Environment and Ecology 41 (1): 23-28, January-March 2023 ISSN 0970-0420

# Interaction Effect of Plant Spacing and Varieties on Growth, Yield and Quality of Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.)

Sudhanshu Verma, S. Maji, Razauddin, Mayaram, R. C. Meena

Received 31 August 2022, Accepted 10 October 2022, Published on 27 January 2023

## ABSTRACT

The objective of the present study was to assess the influence of different varieties and spacing on horticultural attributes of cabbage. Cultivar Golden Acre, Pusa Drum Head and Pusa Mukta were selected for the study and transplanted planted at three spacing viz., 45 cm x 30 cm, 45 cm x 45 cm and 45 cm x 60 cm laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. The results revealed that the maximum plant height (36.90 cm), number of non wrapped leaves (16.38), leaf length (20.85cm), leaf width (11.74 cm), stem diameter (10.46 cm), plant spread E-W (35.18 cm), N-S (39.36 cm) and weight of untrimmed head (943.28 g) were noted in variety Pusa Drum Head. While, the highest values for the weight of trimmed head (395.89 g), head diameter

Sudhanshu Verma<sup>1</sup>, Razauddin<sup>2</sup>, S. Maji<sup>3\*</sup>, Mayaram<sup>4</sup>, R. C. Meena<sup>5</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Research Scholar, <sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor

Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow 226025, (UP) India

Email: majisutanu@gmail.com \*Corresponding author

(13.83 mm), yield (307.55 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), Vit-C (43.13 mg/100g) and TSS (7.45 °B) were noticed in Golden Acre (V<sub>1</sub>). In general, the lowest values of growth and yield attributes were noted under Pusa Mukta. In respect of plant spacing, the maximum plant height (37.37 cm), number of non wrapped leaves (16.44), leaf length (21.34 cm), leaf width (11.88 cm), stem diameter (10.46 cm), plant spread E-W (34.79 cm), N-S (39.06 cm) and Vit-C (42.58 mg/100g) were recorded in spacing of 45 cm x 45 cm  $(S_2)$  but, the maximum weight of untrimmed head (950.72 g) and trimmed head (356.66 g) and yield (296.08  $gha^{-1}$ ) were found in spacing 45 cm x 30 cm  $(S_1)$  whereas, the maximum head diameter (13.81 mm) was recorded in 45 cm x 60 cm spacing. Thus, S<sub>2</sub> (45 cm x 45 cm) caused better vegetative growth, but, the highest yield parameter was reported in  $S_1$  (45 cm x 30 cm). Therefore, considering the combined effect, cultivar V<sub>1</sub> (Golden Acre) when planted at spacing S<sub>1</sub> (45 cm x 30 cm) may be suggested for production of more cabbage head yield.

**Keywords** Cabbage, Variety, Spacing, Yield, Quality.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) a popular cole crop belongs to Brassicaceae family (2n=2x=18), is native to Western Europe and the Mediterranean Region's Northern Shore (Schlegel 2010, Singh and Kumar 2015). Cabbage is one of the oldest vegetables, having been produced for over 4,000 years (Schlegel 2010) and was the first

