192.92	1.30	5.09	7.48	11698.44	45800.54	67321.10
SĒm±	0.27	14.24	25.69	22.60	0.03	0.11	0.12	218.84	611.14	745.78
CD at 5%	0.80	41.76	75.34	66.28	0.10	0.32	0.35	641.82	1792.42	2187.31
	Interactions $(D \times S)$				Interactions $(D \times S)$			Interactions $(D \times S)$		
D,S,	16.29	462.76	1831.02	1707.27	1.71	7.97	12.01	7683.13	35870.10	54047.06
	17.08	545.91	1965.65	1830.27	1.41	6.27	9.48	8451.02	37599.40	56864.85
$\mathbf{D}_{1}^{1}\mathbf{S}_{2}^{2}$	18.63	693.19	2204.12	2048.15	1.31	5.51	8.33	9827.33	41322.47	62496.86
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{I}}$	21.52	818.01	2406.24	2232.82	1.29	5.10	7.56	11638.90	45872.75	68048.87
$D_{0}^{1}S_{1}^{4}$	21.18	756.81	2303.54	2136.71	2.39	9.68	14.48	10767.45	43543.86	65144.85
$D_{0}^{2}S_{0}^{1}$	22.84	758.18	2329.68	2160.33	1.95	7.57	11.08	11694.69	45413.28	66466.18
	25.22	880.43	2502.70	2318.69	1.69	6.46	9.45	12709.76	48460.58	70909.53
$D_{a}S_{b}$	25.45	919.50	2565.72	2376.20	1.57	5.81	8.24	14174.27	52274.71	74125.26
$D_{3}^{2}S_{4}^{4}$	15.74	436.48	1781.06	1669.19	1.51	7.39	11.50	6784.42	33263.80	51754.46
	16.66	505.73	1888.52	1767.82	1.20	5.71	8.98	7181.20	34271.02	53855.55
	17.41	600.75	2046.15	1912.51	1.05	4.79	7.60	7840.98	35913.77	57015.43
D,S,	18.06	635.73	2108.18	1969.75	1.03	4.36	6.64	9282.17	39254.17	59789.16
SĒm ±	0.47	24.66	44.49	39.14	0.06	0.19	0.21	379.03	1058.53	1291.74
CD at 5%	1.39	72.34	130.50	114.80	0.17	NS	0.61	NS	NS	NS

Table 1. Leaf area (cm² plant¹), Leaf area index and Leaf area duration (cm² days¹) at different treatments and their interactions.

 $(W_1 \text{ and } W_2)$ per unit ground area (P) per unit time interval $(t_1 \text{ and } t_2)$.

$$CGR = \frac{(W_2 - W_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)} \times \frac{-1}{A}$$

Relative growth rate (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹)

It expresses the dry weight increase in a time interval in relation to the initial weight. The mean relative growth rate is calculated from measurements taken at time t_1 and t_2 (Beadle *et al.* 1985).

RGR =
$$\frac{\log_{e} W_{2} - \log_{e} W_{1}}{t_{2} - t_{1}}$$
 (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹)

Where, $W_1 = Dry$ weight of the plan at time t_1 $W_2 = Dry$ weight of the plan at time t_2

RESULTS

Sowing date and crop geometry are critical factors in the production of kasuri methi. However, only a little amount of research has been done on these

