Environment and Ecology 41 (1B) : 492–495, January—March 2023 ISSN 0970-0420

# Yield and Juice Quality in Sugarcane Influenced by Split Application of Nitrogen and Potassium under Subtropical Climates

Surendra Bhilala, Lalita Rana, Navnit Kumar, Anil Kumar, Sunita Kumari Meena, A.K. Singh

Received 10 October 2022, Accepted 24 January 2023, Published on 6 March 2023

#### ABSTRACT

Sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) is a commercially important food and energy crop in tropical and subtropical climates. Sugarcane productivity is largely dependent on nutrient management. Sugarcane responds well to split nitrogen and potassium applications. A field experiment carried out at Sugarcane Research Institute, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur in spring season of 2020-21 revealed that application of N and K in 7 splits produced the maximum millable stalks (144.90

Surendra Bhilala<sup>1</sup>, Lalita Rana\*<sup>2</sup>, Navnit Kumar<sup>3</sup>

A.K. Singh<sup>6</sup>

Email : lalita@rpcau.ac.in

 $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>), length of internodes (9.31 cm) and cane : top ratio (3.86), accounting 23.9, 10.7 and 82.9% respectively while recommended practice of fertilizer application caused significant increase in stalk weight and cane diameter and it was to the tune of 4.72 and 4.01% respectively. However, number of tillers and dry matter accumulation was decreased by 24.7 and 15.1% in broadcasting method. Significantly higher cane yield and sugar yield was registered when the crop fertilized with nitrogen and potassium in 7 splits, accounting 18.99 and 21.64% more than the recommended practices respectively. While, cane and sugar yield increased by 1.46 and 17.5 % in band placement method of application respectively. Quality parameters did not significantly influenced by both method and split application except juice recovery.

**Keywords** Cane yield, Juice recovery, Millable stalks, Split application.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Sugarcane is longest duration crop necessitates various plant nutrients for their growth and development. Nitrogen and potassium is a key restrictive factor which limiting the cane productivity. An adequate quantity of nitrogenous fertilizers can enhance tiller count, resulting in an early population of plants which can boost productivity (Gopalsundaram *et al.* 2012). Unbalanced or spurious N-fertilizer application to sugarcane results in poor development, viz., narrowed leaves, thinning of stalks and shortening of internodes (Bell *et al.* 2014), whereas use of huge amount of synthetic N-fertilizer results in increasing cost, base

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2,3</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, PGCA,<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Agronomy, Sugarcane Research Institute, Dr Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa 848 125, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Anil Kumar<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Entomology, Sugarcane Research Institute, Dr Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa 848 125, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Sunita Kumari Meena<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Soil Science, Sugarcane Research Institute, Dr Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa 848 125, Samastipur, Bihar, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Director, Sugarcane Research Institute, Dr Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa 848 125, Samastipur, Bihar

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

| Table 1. Growth and yield attributes as influenced by method and split application of nitrogen and potassium during spring 2020-21.                   |
|---|
| DAP, Day after planting, M <sub>1</sub> , Broadcasting, M <sub>2</sub> , Band placement, NS, Non-significant, RDN, Recommended dose of nitrogen, RDK, |
| Recommended dose of potassium, RDF, Recommended dose of fertilizer (150:85:60 N, P2O5, K2O kg ha-1).  |
|   |

