

Effect of Irrigation Scheduling and Fertigation on Vegetative Growth of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv Bhagwa under Semi-Arid

Manisha Jangir, K. K. Meena, D. K. Sarolia,
Yogesh Kumar Sharma, Nupur Sharma

Received 15 June 2022, Accepted 10 February 2023, Published on 16 March 2023

ABSTRACT

The field experiment to study the “Effect of Drip Irrigation Scheduling and Fertigation on Growth, Yield and Quality of Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv Bhagwa” was conducted at Horticulture farm, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura (Jaipur-Rajasthan) during 2019-20 and 2020-21. The experiment comprised of 12 treatment combinations consisting of 3 drip irrigation levels (50%, 75% and 100% at PE level) and 4 fertigation levels (100%, 75%, 50% of recommended dose of fertilizers through drip and 100% of RDF as basal dose). The experiment was laid out in factorial Randomized Block Design. The experimental results revealed that among different treatment combinations maximum

values for the Vegetative growth characters such as gain in plant height (Plant spread [(E-W) and (N-S)], LAI and minimum values for initiation of flowering (days) after pruning and fruit set to maturity (days) was found under the treatment I₃F₂ (100% irrigation at PE level + 100 % RDF through drip) which was found the best for vegetative characteristics.

Keywords Pomegranate, Fertigation, Irrigation scheduling, Vegetative growth.

INTRODUCTION

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L., Punicaceae) is a shrub originated in central Asia regions, from Iran to the Himalayas in northern India, and is one of the oldest known fruit crops. Pomegranate is nowadays widely cultivated in commercial orchards in Iran, India, China, and Afghanistan and in many of the Mediterranean basin countries, including Turkey, Greece, Italy, France, Spain and Portugal (Artés *et al.* 2000, Holland *et al.* 2009, Matthaïou *et al.* 2014, Pekmezci and Erkan 2003). In India, it is extensively grown in Maharashtra (Nashik, Solapur, Ahmednagar, Pune, Sangli and Wardha districts) followed by Karnataka (Chitradurga, Bellary, Tumkur, Bijapur, Bagalkot), Gujarat (Kachchh, Banas Kantha, Mehsana). The other states where pomegranate is cultivated are Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana and Tamilnadu. Pomegranate fruits are traditionally eaten fresh or consumed in processed products such as juice, sherbets, jams, anardana (dried arils), jellies,

Manisha Jangir^{1*}, Dr K.K. Meena², Dr D. K. Sarolia³, Dr Yogesh Kumar Sharma⁴, Nupur Sharma⁵

¹PhD Student, ²Associate Professor, ³Principal Scientist, ⁴Assistant Professor, ⁵Subject Matter Specialist

¹Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura 302018, Jaipur, India

²Department of Horticulture, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

³Central Institute of Arid Horticulture, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

⁴Department of Horticulture, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur, India

⁵Agronomy, KVK, Sawai Madhopur, Rajasthan, India

Email : Manishajangir94@gmail.com

*Corresponding author

carbonated drinks, syrups, garnish and deserts (Al-Maiman and Ahmad, 2002; Kays, 1999; Opara *et al.* 2009). Water and fertilizer management are two crucial aspects of fruit production, especially under areas of water scarcity. Rajasthan providing congenial environment for pomegranate holds a vast opportunity to harness the favorable conditions for quality pomegranate production. Application of fertilizers through drip along with the irrigation water i.e., fertigation not only saves the amount of water and fertilizers but also known to have positive influence on the quality of fruits by providing optimum amount of fertilizers at right stage and directly to the root zone of the plant. Irrigation water application under arid conditions had been a major hurdle for the farmers. To manage this, the precise application of water through irrigation scheduling will help farmers to be able to estimate the amount and frequency of irrigation water. Keeping the above points under consideration, the present research experiment was conducted at Horticulture Farm, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site and climate