cole crop ever grown by humans. Cabbage is one of the top twenty vegetables and a major food source around the world (FAO 1988) having Vitamin C, A, K (Fowke et al. 2003) along with 1.8 g protein, 0.1 g fat, 4.6 g carbohydrate, 0.6 g mineral, 29 mg calcium, 0.8 mg iron and 14.1 mg sodium per 100 g. Cabbage is recognized by its inflated head, which develops when edible buds stiffen, resulting in a large head with thick, closely packed overlapping leaves. Depending on the variety, the head might be spherical, conical, oblong, flat or savoyed. It also contains high amount of sulfhur, amino acids, minerals, carotenes, ascorbic acid, antioxidants and has anti-carcinogenic property (Singh et al. 2009). Cabbage is often used in traditional medicine to treat symptoms related with gastrointestinal diseases (gastritis, peptic and duodenal ulcers, irritable bowel syndrome), as well as small cuts and wounds and mastitis, due to its antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antibacterial characteristics (Samec et al. 2011). It has therapeutic benefit as well, since it provides a cooling impact on the body, promotes appetite, avoids constipation, increases digestive speed, and is especially beneficial to diabetic patients (Jensen 2004, BBS 2010). Thus, it became one of the important edible vegetables in our daily life. Among the various agro-techniques, spacing of crops may be varied on climatic conditions, soil fertility and the suitability of the cultivar for a particular region and a proper spacing can provide the best quality products along with the maximum yield potential. This may be due to less competition for light, nutrients and water than for close spacing (Bairwa et al. 2017). Cultivation of vegetable crops requires a high population density, which is widely used in a variety of crops. Closer spacing has some benefits like to reduce weeds, improve soil protection, increase fertilizer efficiency and increase yield (Neto et al. 2016). Proper spacing is an important factor in ensuring higher yields of the desired Knobs of knolkhol. Dense planting can result in higher yields due to the higher number of plants per unit area, but many reported to have smaller heads and bulbs regardless of variety (Rahman et al. 2007, Prasad et al. 2010, Moniruzzaman 2011).

Keeping these views, the present experiment was conducted under Lucknow subtropical condition having alkaline soil (pH 8.2) to find the suitable variety of cabbage with appropriate spacing to produce superior quality head with higher yield.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Experimental site:** The experiment was done at Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Lucknow (UP) (26°50' N latitude, 80°52' E longitude, 111 meters above mean sea level) during the rabi season of 2020-21. The soil was a light alluvial with a sandy loam texture and a slightly alkaline (pH 8.2). The area receives average annual rainfall 800-1000 mm with average day temperature ranging from 15 to 30°C during rabi season and fall under subtropical climate.

**Planting materials:** Three varieties viz., Golden Acre  $(V_1)$ , Pusa Drum Head  $(V_2)$  and Pusa Mukta  $(V_3)$  were experimented with three spacing 45 cm x 30 cm  $(S_1)$ , 45 cm x 45 cm  $(S_2)$ , 60 cm x 45 cm  $(S_3)$  and treatment lay out was done with two factor RBD. Seed treatment was done with Vitavax 200 WP at 2.5 g/kg of seeds before seed sowing on well prepared nursery. Seedlings (32 days old) transplanting was done on plot of 1.8 m x 1.8 m size, with a 50 cm spacing between neighbouring plots and 1 m between blocks after treating seedlings with Bavistin @ 2 g/l of water.

Observation, data collection and statistical analysis: The observation was made on the growth, yield and quality parameters like plant height, number of non wrapping leaves, leaf length, leaf width, plant spread, weight of head (untrimmed and trimmed), head diameter, head yield (per ha), Vitamin C (Ascorbic acid) and TSS. All the parameters were observed from five randomly sampled plants of each treatment and each replication. To avoid the border effect outer two lines and the outer plants of the middle lines were excluded. The height of the plants was measured from the ground level to the tip of the highest leaves. The length and breadth of the largest leaf were measured from the base of the petiole to the tip. To record the diameter, the cabbage heads were sectioned vertically at the middle position and the horizontal distance from one side to another side of the widest part of the sectioned head. The thickness of the head was measured as the vertical distance from the lower to the uppermost leaves of the head. Physico-chemical