	Crop gro	wth rate (g cm ⁻² c	lay-1)	Relative	growth rate (g g-1 d	lay-1)	
Treatments	30-60 DAS	60-90 DAS	90 DAS- harvest	30-60 DAS	60-90 DAS	90 DAS- harvest	
	Main plot - date of sowing (D)			Main plot - date of sowing (D)			
D ₁ (15 th Oct)	0.25	0.46	0.51	0.016	0.0361	0.03888	
$D_2 (30^{\text{th}} \text{ Oct})$	0.28	0.49	0.50	0.020	0.0375	0.03854	
$D_3(15^{th} Nov)$	0.23	0.45	0.50	0.014	0.0353	0.03852	
SEm ±	0.004	0.01	0.0070	0.0005	0.0004	0.00049	
CD at 5%	0.01	0.02	NS	0.001	0.0012	NS	
	Sub	plot - spacing (S)	Su	b plot - spacing (S)		
S ₁ (15×10 cm)	0.33	0.63	0.71	0.013	0.0344	0.03876	
$S_{2}(20 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	0.26	0.49	0.54	0.015	0.0360	0.03886	
$S_{2}(25 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	0.23	0.40	0.43	0.018	0.0370	0.03877	
$S_{4}(30 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	0.20	0.35	0.35	0.019	0.0378	0.03820	
SEm ±	0.004	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.0005	0.00056	
CD at 5%	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.002	0.0013	NS	
	Ir	nteractions (D × S	5)		Interactions (D × S)	
D ₁ S ₁	0.31	0.62	0.71	0.011	0.0344	0.03876	
D_1S_2	0.25	0.49	0.55	0.014	0.0359	0.03971	
D_1S_3	0.23	0.40	0.43	0.018	0.0366	0.03875	
D_1S_4	0.20	0.34	0.35	0.020	0.0374	0.03829	
D_2S_1	0.37	0.67	0.71	0.017	0.0369	0.03884	
D_2S_2	0.30	0.51	0.53	0.019	0.0371	0.03843	
D_2S_3	0.25	0.41	0.42	0.020	0.0376	0.03858	
D_2S_4	0.21	0.35	0.35	0.021	0.0385	0.03831	
D_3S_1	0.30	0.58	0.71	0.010	0.0320	0.03869	
D_3S_2	0.24	0.48	0.53	0.012	0.0350	0.03845	
D_3S_3	0.21	0.40	0.43	0.015	0.0367	0.03897	
D_3S_4	0.18	0.34	0.35	0.016	0.0376	0.03798	
$SEm \pm$	0.01	0.01	0.014	0.0009	0.0008	0.00097	
CD at 5%	0.02	0.03	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table 2. Crop growth rate (g cm⁻² day⁻¹) and Relative growth rate (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) at different treatments and their interactions.

topics. Keeping the foregoing in mind, the study was carried out with the goal of determining the appropriate sowing time and row spacing for the growth and development of fenugreek cv kasuri in the Malwa Region of Madhya Pradesh in the year 2021-22, so that farmers can obtain adequate yields and avoid crop damage caused by spacing, late sowing or too early sowing.

Effect of sowing dates and spacing on growth parameters

Leaf area $(cm^2 plant^1)$

The leaf area of plant was recorded periodically at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest which showed significant

effect to date of sowing, spacing and combination of treatments as shown in Table 1. The maximum leaf area (23.67, 828.73, 2425.41 and 2247.985 cm² plant⁻¹) were found in main treatment D₂-30th October while minimum (16.97, 544.67, 1955.98 and 1829.818 cm² plant⁻¹) in D₃-15th November. Among the sub treatments, $S_4-30 \times 10$ cm spacing recorded maximum leaf area (21.68, 791.08, 2360.04 and 2192.92 cm² plant⁻¹) while S_1 -15 ×10 cm spacing recorded minimum (17.74, 552.02, 1971.87 and 1873.72 cm² plant⁻¹). Under the different treatment combinations, D_2S_4 -30th October with 30 × 10 cm spacing had obtained maximum leaf area (25.45, 919.50, 2565.72 and 2376.20 cm² plant⁻¹) which was at par with treatment D_2S_3 -30th October with 25 × 10 cm spacing (25.22, 880.43, 2502.70 and 2318.69) but