The experiment was laid out at Horticulture Farm, RARI, Durgapura, Jaipur (Rajasthan). The region falls under Agro-Climatic Zone III- A (Semi-Arid Eastern Plain). Durgapura is situated at 26.5° North latitude, 75.47° East longitude and an altitude of 390 meters above mean sea level in Jaipur district of Rajasthan. This region has a typical semi-arid climate, characterized by hot dry summers and dry winters. The average rainfall of this region ranges from 500-700 mm per year. More than 90% of rainfall is received during July to September with scanty showers during winter months because of the western depression. The maximum temperature ranges from 28 to 45°C during May and June, while in December and January, it falls below 3°C, evaporation ranges from 1.3-17.5 mm/day.

Soil of the experimental field

In order to determine the physical and chemical properties and fertility of soil, the soil samples were collected with the help of screw auger up to the depth

of 45 cm. The soil samples were taken from each treatment. The collected soil samples were mixed thoroughly on a clean piece of cloth and the bulk reduced by quartering so that about 500 g of composite sample was obtained.

Collected samples were brought to the laboratory and spread on a thick brown paper. Stones, pieces of roots, leaves and other decomposed organic residues were removed. Large lumps of moist soil were broken by hand. It was air dried at 20-25°C and 20 to 60% relative humidity (Jackson 1973). After air drying soil samples were crushed gently in pestle and mortar and sieved through 92 mm sieve. Grounded samples were stored in glass containers. The grounded samples were mixed well before a sample was weighed for analysis. The soil of experimental field was loamy sand in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction, poor in organic carbon, low in available nitrogen and medium in available phosphorus and potassium status.

Vegetative growth characteristics

Gain in plant height (m) : The plant height was measured from the base of the plant to the highest point of the crown with help of measuring scale and expressed in meter.

Plant spread [E-W, N-S (m)]: Plant spread was measured in two opposite directions (E-W and N-S) with the help of measuring tape and average spread of the plant was calculated in meter.

Leaf area index : It was taken with the help of canopy analyzer (LP-80, LAI meter) between 10 AM-12 PM.

Initiation of flowering (days) : The number of days taken from pruning to bud appearance was observed on individual flower bud and expressed in days.

Fruit set to maturity duration (days) : The number of days taken from fruit set to complete harvest were recorded for individual fruit and expressed in days.

RESULTS

Gain in plant height (m)

The data depicted in Table 1 shows that the gain in

Table 1. Effect of drip irrigation levels and fertigation on gain in plant height (m), and plant spread [E-W, N-S (m)].

| Treatments | Gain in plant height (m) | | | Plant spread (m) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| | Irrigation levels (I) | | | E-W | | | N-S | | |
| | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | Pooled | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | Pooled | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | Pooled |
| I ₁ | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.72 | 0.70 |
| I ₂ | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.87 |
| I ₃ | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.91 |
| SEm± | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| CD (5%) | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| Fertigation levels (F) | | | | | | | | | |
| F ₁ | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.83 | 0.81 |
| F ₂ | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.89 |
| F ₃ | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.86 |
| F ₄ | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.75 |
| SEm± | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| CD (5 %) | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| Interaction (I×F) | | | | | | | | | |
| I ₁ F ₁ | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.69 |
| I ₁ F ₂ | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
| I ₁ F ₃ | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.71 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| I ₁ F ₄ | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.63 |
| I ₂ F ₁ | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.85 |
| I ₂ F ₂ | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.97 | 0.93 |
| I ₂ F ₃ | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.93 | 0.91 |
| I ₂ F ₄ | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.79 |
| I ₃ F ₁ | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.89 |
| I ₃ F ₂ | 0.99 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| I ₃ F ₃ | 0.91 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.94 |
| I ₃ F ₄ | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.82 |
| SEm± | 0.032 | 0.039 | 0.026 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| CD (5 %) | 0.095 | 0.116 | 0.073 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.07 |

plant height was significantly influenced by drip irrigation levels in year 2019-20 and 2020-21. The highest gain in plant height was received in treatment I₃ i.e. 0.91 m and 0.97 m and minimum gain was received in I₁ i.e. 0.66 m and 0.70 m in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. In the pooled data of both the years, treatments I₃ and I₁ showed the maximum (0.94 m) and minimum (0.68 m) gain plant height respectively.