| Treatments                    | Plant height<br>(cm) | No. of non<br>wrapped<br>leaves | Leaf<br>length<br>(cm) | Leaf<br>width<br>(cm) | Stem<br>diameter<br>(cm) | Plant<br>spread<br>(E-W) (cm) | Plant<br>spread<br>(N-S) (cm) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S,                            | 35.41                | 15.00                           | 18.91                  | 10.40                 | 8.63                     | 30.98                         | 36.13                         |
| S,                            | 37.37                | 16.44                           | 21.34                  | 11.88                 | 10.39                    | 34.79                         | 39.06                         |
| S <sub>3</sub>                | 36.43                | 16.33                           | 20.32                  | 11.41                 | 10.21                    | 33.64                         | 38.42                         |
| SE(m)                         | 0.29                 | 0.270                           | 0.27                   | 0.20                  | 0.25                     | 0.51                          | 0.36                          |
| CD                            | 0.82                 | 0.821                           | 0.81                   | 0.62                  | 0.73                     | 1.50                          | 1.06                          |
| V,                            | 35.64                | 14.77                           | 19.22                  | 10.97                 | 8.56                     | 30.62                         | 36.34                         |
| V <sub>2</sub>                | 36.90                | 16.38                           | 20.85                  | 11.74                 | 10.46                    | 35.18                         | 39.36                         |
| V <sub>3</sub>                | 36.67                | 16.22                           | 20.50                  | 10.98                 | 10.22                    | 33.61                         | 38.01                         |
| SÉ(m)                         | 0.27                 | 0.271                           | 0.26                   | 0.21                  | 0.24                     | 0.50                          | 0.35                          |
| CD                            | 0.83                 | 0.820                           | 0.80                   | 0.61                  | 0.71                     | 1.52                          | 1.05                          |
| V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> | 35.17                | 13.67                           | 17.50                  | 10.40                 | 7.70                     | 28.07                         | 34.47                         |
| V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> | 36.03                | 15.33                           | 20.97                  | 11.47                 | 8.63                     | 32.00                         | 37.30                         |
| V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>3</sub> | 35.73                | 15.33                           | 19.20                  | 11.03                 | 9.33                     | 31.80                         | 37.37                         |
| V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> | 35.33                | 15.67                           | 19.57                  | 11.07                 | 9.07                     | 34.17                         | 37.60                         |
| V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> | 39.13                | 18.33                           | 22.47                  | 13.30                 | 12.27                    | 38.87                         | 42.57                         |
| V <sub>3</sub> S <sub>3</sub> | 36.23                | 16.33                           | 20.53                  | 10.87                 | 10.03                    | 32.50                         | 37.60                         |
| V <sub>3</sub> S <sub>1</sub> | 35.73                | 15.67                           | 19.67                  | 9.73                  | 9.13                     | 30.70                         | 36.43                         |
| V <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> | 36.93                | 15.67                           | 20.60                  | 10.87                 | 10.27                    | 33.50                         | 37.30                         |
| V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> | 37.33                | 17.33                           | 21.23                  | 12.33                 | 11.27                    | 36.63                         | 40.30                         |
| SÉ(m)                         | 0.47                 | 0.470                           | 0.46                   | 0.35                  | 0.41                     | 0.86                          | 0.61                          |
| CD                            | 1.41                 | 1.420                           | 1.38                   | 1.07                  | 1.22                     | 2.60                          | 1.83                          |

Table 1. Effect of plant spacing and varieties on growth of cabbage.  $V_1$ -Golden Acre,  $V_2$ -Pusa Drum Head,  $V_3$ -Pusa Mukta,  $S_1$ -45×30 sq cm,  $S_2$ -45×45 sq cm,  $S_3$ -45×60 sq cm.