| Factors   | Germina-<br>tion % at<br>45 DAP       | Tiller<br>population<br>(×10 <sup>3</sup> /ha)<br>at 120<br>DAP | Plant<br>height<br>(cm) at<br>240<br>DAP | Leaf area<br>index at<br>240<br>DAP | Dry ma-<br>tter accu-<br>mulation<br>(q/ha)<br>at 240<br>DAP | Stalks<br>weight<br>(g)            | Cane<br>dia-<br>meter<br>(cm)      | Millable<br>stalks<br>(×10 <sup>3</sup> /ha) |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Method of application   |                                       |   |  |                                     |  |                                    |                                    |  |
| M <sub>1</sub><br>M <sub>2</sub><br>SEm±<br>CD (p=0.05)<br>CV (%) | 35.93<br>38.96<br>1.31<br>NS<br>12.09 | 134.60<br>167.85<br>5.11<br>31.67<br>11.70                      | 280.40<br>305.70<br>12.13<br>NS<br>14.34 | 3.70<br>4.25<br>0.13<br>NS<br>11.40 | 274.92<br>316.44<br>6.41<br>38.98<br>7.51                    | 764<br>747<br>36.20<br>NS<br>15.77 | 2.62<br>2.46<br>0.07<br>NS<br>9.78 | 97.33<br>117.98<br>3.37<br>20.49<br>10.83    |
| Fertilizer application  |                                       |   |  |                                     |  |                                    |                                    |  |
| F <sub>1</sub> (RDN+RDK in  |                                       |   |  |                                     |  |                                    |                                    |  |
| 5 splits)<br>F <sub>2</sub> (RDN+RDK in                           | 36.33                                 | 153.80  | 131.40                                   | 4.01                                | 293.57   | 740                                | 2.49                               | 109.30                                       |
| 6 splits)<br>F <sub>3</sub> (RDN+RDK in                           | 37.82                                 | 155.80  | 133.02                                   | 4.10                                | 298.82   | 742                                | 2.54                               | 113.72                                       |
| 7 splits)   | 38.67                                 | 162.90  | 135.07                                   | 4.15                                | 305.27   | 765                                | 2.56                               | 114.90                                       |
| $F_4(RDF)$  | 34.95                                 | 132.40  | 111.88                                   | 3.65                                | 285.06   | 775                                | 2.59                               | 92.70  |
| SEm±  | 1.61                                  | 7.03  | 5.57                                     | 0.13                                | 3.25   | 37.31                              | 0.02                               | 4.72   |
| CD (p=0.05)   | NS                                    | 21.07   | 17.16                                    | NS                                  | 10.01  | NS                                 | 0.07                               | 14.54  |
| CV (%)  | 10.65                                 | 11.39   | 10.67                                    | 8.07                                | 2.69   | 13.96                              | 2.10                               | 10.74  |

unsaturation, eutrophication in ponds, rivers, lakes, (Ju et al. 2009, Chen et al. 2012). Split nutrient treatments allow farmers to have more control over their fertiliser program. Sugarcane plants should receive a good quantity of nitrogen (N) fertilizer with phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) in balanced manner. At present farmers apply potassium only as basal dose, where some quantity may be fixed by clay colloid and some may be lost, resulting in inadequate availability during later stages of crop growth. As a result, the significance of K manuring in sugarcane cultivation and its respective scheduling of time for application in sugarcane fields should be overlooked. Generally, fertilizers are broadcasted by the sugarcane growers with lesser nutrient efficiency and subjected to various losses. Placement of fertilizer in bands increases the concentration of nutrients in specific zones which reduces the risk of fixation and increases their availability to the plants. Although sugarcane is an important crop in Bihar, information on split application and method of application of nitrogen and potassium in this crop has not been frequently reported. In this perspective, field study was conducted to evaluate the influence of method and split application of nitrogen and potassium on growth, yield and quality parameters of sugarcane under subtropical climates of Bihar.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Sugarcane Research Institute, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, during 2020-21 under split plot design replicated thrice having 8 treatment combinations. The main plot was consisting of two methods of fertilizer application (band placement and broadcasting) and fertilizer application (RDN+RDK in 5 splits, RDN+RDK in 6 splits, RDN+RDK in 7 splits and recommended practice in sub-plots. Planting of setts was done at 120 cm

| Factors                              | Cane<br>yield<br>(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Sugar<br>yield<br>(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | CCS<br>(%) | Brix<br>(%) | Pol<br>(%) | Purity<br>(%) | Juice<br>recovery<br>(%) |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Method of application                |  |   |            |             |            |               |                          |
| M <sub>1</sub>                       | 73.90                                  | 9.30                                    | 12.58      | 20.56       | 18.18      | 88.42         | 60.75                    |
| M <sub>2</sub>                       | 86.70                                  | 10.93                                   | 12.62      | 20.82       | 18.30      | 88.65         | 62.66                    |
| SEm±                                 | 2.07                                   | 0.29                                    | 0.17       | 0.15        | 0.13       | 0.28          | 0.75                     |
| CD (p=0.05)                          | 12.62                                  | NS                                      | NS         | NS          | NS         | NS            | NS                       |
| CV (%)                               | 8.95                                   | 9.91                                    | 4.76       | 2.56        | 2.53       | 1.10          | 4.20                     |
| Split application of fertilizer      |  |   |            |             |            |               |                          |
| F <sub>1</sub> (RDN+RDK in 5 splits) | 80.60                                  | 10.13                                   | 12.57      | 20.68       | 18.21      | 88.50         | 60.68                    |
| $F_2$ (RDN+RDK in 6 splits)          | 83.80                                  | 10.55                                   | 12.62      | 20.82       | 18.30      | 88.58         | 61.95                    |
| $F_{3}$ (RDN+RDK in 7 splits)        | 85.20                                  | 10.85                                   | 12.72      | 20.88       | 18.41      | 88.85         | 64.26                    |
| $F_4$ (RDF)                          | 71.60                                  | 8.92                                    | 12.49      | 20.37       | 18.04      | 88.20         | 59.94                    |
| SEm±                                 | 2.83                                   | 0.40                                    | 0.20       | 0.20        | 0.19       | 0.36          | 0.57                     |
| CD (p=0.05)                          | 8.71                                   | 1.22                                    | NS         | NS          | NS         | NS            | 1.77                     |
| CV (%)                               | 8.62                                   | 9.62                                    | 3.97       | 2.41        | 2.51       | 0.99          | 2.28                     |