The data on fertigation levels found to be influencing the gain in plant height significantly in both the years i.e. 2019-20 and 2020-21 (Table 1). On the basis of data presented treatment F₂ resulted in the maximum gain in plant height (0.86 m and 0.92 m) and minimum gain in plant height was recorded by the treatment F₄ (0.71 m and 0.75 m) in 2019-20 and

2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that maximum (0.89 m) and minimum (0.73 m) gain in plant height was recorded in treatment F₂ and F₄ respectively.

Interaction effect (I × F) : Interaction effect of drip irrigation levels and fertigation presented in Table 1 showed significant effect on gain in plant height. On the basis of the found data, the maximum gain in plant height (0.99 m and 1.06 m) was recorded in I₃F₂ treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. However, minimum plant height (0.59 m and 0.63 m) was recorded in I₁F₄ treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that maximum gain in plant height (1.03 m) was recorded in the treatment I₃F₂ and minimum gain in plant height (0.61) was

Table 2. Effect of drip irrigation levels and fertigation on leaf area index, Initiation of flowering (days), Fruit set to maturity duration (days).

| Treatments | LAI (Leaf Area Index) | | | Initiation of flowering after pruning (days) | | | Fruit set to maturity (days) | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------|--|---------|--------|------------------------------|---------|--------|
| | Irrigation levels (I) | | | | | | | | |
| | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | Pooled | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | Pooled | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | Pooled |
| I ₁ | 2.18 | 2.23 | 2.20 | 15.52 | 15.37 | 15.45 | 169.08 | 174.92 | 172.00 |
| I ₂ | 2.48 | 2.54 | 2.51 | 15.22 | 15.13 | 15.17 | 159.25 | 165.09 | 162.17 |
| I ₃ | 2.60 | 2.64 | 2.62 | 15.19 | 15.01 | 15.10 | 153.75 | 159.69 | 156.72 |
| SEm± | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 3.22 | 4.12 | 2.61 |
| CD (5 %) | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.90 | 1.09 | 0.69 | 9.45 | 12.07 | 7.45 |
| Fertigation levels (F) | | | | | | | | | |
| F ₁ | 2.37 | 2.42 | 2.40 | 15.38 | 15.21 | 15.30 | 160.67 | 166.63 | 163.65 |
| F ₂ | 2.58 | 2.62 | 2.60 | 15.16 | 15.03 | 15.10 | 155.33 | 161.08 | 158.21 |
| F ₃ | 2.48 | 2.53 | 2.51 | 15.29 | 15.13 | 15.21 | 158.22 | 164.18 | 161.20 |
| F ₄ | 2.24 | 2.31 | 2.28 | 15.42 | 15.30 | 15.36 | 168.56 | 174.37 | 171.47 |
| SEm± | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 3.72 | 4.75 | 3.02 |
| CD (5 %) | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 1.04 | 1.26 | 0.79 | 10.92 | 13.94 | 8.60 |
| Interaction (I×F) | | | | | | | | | |
| I ₁ F ₁ | 2.13 | 2.18 | 2.16 | 15.59 | 15.41 | 15.50 | 169.06 | 174.99 | 172.02 |
| I ₁ F ₂ | 2.32 | 2.37 | 2.34 | 15.37 | 15.23 | 15.30 | 163.44 | 169.16 | 166.30 |
| I ₁ F ₃ | 2.23 | 2.28 | 2.26 | 15.50 | 15.33 | 15.41 | 166.48 | 172.41 | 169.45 |
| I ₁ F ₄ | 2.02 | 2.09 | 2.05 | 15.63 | 15.50 | 15.57 | 177.36 | 183.11 | 180.24 |
| I ₂ F ₁ | 2.43 | 2.49 | 2.46 | 15.29 | 15.17 | 15.23 | 159.23 | 165.15 | 162.19 |
| I ₂ F ₂ | 2.64 | 2.69 | 2.67 | 15.07 | 14.99 | 15.03 | 153.93 | 159.65 | 156.79 |
| I ₂ F ₃ | 2.54 | 2.60 | 2.57 | 15.20 | 15.09 | 15.15 | 156.80 | 162.72 | 159.76 |
| I ₂ F ₄ | 2.30 | 2.38 | 2.34 | 15.33 | 15.26 | 15.29 | 167.05 | 172.82 | 169.94 |
| I ₃ F ₁ | 2.55 | 2.59 | 2.57 | 15.26 | 15.05 | 15.15 | 153.73 | 159.75 | 156.74 |
| I ₃ F ₂ | 2.77 | 2.80 | 2.79 | 15.04 | 14.87 | 14.96 | 148.62 | 154.43 | 151.52 |
| I ₃ F ₃ | 2.66 | 2.70 | 2.68 | 15.17 | 14.97 | 15.07 | 151.38 | 157.40 | 154.39 |
| I ₃ F ₄ | 2.41 | 2.47 | 2.44 | 15.30 | 15.14 | 15.22 | 161.28 | 167.17 | 164.22 |
| SEm± | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.48 | 6.45 | 8.23 | 5.23 |
| CD (5 %) | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 1.80 | 2.18 | 1.38 | 18.91 | 24.15 | 14.90 |