quality parameters of head were estimated following standard procedures (Ranganna 2001). The recorded data of various observations were analyzed statistically (Sheoran *et al.* 1998) and treatment mean was compared at 5% level of significance. to the competition between the plants for light and nutrients, resulting in increased plant height. These findings were in agreement with Rastogi *et al.* (1987) in radish, Khurana *et al.* (1990) in cauliflower and Hill (2000) in Chinese cabbage.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The data presented in Table 1 revealed a significant effect of plant spacing and varieties on plant height. Among the varieties, V<sub>2</sub> (Pusa Drum Head) have found highest plant height as compare to V<sub>3</sub> (Pusa Mukta) and V<sub>1</sub> (Golden Acre) recorded minimum height. There was the significant effect of plant spacing pacing on plant height and spacing  $S_2$  (45 cm X 45 cm) recorded maximum plant height statistically at par with S<sub>2</sub> (45 cm X 60 cm). Interaction effect of variety and plant spacing also had a significant effect on plant height improvement, where, V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (Pusa Drum Head at 45 cm x 45 cm spacing) showed maximum plant height (39.13 cm) followed by  $V_3S_3$ (Pusa Mukta at 45 cm x 60 cm). Increased plant density coupled with shallow root system limits the availability of space for lateral growth. This leads Similar results were recorded in case of number of non wrapper leaves when  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  as well as  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  had statistically very close number of non wrapper leaves as the maximum number and in combination treatment  $V_2S_2$  showed the highest number of non wrapper leaves. This might be due to lesser competition for nutrients and light amongst the plants with lower plant density. Hence in wider spacing due to the availability of more space and light, the crop might have produced more number of leaves per plant. These results were in conformity with the results of Hill (2000) in Chinese cabbage, Singh (2005) in cauliflower and Agarkar *et al.* (2010) in broccoli.

The maximum length of leaves (20.85 cm) was recorded in  $V_2$  (Pusa Drum Head) at par with  $V_3$  (Pusa Mukta) and minimum leaf length (19.22 cm)

| Treatments                    | Weight of<br>untrimmed<br>head (g) | Weight of<br>trimmed<br>head (g) | Head<br>diameter<br>(mm) | Yield (q/ha) | Vitamin C<br>(mg/100g) | TSS (° Brix) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|
| S,                            | 950.72                             | 356.66                           | 12.53                    | 296.08       | 36.77                  | 5.97         |
| S <sub>2</sub>                | 941.36                             | 325.06                           | 13.68                    | 286.75       | 42.58                  | 6.88         |
| $S_2^2$                       | 927.70                             | 328.92                           | 13.81                    | 283.11       | 41.81                  | 6.86         |
| SE(m)                         | 0.81                               | 0.38                             | 0.29                     | 3.43         | 0.56                   | 0.24         |
| CD                            | 2.47                               | 1.18                             | 0.89                     | 10.38        | 1.70                   | 0.72         |
| $\mathbf{V}_{1}$              | 939.25                             | 395.89                           | 13.83                    | 307.55       | 43.13                  | 7.45         |
| V <sub>2</sub>                | 943.28                             | 338.25                           | 13.80                    | 295.89       | 35.34                  | 6.90         |
| V <sub>2</sub>                | 937.24                             | 276.51                           | 12.40                    | 263.11       | 42.70                  | 5.37         |
| SE(m)                         | 0.81                               | 0.39                             | 0.29                     | 3.43         | 0.56                   | 0.24         |
| CD                            | 2.47                               | 1.18                             | 0.89                     | 10.38        | 1.70                   | 0.72         |
| V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>1</sub> | 945.00                             | 430.35                           | 12.86                    | 317.86       | 40.46                  | 8.4          |
| V <sub>1</sub> S <sub>2</sub> | 935.53                             | 302.70                           | 13.30                    | 304.40       | 42.10                  | 6.1          |
| $V_1S_2$                      | 937.23                             | 454.61                           | 15.33                    | 300.40       | 46.83                  | 7.8          |
| V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> | 953.66                             | 336.23                           | 13.33                    | 295.20       | 28.86                  | 4.6          |
| $V_2 S_2$                     | 952.70                             | 354.27                           | 13.36                    | 312.13       | 37.46                  | 9.8          |
| V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> | 923.49                             | 324.26                           | 14.70                    | 278.53       | 39.70                  | 6.2          |
| V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>1</sub> | 953.50                             | 303.40                           | 11.40                    | 253.73       | 41.00                  | 4.8          |
| $V_{2}S_{2}$                  | 935.85                             | 318.23                           | 14.40                    | 271.73       | 48.20                  | 4.7          |
| V <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> | 922.37                             | 207.90                           | 11.40                    | 263.86       | 38.90                  | 6.5          |
| SĚ(m)                         | 1.416                              | 0.677                            | 0.511                    | 5.947        | 0.974                  | 0.417        |
| CD                            | 4.283                              | 2.047                            | 1.542                    | 17.984       | 2.945                  | 1.260        |