	Fresh her	bage yield	Dry herbage yield		Fresh her	bage yield	Dry herbage yield	
	(g p	ant ')	(g pla	nt') 2nd	(q na	2 nd	(q ha	2 nd
	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting	cutting
Treatments	75 DAS	90 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
	Main plo	t - date of	Main plo	t - date of	Main plo	ot - date	Main plo	ot - date of
	sowing (D)		sowing (D)		of sowing (D)		sowing (D)	
D ₁ (15 th Oct)	9.45	11.67	1.32	1.63	42.35	52.33	5.93	7.33
D_{2} (30 th Oct)	10.63	13.53	1.49	1.89	55.76	68.69	7.81	9.62
D, (15 th Nov)	7.85	9.95	1.10	1.39	32.37	41.39	4.53	5.79
SEm±	0.19	0.18	0.03	0.02	1.24	1.21	0.18	0.17
CD at 5%	0.57	0.52	0.08	0.07	3.64	3.56	0.52	0.51
	Sub plot - spacing (S)		Sub plot - spacing (S)		Sub plot - spacing (S)		Sub plot - spacing (S)	
S ₁ (15×10 cm)	6.85	8.58	0.96	1.20	55.75	65.95	7.81	9.23
$S_{2}(20 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	8.50	10.90	1.19	1.53	46.39	57.23	6.50	8.01
S_{2}^{2} (25×10 cm)	10.51	12.98	1.47	1.82	39.16	50.05	5.48	7.01
$S_{1}^{3}(30 \times 10 \text{ cm})$	11.38	14.39	1.59	2.01	32.67	43.31	4.57	6.06
SEm ±	0.22	0.20	0.03	0.03	1.43	1.40	0.21	0.20
CD at 5%	0.66	0.60	0.09	0.08	4.20	4.11	0.60	0.59
	Interactions $(D \times S)$		Interactions (D \times S)		Interactions $(D \times S)$		Interactions $(D \times S)$	
D ₁ S ₁	6.54	8.28	0.92	1.16	58.59	66.74	8.20	9.34
D_1S_2	8.79	10.77	1.23	1.51	43.56	53.85	6.10	7.54
D_1S_3	10.21	12.33	1.43	1.73	36.52	47.63	5.11	6.67
D_1S_4	12.24	15.29	1.71	2.14	30.74	41.11	4.30	5.76
D_2S_1	7.93	10.01	1.11	1.40	68.07	81.41	9.53	11.40
D_2S_2	9.22	12.21	1.29	1.71	63.11	76.44	8.83	10.70
D_2S_3	12.62	15.93	1.77	2.21	51.04	63.70	7.15	8.92
D_2S_4	12.76	15.96	1.79	2.23	40.81	53.19	5.71	7.45
	6.09	7.46	0.85	1.04	40.59	49.70	5.68	6.96
D_3S_2	7.48	9.73	1.05	1.36	32.52	41.41	4.55	5.80
D ₃ S ₃	8.71	10.69	1.22	1.50	29.93	38.81	4.19	5.43
D_3S_4	9.13	11.92	1.28	1.67	26.44	35.63	3.70	4.99
SEm ±	0.39	0.35	0.05	0.05	2.48	2.43	0.36	0.35
CD at 5%	1.14	1.04	0.16	0.15	7.28	7.12	1.05	1.01

Table 3. Fresh herbage yield (g plant⁻¹), Dry herbage yield (g plant⁻¹), Fresh herbage yield (q ha⁻¹) and Dry herbage yield (q ha⁻¹) at different treatments and their interactions.

significantly superior to left over treatments while, it was minimum (15.74, 436.48, 1781.06 and 1669.19 cm² plant⁻¹) in the treatment combination of D_3S_1 -15th November with 15 × 10 cm spacing.

Leaf area index

The different dates of sowing, spacing and combination treatment showed significant effect at all the growth stages except interaction at 60–90 DAS which were non-significant depicted in Table 1. The maximum leaf area index (1.90, 7.38 and 10.81) was registered in main treatment D_2 -30th October while, it was minimum (01.20, 5.56 and 8.68) in D_3 -15th November. From the sub treatment, S_1 -15 × 10 cm spacing had obtained higher (1.87, 8.35 and 12.66) while S_4 -30 × 10 cm spacing obtained lower (1.30, 5.09 and 7.48) leaf area index. Among the different treatment combinations, the maximum (2.40, 9.68 and 14.47) and minimum (1.03, 4.36 and 6.64) leaf area index were recorded in the treatment D_2S_1 -30th October with 15 × 10 cm spacing and D_3S_4 -15th November with 30 × 10 cm spacing at 30–60, 60–90 DAS and 90 DAS harvest respectively.



Fig. 1. Fresh herbage yield (g plant⁻¹) at different treatments and their interaction.