I₁ - 50% irrigation at PE
 I₂ - 75% irrigation of PE
 I₃ - 100% irrigation of PE
 F₁ - 100 % RDF as basal dose plant¹

F₂ - 100 % RDF at weekly interval plant¹
 F₃ - 75 % RDF at weekly interval plant¹
 F₄ - 50 % RDF at weekly interval plant¹

recorded in the treatment I₁F₄.

Plant spread [E-W, N-S (m)]

East-West (E-W) : A perusal of data from Table 1 revealed that different irrigation levels significantly influenced the tree spread (E-W). Treatment I₃ recorded maximum gain in plant spread (0.91 m and 0.97 m) whereas treatment I₁ recorded minimum increase in plant spread (0.66 m and 0.70 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. The highest pooled mean value of gain in plant spread (E-W) of 0.94 m was registered

by the treatment I₃ while the minimum pooled value of 0.68 m was registered in treatment I₁.

Further, a perusal of data from the same table revealed that fertigation levels significantly influenced the gain in tree spread (E-W). Treatment F₂ recorded maximum gain in East-West plant spread (0.86 m and 0.92 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Whereas, treatment F₄ recorded minimum increase in plant spread (0.71 m and 0.75 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. The highest pooled mean value of gain in plant spread (E-W) of 0.89 m was registered

by the treatment F_2 while the minimum pooled value of 0.73 m was registered in treatment F_4 .

Interaction effect ($I \times F$) : A perusal of data regarding interaction of both the factors from the same table revealed that interaction of irrigation levels and fertigation levels significantly influenced the gain in tree spread (E-W). Treatment I_3F_2 recorded maximum gain in East-West plant spread (0.91 m and 1.06 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Whereas minimum gain in tree spread (E-W) was recorded under the treatment I_1F_4 (0.59 m and 0.63 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. The highest pooled mean value of gain in plant spread (E-W) of 1.03 m was registered by the treatment I_3F_2 while the minimum pooled value of 0.61 m was registered in treatment I_1F_4 .