Table 2. Influence of spacing and varieties on head yield and quality.

was found in V<sub>1</sub> (Golden Acre). It was maximum  $(21.34 \text{ cm}) \text{ in } S_2 (45 \text{ cm } x \text{ 45 cm}) \text{ followed by } S_3 (45 \text{ cm}) \text{ and } S_2 (45 \text{ cm}) \text{ and }$ cm x 60 cm) while minimum leaf length was recorded in  $S_1$  (45 cm x 30 cm). While,  $V_2S_2$  ( $V_2$ - Pusa Drum Head,  $S_2$ - 45×45cm) had maximum leaf length (22.47 cm) which was at par with V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (V<sub>3</sub>- Pusa Mukta, S<sub>3</sub>-45×60cm). Similar pattern was also observed in case of leaf width found superior with S2 and S3, V2 as main effect and V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> followed by V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> as interaction effect that observed to be continued for stem diameter also having maximum stem diameter (12.27 cm) by  $V_2S_2$ (V<sub>2</sub>- Pusa Drum Head, S<sub>2</sub>-  $45 \times 45$ cm). More vegetative growth of cabbage plant under wider spacing in the investigation may be because of wider spacing provided more space which ultimately resulted in more growth of plants by receiving maximum sun light and more photosynthesis.

Highest plant spread in both the direction (East-West and Nort- South) was recorded in  $V_2$  (Pusa Drum Head) as compare to  $V_3$  (Pusa Mukta) while minimum spread was recorded in  $V_1$  (Golden Acre). Spacing was observed maximum significantly in  $S_2$  (45 cm x 45 cm) compare to spacing  $S_3$  (45 cm x 60 cm) (Table

1). Planting of Pusa Drum Head at a spacing of 45 cm x 45 cm  $(V_2S_2)$  recorded the maximum plant spread at both direction of East – West and North – South. These results can be attributed to fact that, in wider spacing the individual plant gets plenty of light and more nutrients in comparison to closer spacing. The results of present findings were in agreement with the findings of Sharma and Chaudhary (1996) in cauliflower and Purushottam (2001) in cabbage.

Head yield and head quality parameters were presented in Table 2 that showed that S<sub>1</sub> had maximum head weight (trimmed and untrimmed). But, V<sub>2</sub> had maximum weight of untrimmed head whereas, V<sub>1</sub> showed maximum trimmed head weight. Similarly, weight of trimmed and untrimmed head were differed as interaction effect of variety and spacing viz., V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub> (V<sub>2</sub>- Pusa Drum Head, S<sub>2</sub>- 45 cm × 30 cm) and V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>1</sub> (V<sub>3</sub>- Pusa Mukta, S<sub>1</sub>- 45 cm × 30 cm) showed maximum untrimmed head weight but, maximum trimmed head weight was calculated in V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>3</sub> followed by V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub> (V<sub>1</sub>-Golden Acre, S<sub>1</sub>-45 cm × 30 cm)

It is clear from the results (Table 2) that head

diameter was significantly superior in S<sub>3</sub> planting. It was statistically at par for V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> having maximum head diameter. Considering the interaction effect, V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (V<sub>1</sub>-Golden Acre, S<sub>3</sub>-45 cm × 60 cm) followed by V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (V<sub>3</sub>- Pusa Mukta, S<sub>3</sub>- 45 cm × 60 cm) had maximum head diameter.