Leaf area duration (cm² day⁻¹)

Leaf area duration were significantly influenced with the different dates of sowing and spacing but showed non-significant effect to the interaction treatments mentioned in Table 1. The leaf area duration was found maximum (12336.54, 47423.11 and 69161.46 cm² day⁻¹) and minimum (7772.19, 35675.69 and 55603.65 cm² day⁻¹) in main treatment D_2 -30th October and D₃-15th November. In the sub treatments, $S_4-30 \times 10$ cm spacing had obtained maximum leaf area duration (11698.44, 45800.54 and 67321.10 cm² day⁻¹) while $S_1 - 15 \times 10$ cm spacing had the minimum (8411.67, 37559.25 and 56982.12 cm² day⁻¹). Among the different treatment combinations, D₂S₄-30th October with 30×10 cm spacing were accumulated higher leaf area duration (1474.27, 52274.71 and 74125.26 cm² day⁻¹) while it was lower (6784.42, 33263.80 and 51754.45 cm² day⁻¹) in D_2S_1 -15th November with 15 \times 10 cm spacing at 30–60, 60–90 DAS and 90 DAS harvest respectively.

Crop growth rate $(g g^{-1} da y^{-1})$

The crop growth rate significantly influenced by the different dates of sowing and their interaction during all the growth stages except at 90 DAS harvest but spacing shows significant effect throughout growth periods as shown in Table 2. The maximum crop growth rate (0.28, 0.49 and 0.50 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) was observed in main treatment D₂–30th October while the minimum (0.23, 0.45 and 0.50 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) in D₃–15th November. Among the sub treatments, S₁–15 × 10 cm spacing and S₄–30 × 10 cm spacing recorded maximum (0.33, 0.62 and 0.71 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) and minimum



Fig. 2. Dry herbage yield (g plant⁻¹) at different treatments and their interaction.

(0.19, 0.34 and 0.35 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) crop growth rate respectively. Under the different treatment combinations, $D_2S_1-30^{th}$ October with 15×10 cm spacing was recorded maximum crop growth rate (0.37, 0.67 and 0.71 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) followed by treatment $D_1S_1-15^{th}$ October with 15×10 cm spacing (0.31, 0.62 and 0.71) but was significantly superior to remaining treatments while the minimum (0.18, 0.34 and 0.35 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) in $D_3S_4-15^{th}$ November with 30×10 cm spacing at 30-60, 60-90 DAS and 90 DAS harvest respectively.

Relative growth rate $(g \ cm^{-2} \ day^{-1})$

The relative growth rate showed significant effect to different dates of sowing and spacing except at 90 DAS harvest while showed non-significant effect to their interaction. The maximum relative growth rate (0.019, 0.037 and 0.0389 g cm⁻² day⁻¹) was recorded in main treatment D₂-30th October while, minimum $(0.013, 0.035 \text{ and } 0.0385 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ in D₃-15th November. In the sub treatments, $S_4 - 30 \times 10$ cm spacing had obtained maximum relative growth rate $(0.019, 0.038 \text{ and } 0.038 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ while S₁-15 × 10 cm spacing obtained minimum (0.013, 0.034 and $0.039 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$). Under the different treatment combinations, D_2S_4 -30th October with 30 × 10 cm spacing was recorded maximum relative growth rate $(0.0214 \text{ and } 0.0385 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ while the minimum $(0.0105 \text{ and } 0.0320 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ was recorded in the treatment combination of D₂S₁-15th November with 15×10 cm spacing at 30–60 and 60–90 DAS respectively. At 90 DAS harvest, the maximum relative growth rate (0.0397 g cm⁻² day⁻¹) was observed in interaction treatment D₁S₂-15th October with 20 \times 10 while the minimum (0.0383 g cm⁻² day⁻¹) was



Fig. 3. Fresh herbage yield (q ha⁻¹) at different treatments and their interaction.

observed in treatment D_1S_4 -15th October with 30 × 10 cm spacing as shown in Table 2.

Effect of sowing dates and spacing on herbage yield parameters

The significant variations were observed on herbage yield viz., fresh and dry leaf yield (g plant⁻¹ and q ha⁻¹) with the different date of sowing, spacing and their interaction at 75 and 90 days after sowing. The data of herbage yield parameters are mentioned in Table 3 and graphically depicted in a Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Leaf yield (g plant¹)