North-South (N-S) : A perusal of data from Table 1 revealed that different irrigation levels significantly influenced the tree spread (N-S). Treatment I_3 recorded maximum gain in plant spread (0.88 m and 0.93 m) whereas treatment I_1 recorded minimum increase in plant spread (0.68 m and 0.72 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. The highest pooled mean value of gain in plant spread (N-S) of 0.91 m was registered by the treatment I_3 while the minimum pooled value of 0.70 m was registered in treatment I_1 .

Further, a perusal of data from the same table revealed that fertigation levels significantly influenced the gain in tree spread (N-S). Treatment F_2 recorded maximum gain in East-West plant spread (0.85 m and 0.92 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Whereas, treatment F_4 recorded minimum increase in plant spread (0.72 m and 0.77 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. The highest pooled mean value of gain in plant spread (N-S) of 0.89 m was registered by the treatment F_2 while the minimum pooled value of 0.75 m was registered in treatment F_4 .

Interaction effect ($I \times F$) : A perusal of data regarding interaction of both the factors from the same table revealed that interaction of irrigation levels and fertigation levels significantly influenced the gain in tree spread (N-S). Treatment I_3F_2 recorded maximum gain in North-South plant spread (0.94 m and 1.01 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Whereas min-

imum gain in tree spread (N-S) was recorded under the treatment I_1F_4 (0.61 m and 0.65 m) in 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. The highest pooled mean value of gain in plant spread (E-W) of 0.97 m was registered by the treatment I_3F_2 while the minimum pooled value of 0.63 m was registered in treatment I_1F_4 .

Leaf area index (LAI)

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that the different irrigation levels significantly affected the LAI, where mean maximum LAI (2.60 and 2.64) was obtained in treatment I_3 and minimum mean LAI (2.18 and 2.23) was found in treatment I_1 in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that the mean maximum (2.62) and mean minimum LAI (2.20) was observed in treatment I_3 and I_1 respectively.

Similarly, the data presented revealed that the different fertigation levels significantly affected the LAI, where mean maximum LAI (2.58 and 2.62) was obtained in treatment F_2 and minimum mean LAI (2.24 and 2.31) was found in treatment F_4 in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that the mean maximum (2.60) and mean minimum LAI (2.28) was observed in treatment F_2 and F_4 respectively.

Interaction effect ($I \times F$) : Interaction effect of drip irrigation levels and fertigation presented in table showed significant effect on LAI. On the basis of the found data, the maximum LAI (2.77 and 2.80) was recorded in I_3F_2 treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. However, minimum LAI (2.02 and 2.09) was recorded in I_1F_4 treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that maximum LAI (2.79) was recorded in the treatment I_3F_2 and minimum LAI (2.05) was recorded in the treatment I_1F_4 .

Initiation of flowering after pruning (days)

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that the different irrigation levels significantly affected the Initiation of flowering after pruning, where mean minimum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning

(15.19 and 15.01) was obtained in treatment I₃ and maximum mean days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.52 and 15.37) was found in treatment I₁ in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that the mean minimum (15.10) and mean maximum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.45) was observed in treatment I₃ and I₁ respectively.

Similarly, the data presented revealed that the different fertigation levels significantly affected the days to Initiation of flowering after pruning, where mean minimum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.16 and 15.03) was obtained in treatment F₂ and maximum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.42 and 15.30) was found in treatment F₄ in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that the mean minimum (15.10) and mean maximum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.36) was observed in treatment F₂ and F₄ respectively.

Interaction effect (I × F) : Interaction effect of drip irrigation levels and fertigation presented showed significant effect on days to Initiation of flowering after pruning. On the basis of the found data, the minimum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.04 and 14.87) was recorded in I₃F₂ treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively (Table 2). However, maximum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.63 and 15.50) was recorded in I₁F₄ treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that minimum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (14.96) was recorded in the treatment I₃F₂ and maximum days to Initiation of flowering after pruning (15.57) was recorded in the treatment I₁F₄.