Varieties and spacing showed significant effect on the cabbage head yield (q/ha). The recorded data revealed that the maximum yield was found in variety V<sub>1</sub> (Golden Acre) (307.55 q/ha) followed by V<sub>2</sub> (Pusa Drum Head). The minimum head yield was recorded in V<sub>2</sub> (Pusa Mukta). According to estimated data it was found that the spacing  $S_2$  (45 cm × 45 cm) recorded the highest head yield (296.08 q/ha). The interaction effect showed the maximum head yield which recorded under V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub> (V<sub>1</sub>-Golden Acre, S<sub>1</sub>-45 cm  $\times$  30cm) followed by V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (V<sub>2</sub>- Pusa Drum Head,  $S_2$ - 45 cm × 45 cm) but, were at par. This was due to the reality that as plant spacing decreases, total plant population increases and this in turn contributes to increase in total head yield. The current result was in agreement with works of Hossain et al. (2011).

The recorded data revealed that the maximum Vitamin C in terms of ascorbic acid (42.58 mg/100g) was found in  $S_2$  (45 cm x 45 cm) and with variety  $V_1$ (Golden Acre) (43.13 mg/100g)) followed by V<sub>3</sub> (Pusa Mukta) (42.70 mg/100g). V<sub>2</sub> (Pusa Drum Head) had minimum ascorbic acid (35.34 mg/100g). There was significant effect of combination treatment of variety and spacing recorded maximum Vitamin C content in  $V_{2}S_{2}(V_{2}$ - Pusa Mukta,  $S_{2}$ - 45 cm × 45 cm) closely followed by  $V_1S_3$  (V<sub>1</sub>-Golden Acre,  $S_3$ -45 cm × 60 cm). Among the varieties, it was recorded that the maximum TSS content was recorded in V1 (Golden Acre) (7.45 °Brix) followed by  $V_{\gamma}$  (Pusa Drum Head). Among spacing, it was found maximum at spacing  $S_{2}$  (45 cm × 45 cm) as (6.88 °Brix) statistically at par with S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm x 60 cm) (6.86 °Brix) and the lowest TSS (4.6 °Brix) was recorded in V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>1</sub>(Pusa Drum Head planted at 45 cm x 30 cm). Significant effect on vegetative growth allowed plants to receive more light energy and consequently produced more photosynthesis and to conserve more photosynthetic metabolites which was translocated and stored in the forms of head yield and might have positive effect of head quality.

### CONCLUSION

On the basis of the present experimentation, it was conclude that the variety  $V_2$  was found superior in growth parameter. In yield attributes characters,  $V_2$  (Pusa Drum Head) has highest untrimmed head weight but  $V_1$  (Golden Acre) was found superior for trimmed head, head diameter, head yield.  $V_1$  (Golden Acre) was also found superior in quality attributes character like ascorbic acid, TSS. Among the plant spacing studied,  $S_2$  (45 cm x 45 cm) promoted better vegetative growth, but, the highest yield parameter was reported in  $S_1$  (45 cm x 30 cm). Considering the combined effect,  $V_1$  (Golden Acre) when planted at spacing  $S_1$  (45 cm x 30 cm) may be suggested for more cabbage yield.