The maximum fresh (10.63 and 13.53 g plant⁻¹) and dry (1.49 and 1.89 g plant-1) leaf yield was observed in main treatment D₂-30th October while the minimum fresh (7.85 and 9.95 g plant⁻¹) and dry (1.10 and 1.39 g plant⁻¹) leaf yield was in D₃-15th November. Among the sub treatments, $S_4 - 30 \times 10$ cm spacing recorded maximum fresh (11.38 and 14.39 g plant⁻¹) and dry (1.59 and 2.01 g plant⁻¹) leaf yield while S_1 -15 × 10 cm spacing recorded minimum fresh (6.85 and 8.58 g plant⁻¹) and dry (0.96 and 1.20 g plant⁻¹) leaf yield. Under the different treatment combinations, $D_2S_4-30^{th}$ October with 30×10 cm spacing was recorded maximum fresh (12.76 and 15.96 g plant⁻¹) and dry (1.79 and 2.23 g plant⁻¹) leaf yield which was followed by treatment $D_2S_3-30^{\text{th}}$ October with 25×10 cm spacing and $D_1S_4 - 15^{\text{th}}$ October with 30×10 cm spacing but was significantly superior to remaining treatments while the minimum fresh (6.09 and 7.46 g plant⁻¹) and dry (0.85 and 1.04 g plamt⁻¹) leaf yield was recorded in D_3S_1 -15th November with 15 × 10 cm spacing at 75 and 90 DAS respectively.



Fig. 4. Dry herbage yield (q ha⁻¹) at different treatments and their interaction.

Leaf yield (q hectare⁻¹)

Similarly, the maximum fresh $(55.76 \text{ and } 68.69 \text{ q } \text{ha}^{-1})$ and dry (7.81 and 9.62 q ha⁻¹) leaf yield was observed in main treatment D₂-30th October whereas the minimum fresh (32.37 and 41.39 q ha⁻¹) and dry (4.53 and 5.79 q ha⁻¹) leaf yield was in D₃-15th November. Unlike leaf yield g plant⁻¹, the sub treatment S₁-15 \times 10 cm spacing recorded maximum fresh (55.75 and 65.95 q ha⁻¹) and dry (7.81 and 9.23 q ha⁻¹) leaf yield while S_4 -30 × 10 cm spacing recorded minimum fresh (32.67 and 43.31 q ha⁻¹) and dry (4.57 and 6.06 q ha⁻¹) leaf yield q ha-1. From the interaction treatments, the maximum fresh (68.07 and 81.41 q ha⁻¹) dry (9.53 and 11.40 q ha⁻¹) herbage yield were obtained in treatment D_2S_1 -30th October with 15 × 10 cm spacing while minimum fresh (26.44 and 35.63 q ha⁻¹) and dry (3.70 and 4.99 g ha⁻¹) herbage yield were found in treatment D_3S_4 -15th November with 30 × 10 cm spacing at 75 and 90 DAS respectively.

DISCUSSION

In our studies, crop sown on $D_2 - 30^{th}$ October recorded maximum growth and herbage yield and $S_4-30 \times 10$ cm spacing had obtained maximum leaf area, leaf area duration, relative growth rate and herbage yield plant⁻¹. While, higher leaf area index, crop growth rate plant⁻¹ and herbage yield ha⁻¹ was observed in $S_1-15 \times 10$ cm spacing. This could be because the kasuri methi crop experienced conductive environmental conditions throughout its growth when sown at the correct time and at wider spacing, which might have given the plants an adequate opportunity of photosynthesis, so the leaves bearing capacity increased resulting in magnificent growth and the production of the more leaves, leaf area, leaf area index and leaf area duration per plant. Improvements in overall growth, i.e., plant height, number of primary branches plant⁻¹ and number of leaves plant⁻¹ due to optimal date of sowing and spacing, along with improved net photosynthesis towards reproduction structure allow the plant to gather more heat units by utilize soil nutrients and moisture, accumulating more glucose in their tissue, which is reflected in total plant biomass and economic yield, might have greatly increased the fresh and dry weight of plants whereas delayed sowing did not allow enough time for vegetative growth, resulting in a weak plant canopy and a decrease in fresh and dry weight (Sowmya et al. 2017). Widest spacing gives maximum number of leaves and herbage yield per plant as we seen in our observation but herbage yield per ha were found maximum in interaction treatment D₂S₁-30th October with 15×10 cm spacing could be due to the reduction in the number of plants per unit area coupled with low plant-to-plant competition (Al-Dalain et al. 2012). The closer spacing produced significantly higher fresh and dry herb yield per hectare, as compared to wider spacing might be due to a greater number of plants per unit area. Similar results were observed earlier by Rana (2015), Varghese et al. (2015) in fenugreek and Sharma et al. (2016) in coriander.