Fruit set to maturity duration (days)

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that the different irrigation levels significantly affected the days for fruit set to maturity, where mean minimum days for fruit set to maturity (153.75 and 159.69) was obtained in treatment I₃ and maximum mean days for fruit set to maturity (169.08 and 174.92) was found in treatment I₁ in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that the mean

minimum (156.72) and mean maximum days for fruit set to maturity (172.00) was observed in treatment I₃ and I₁ respectively.

Similarly, the data presented revealed that the different fertigation levels significantly affected the days for fruit set to maturity, where mean minimum days for fruit set to maturity (155.33 and 161.08) was obtained in treatment F₂ and maximum days for fruit set to maturity (168.56 and 174.37) was found in treatment F₄ in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that the mean minimum (158.21) and mean maximum days for fruit set to maturity (171.46) was observed in treatment F₂ and F₄ respectively (Table 2).

Interaction effect (I × F) : Interaction effect of drip irrigation levels and fertigation presented in Table 2 showed significant effect on days for fruit set to maturity. On the basis of the found data, the minimum days for fruit set to maturity (148.62 and 154.43) was recorded in I₃F₂ treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. However, maximum days for fruit set to maturity (177.36 and 183.11) was recorded in I₁F₄ treatment combination in year 2019-20 and 2020-21 respectively. Pooled data for both the years showed that minimum days for fruit set to maturity (151.52) was recorded in the treatment I₃F₂ and maximum days for fruit set to maturity (180.24) was recorded in the treatment I₁F₄.

DISCUSSION

It is apparent from the data presented in Tables 1 – 2 given above that different treatments had significant influence on vegetative growth parameters. The increase in the growth rate of plant height and canopy in drip irrigation might be due to the continuous supply of water to the plant. This sustains the soil moisture at optimal level reducing water stress to the plant resulting in superior vigour (Subramanian *et al.* 1997). Agrawal and Agrawal (2007) found that the growth parameters like plant height and canopy spread of pomegranate was improved under trickle irrigated plants as compared to the control i.e. surface irrigation. Prasad *et al.* (2003) also found that plants irrigated through drip were vigorous than the basin irrigation system. Bhardwaj *et al.* (1995), Maas and

Van Der (1996) also reported that vegetative growth of the plants was found to be influenced positively by even distribution of water in the soil through drip irrigation to fruit trees at young age. Plant height and canopy spread were significantly better under drip irrigation at alternate day over conventional method in aonla (Chandra and Jindal 2001). The results obtained in the present study are in conformism with those reported by Dubey (1993) in Guava, Chandra (2000) in aonla and ber and Ojha (2000) in aonla plus guava cropping models at early stage of plant growth.

Among various fertigation levels higher doses of fertilizer showed higher, plant height, plant spread and leaf area index. It might be owing to the poised use of fertilizers ascribed to better nutritional environment in the rhizosphere besides in plant system. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are the most crucial of all mineral nutrients for growth and development of the plant as it is the foundation of ultimate components of all living matter *per se* (Throughton *et al.* 1974). The increased vegetative growth of the plant could be credited to the regulated supply of N to the active root zone of the plant which might have caused minimum time lag between application and uptake of nutrients resulting in better cell turgidity which had led to cell enlargement and better cell wall development thus resulting in better plant vigour (Viers 1972). Abundant nitrogen supply with ample opportunity for carbohydrate synthesis is well-known to boost vegetative growth and reduce flowering (Corbesier *et al.* 2002). The high endogenous ratio of carbohydrate to nitrogen (C : N ratio) promotes flowering whereas high nitrogen availability resulting low C:N ratio promotes vegetative growth (Bernier *et al.* 1981, Rideout *et al.* 1992). Fertigation consequences in increase in height of the plant, number of new shoots emerged from pruned branches, shoot length and canopy spread to the quick response of food material absorbed by the roots and transmission of the same to the main trunk of such trees, moreover, in such tress of the carbohydrates and nitrogen were utilized for the vegetative growth, thereby, causing stimulated production of height of the plants, more number of new shoots, shoot length and increased canopy spread (Singh 2012). Baruah and Mohan (1991) told that potassium application increases vegetative growth parameters such as LAI in banana. Both nitrogen