#### REFERENCES

- Agarkar UR, Dadmal KD, Nikas NS, Piwlatkar GK (2010) Effect of nitrogen levels and spacing on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Italica* L.). *Green Far* 1(5): 477-479.
- Bairwa RK, Mahawar AK, Singh SP, Gocher P (2017) Influence of sulfur dose and spacing on quality attributes and economics of knolkhol (*Brassica oleracea* var. gongylodes L.) variety early white vienna. Chem Sci Rev Lett 6(22): 933-938.
- BBS (2010) Monthly Statistical Bulletin. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning. Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh, Dhaka, pp 67.
- FAO (1988) Traditional food plants. Food and Agricultural Organizations of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Fowke JH, Chung FL, Jin F, Qi D, Cai Q, Conaway C, Cheng JR, Shu XO, Gao YT, Zheng W (2003) Urinary isothiocyanate levels brassica and human breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 63: 3980-3986. PMID: 12873994.
- Hill TR (2000) Effect of plant spacing and nitrogenous fertilizers on the yield of Chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* sp. *pekinensis*). Aust J Experim Agric 30 (3): 437 – 439.
- Hossain MF, Ara N, Uddin MR, Dey S, Islam MR (2011) Effect of time of sowing and plant spacing on broccoli production. *Trop Agric Res Extn* 14(4): 90-92.
- Jensen B (2004) The healing power of fruits and vegetables. Global paperback edition published by global vision publishing house 19A/E, G.T.B. Enclave, Delhi 110093 (INDIA), pp 189.
- Khurana DS, Singh Harjit, Singh Jarnail, Cheema DS (1990) Effect of N, P and plant population on yield and its components in cauliflower. *Ind J Hortic* 47 (1): 70 – 74.
- Moniruzzaman M (2011) Effect of plant spacing on the performance of hybrid cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) varieties. *Bangladesh J Agric Res* 36(3): 495-506.
- Neto EE, Benett CGS, Santos ECMD, Reboucas TNH, Costa E

(2016) Plant spacing and boron (B) top dressing fertilization for purple cabbage crop (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*) variety purple giant. *Aust J Crop Sci* 10(11): 1529-1533.

- Prasad PH, Thapa U, Mandal AR, Vishwakarma R (2010) Response of varieties, spacing and aphid management on growth and yield of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.) under West Bengal condition. *Environ Ecol* 28(2): 779-782.
- Purushottam PK (2001) Plant spacing: A key husbandry practice for rainy season cabbage production. *Nepal Agric Res J* 4 (5): 48 – 55.
- Rahman MU, Iqbal M, Jilani MS, Waseem K (2007) Effect of different plant spacing on the production of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*) under the agro-climatic conditions of D.I. Khan. *Pak J Biol Chem Sci* 10 (24): 4531-4534.
- Ranganna S (2001) Hand Book of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruits and Vegetable Products. 7<sup>th</sup> ed, Tata McGraw Hill Book Co. New Delhi, pp 594-625.
- Rastogi KB, Sharma PP, Korla BN (1987) Effect of different levels of nitrogen and spacing on seed yield of radish (*Raphanu sativus* L.). Veg Sci 14: 105 – 109.
- Samec D, Piljac-Zegarac J, Bogovic M, Habjanic K, Gruz J (2011) Antioxidant potency of white (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata*) and Chinese (*Brassica rapa* L. var. *pekinensis*

(Lour) cabbage: The influence of development stage, cultivar choice and seed selection. *Sci Hort* 128(2): 78-83.

- Schlegel RHJ (2010) Dictionary of plant breeding (second ed). CRC Press Taylor and Francis Group Boca Raton London New York, pp 571.
- Sharma DK, Chaudhary DR (1996) Time of sowing and plant density on growth and curd yield in early cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea L. var. botrytis*) cv Early Kunwari. Veg Sci 23 (2): 141 – 144.
- Sheoran OP, Tonk DS, Kaushik LS, Hasija RC, Pannu RS (1998) Statistical Software Package for Agricultural Research Workers. Recent Advances in information theory, Statistics and Computer Applications by D.S. Hooda and R.C. Hasija Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar, pp 139-143.
- Singh BK, Sharma SR, Singh B (2009) Heterosis for mineral elements in single cross-hybrids of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.). *Sci Hort* 122 (1): 32-36.
- Singh R, Kumar S (2015) Performance and preference of broccoli varieties grown under low hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. *Ind Res J Extn Educ* 14(1): 112-114.
- Singh RV (2005) Response of late cauliflower to plant spacing nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization. *J Res* 17: 223 -226.