CONCLUSION

Based on one year of research data from twelve treatments of varied date of sowing and spacing, following conclusion could be drawn :

The most effective date of sowing for best growth and yield of kasuri methi plant was 30^{th} October (D₂).

However, Kasuri methi plant seeded at 30×10 cm spacing (S₄) was found ideal for crop development and herbage yield plant⁻¹ because wider spacing gave proper place for spreading which resulted in a greater number of branches, florets per plant, pods per floret and seeds per pod, resulting in more seed yield per plant.

Whereas, 15×10 cm spacing (S₃) was effective for herbage yield hectare⁻¹. It may be because closer spac-

ing produced greater number of plants per unit area.

For leaf purpose, the most effective interaction treatment was $D_2S_1-30^{th}$ October with 15×10 cm spacing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

College of Horticulture (RVSKVV, Gwalior), Mandasur, India is acknowledged for providing help during this study.

REFERENCES

- Aggarwal KB, Ranjan JK, Rathore SS, Saxena SN, Mishra BK (2013) Changes in physical and biochemical properties of fenugreek (*Trigonella* sp. L.) leaf during different growth stages. *Int J Seed Spices* 3(1): 31—35.
- Al-Dalain SA, Abdel-Ghani AH, Al-Dalaeen JA, Thalaen HA (2012) Effect of planting date and spacing on growth and yield of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) under irrigated conditions. *Pak J Biol Sci* 15(23): 1126—1132.
- Anupama G, Hegde LN, Hegde NK, Devappa V, Mastiholi AB Nishani S (2017) Effect of nitrogen and spacing levels on physiological and yield parameters of Kasuri methi (*Trigonella corniculata* L.) var Pusa Kasuri. *Int J Curr Microb* Appl Sci 6(9): 723–733.
- Beadle CL, Coombs JC, Hall DP, Long SP, Scurlo CO (1985) Plant Growth Analysis. The techniques in bio productivity and photosynthesis (ed) by, JMO. Pergamon Press 20—25.
- Chandan TK, Lakshminarayana D, Seenivasan N, Joshi V, Kumar SP (2021) Growth and yield of Kasuri methi (*Trigonella corniculata* L.) var. Pusa Kasuri as influenced by different organic manures and biofertilizers under Telangana conditions. *Int J Horticult Food Sci* 3(2): 26–30.
- Gardner FP, Pearce RB, Mitchel RL (1985) Physiology of Crop plants. Growth and Development. The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.
- Halesh DP, Gowda MC, Farooqi AA, Vasundhara M, Srinivasappa KN (2000) Effects of dates of sowing and spacing on growth and yield in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Spices and aromatic plants : Challenges and opportunities in the new century, contributory papers. *Centennial Conf Spices Aromatic Pl, Calicut*, Kerala, India 20(3): 129–132.
- Kumawat SR, Singh UB, Bagenia PS (2018) Constraints pertaining to fenugreek (*Trigonella corniculata*) production technology in Rajasthan, India. *Int J Curr Microb Appl Sci* 7 (10): 3516—3523.
- Rana SC (2015) Influence of spacing and number of leaf cuttings on seed yield in fenugreek. *Leg Res* 38(6): 858—860.
- Sharma A, Naruka IS, Shaktawat RPS (2016) Effect of row spacing and nitrogen on growth and yield of coriander (*Cori*andrum sativum L.). J Krishi Vigyan 5(1): 49–53.
- Singh S, Buttar GS, Singh SP, Brar DS (2005) Effects of date of

sowing and row spacing on yield of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum). J Med Aromatic Pl Sci 27(4): 629-630.

Sowmya PT, Naruka IS, Shaktawat RPS, Kushwah SS (2017)

Effect of sowing dates and stage of pinching on growth, yield and quality of fenugreek (*Trigonella Foenum-graecum* L.). *Int J Bio-res Stress Manag* 8(1): 091—095.

Varghese A (2015) Studies on effect of cutting and intrarow spa-

cing on leaf and seed yield of fenugreek (Trigonella foenumgraecum L.). MSc thesis (Unpub) Dr YSP University of Horticulture and Forestry Solan (Nauni), HP.

- Watson DJ (1952) The physiological basis of variation in yield.
- Adv Agron 4: 101 148.Watson DJ (1974) The dependence of net assimilation rate on leaf-area index. Ann Bot 22(1): 37-54.