and potassium may be introduced nutrient for foliar growth and development. Klein *et al.* (1989) studied the response of Starking Delicious apple tree to four nitrogen fertigation levels through drip irrigation (50, 150, 250 and 400 kg N ha⁻¹) and observed that vegetative growth was found positively correlated with the amount of nitrogen used. The present research is in close conformity with the study findings of Shirgure *et al.* (2001) in Nagpur mandarin, Meena *et al.* (2018) at Durgapura in pomegranate, Kumar *et al.* (2013) in guava.

It is apparent from the observed data that higher levels of drip irrigation significantly influenced the vegetative growth characteristics in pomegranate. When the irrigation water is applied through drip, plant may benefit maximum from regular frequent fertigation as contrasting to conventional basal application. In banana plant height, stem girth and leaf area index increased with nitrogen fertigation through drip (Srinivas 1997). Similarly Sharma *et al.* (2005) witnessed that growth attributes were higher in plants receiving fertilizers through drip as compared to soil application of fertilizers in pomegranate. The present investigation is in line of the Agrawal *et al.* (2005) in papaya and Singh *et al.* (2006) in pomegranate.

CONCLUSION

Since water and nutrient are the most crucial inputs for optimum growth of a plant, after thorough study of these components with regard to the crop and the environmental conditions, it may be concluded that among various irrigation and fertigation levels, treatment I₃F₂ (100% irrigation at PE level + 100 % RDF through drip) was found the best for vegetative characteristics in pomegranate under semi-arid conditions of Rajasthan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The present research paper contains the observations from the doctoral research of the corresponding author and approved by the Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, thus we want to thank the institution for rendering the required facilities for successful completion of the present work. We are further thankful to Department of Science

and Technology, New Delhi for providing financial assistance for the present research work.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal N, Agrawal S (2007) Effect of different levels of drip irrigation on the growth and yield of pomegranate under Chhattisgarh region. *Orissa J Horticult* 35 : 38—46.
- Agrawal N, Sharma HG, Dudey P, Dixit A (2005) Effect of fertigation through water soluble fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of papaya. National seminar on commercialization of horticulture in non-traditional area. CIAH, Bikaner pp 45.
- Al-Maiman SA, Ahmad D (2002) Changes in physical and chemical properties of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) fruit maturation. *Food Chem* 76 : 437—441.
- Artes F, Tudela JA, Villaescusa R (2000) Thermal postharvest treatments for improving pomegranate quality and shelf life. *Postharvest Biol Technol* 18 : 245—251.
- Baruah PJ, Mohan NK (1991) Effect of potassium on LAI, phytochrome and number of leaves of banana. *Banana Newsletter* 14 : 21—22.
- Bernier G, Kinet JM, Sachs RM (1981) The Physiology of flowering, 1 : CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Bhardwaj SK, Bhandari AP, Sharma IP, Sharma JP, Tripathi D (1995) Soil water distribution and growth of apple plants under drip irrigation. *J Ind Soc Soil Sci* 43 : 323—327.
- Chandra A, Jindal PC (2001) Sustainable fruit production in arid regions for export. *Curr Agric* 25(1/2) : 13—16.
- Chandra S (2000) Efficacy of drip irrigation and mulching on aonla + ber cropping system in sodic soil. PhD thesis. NDUAT, Faizabad.
- Corbesier L, Bernier G, Perilleux C (2002) C: N ratio in creases in the phloem sap during floral transition of the long day plants *Sinapis alba* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Pl Cell Physiol* 43 (6) : 684—688.
- Dubey AK (1993) Efficiency of drip irrigation system on guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) in wasteland condition. MSc (Agric) thesis. NDUAT, Faizabad.
- Holland D, Hatib K, Bar-Ya'akov I (2009) Pomegranate : Botany, horticulture, breeding. *Hort Rev* 35 : 127—191.
- Jackson ML (1973) In : Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt Ltd, New Delhi.
- Kays SJ (1999) Preharvest factors affecting appearance. *Postharvest Biol Technol* 15 : 233—247.
- Klein I, Levin I, Bar-Yosef B, Assaf R, Berkovitz A (1989) Drip nitrogen fertigation of starking delicious apple trees. *Pl Soil* 119 : 305—314.
- Kumar H, Kotoky U, Devee A (2013) Effect of drip fertigation on growth of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). *The Asian J Horticult* 8 (2) : 534—536.
- Maas R, Van Der (1996) Adjust water application to expected fruit size. *Fruitlet* 86 : 14—15.
- Matthaiou CM, Goutzourelas N, Stagos D, Sarafoglou E, Jamurtas A, Koulocheri SD, Haroutounian SA, Tsatsakis AM, Koureta D (2014) Pomegranate juice consumption increases GSH levels and reduces lipid and protein oxidation in human blood. *Food Chem Toxicol* 73 : 1—6.
- Meena RS, Garg S, Nagar D (2018) Effect of fertigation and micronutrients spray on chlorophyll content of Early Bhagwa Pomegranate Under Semi-Arid Conditions of Rajasthan. *Int J Farm Sci* 8(2) : 136—140.
- Ojha CM (2000) Effect of drip irrigation on growth, flowering, fruiting and soil characteristics in aonla + guava cropping model under sodic soil. PhD thesis. NDUAT, Faizabad.
- Opara UL, Al-Ani MR, Al-Shuaibi YS (2009) Physico-chemical properties, vitamin C content and antimicrobial properties of pomegranate fruit (*Punica granatum* L.). *Food Bioprocess Technol* 2 : 315—321.
- Pekmezci M, Erkan M (2003) Pomegranate. In : Grossk (ed). Postharvest quality maintenance guidelines. USDA, Agriculture Research Service. Agriculture Handbook, pp 66.
- Prasad RN, Bankar GJ, Vashishtha BB (2003) Effect of drip irrigation on growth, yield and quality of pomegranate in arid region. *Ind J Horticult* 60 : 140—142.
- Rideout JW, Raper CD, Miner GS (1992) Changes in ratio of soluble sugars and free amino nitrogen in the apical meristem during floral transition of tobacco. *Internat J Pl Sci* 153 : 78—88.
- Sharma H, Agrawal A, Dixit A, Dudey P (2005) Effect of fertigation through water soluble fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of pomegranate. National seminar on commercialization of horticulture in non-traditional area. CIAH, Bikaner, pp 35.
- Shirgure PS, Srivastava AK, Singh S (2001) Growth, yield and quality of Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) in relation to irrigation and fertigation. *Ind J Agric Sci* 71 : 547—550.
- Singh G (2012) Influence of pruning intensity and pruning frequency on vegetative and reproductive attributes of sardar guava. *The Asian J Horticult* 7 (1) : 108—112.
- Singh P, Singh AK, Sahu K (2006) Irrigation and fertigation of pomegranate cv Ganesh in Chhattisgarh. *Ind J Horticult* 63 (2) : 148—151.
- Srinivas K (1997) Growth, yield and quality of banana in relation to N-fertigation. *Tropical Agricult* 74 : 260—264.
- Subramanian P, Krishnaswamy S, Devasagayam MM (1997) Study on the evaluation of drip irrigation in comparison with surface irrigation (basin) in coconut. *South Ind Horticult* 45 : 255—258.
- Throughton JH, Morrby J, Currie BG (1974) Investigation of carbon transport in plants. *J Experim Bot* 25 : 684—694.
- Viers FG Jr (1972) Water deficits and plant growth, Vol-III (ed T T Kozlowski). Academic Press Inc, New York and London.