**Table 2**. Yield and juice quality of sugarcane as influenced by method and split application of nitrogen and potassium during spring 2020-21. DAP, Day after planting,  $M_1$ , Broadcasting,  $M_2$ , Band placement, NS, Non-significant, RDN, Recommended dose of nitrogen, RDK, Recommended dose of potassium, RDF, Recommended dose of fertilizer (150: 85:60 N,  $P_2O_5$ ,  $K_2O$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

spacing. Urea, SSP, and MOP are used to apply the recommended fertilizer doses (N, P and K @ 150, 85, and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). 100% dose of P and 10 % of N in the form of urea and K in Muriate of potash form were applied as basal dose in initial stages of crop. Remaining amount of N and K was applied in different splits as per the treatments. All agronomic practices were done as per need of the crops. Random selection of 5 plants and their labelling was done in order to take observation. During the experimental process, both biometric and other measurements were reported at specific frequencies and times.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Growth and yield attributes

Results revealed that germination percentage of sugarcane at 45 days after planting did not significantly (P $\ge$ 0.05) affected by method and split application of nitrogen and potassium. Tiller population (×10<sup>3</sup> /ha) was significantly (P $\le$ 0.05) increased by method and split application of N and K. The maximum value was recorded in band placement to the tune of 24.7 % higher compared to the broadcasting method of fertilizer application. Higher numbers of tiller (× 10<sup>3</sup>

/ha) were observed when nitrogen and potassium applied in seven splits to the tune of 23.03 % than recommended practice. At 240 DAP, plant height did not significantly ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) influenced by the method of application of fertilizer but split application of nitrogen and potassium exert significant (P≤0.05) influence on plant height. Taller plants were observed in the plots receiving nitrogen and potassium seven times it was to the tune of 20.72 % compared to the recommended dose of fertilizer while leaf area index (LAI) and stalk weight (g) did not significantly (P≥0.05) influenced by the method and split application of N and K. Dry matter accumulation at 240 days after planting and millable stalks (×10<sup>3</sup> /ha) significantly (P≤0.05) influenced by the both factors and it was to the tune of 15.10 and 21.20% more when N and K applied by the method of band placement than broadcasting and 7.08 and 23.94% higher in 7 splits application of N and K than recommended practice respectively. Similar, findings showed by Kolage et al. (2001), Rizk et al. (2002). Method of fertilizer application did not exert significant ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) influence on cane diameter (cm) but significant (P≤0.05) difference exerted by split application of nitrogen and potassium. Maximum cane diameter (2.59 cm) observed in 7 splits application of nitrogen and potassium (Table 1).

# Yield and Juice quality

Yield of cane was significantly (P≤0.05) affected by the method and split dose of fertilizer application (Table 2). Cane yield was found maximum in band placement method and it was to the tune of 1.46 % more than broadcasting method. Higher cane yield (85.2 t/ha) observed when nitrogen and potassium applied in seven splits and it was to the tune of 18.99% more than the recommended practice. This might be due to rescheduling or splitting of nutrient viz, N and K will do the same as discussed above like, the availability of more N and K at different growth period of crop, over a leading period of time will results in more tiller population, number of millable cane and dry matter accumulation more uptake as well as more cane yield. In general, sugarcane responds to K fertilizers by an increase in cane yield without any change in sucrose concentration in the cane (Shukla et al. 2009). Whereas, Ashraf et al. (2008) reported that application of fertilizer potassium can increase both cane yield and quality in sugarcane. Method of fertilizer application did not exert significant ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) influence on sugar yield and juice recovery (%) but significant effect (P≤0.05) was observed by the split dose of nitrogen and potassium application. Higher sugar yield (t/ha) and Juice recovery (%) was found when applied nitrogen and potassium in 7 times and it was to the tune of 21.64 and 7.21 % more than recommended practice respectively. Commercial cane sugar percentage, brix %, pol % and purity percentage did not significantly (P≥0.05) influenced by the method of fertilizer application and split dose of nitrogen and potassium as described in Table 2.

# CONCLUSION

Thus it can be inferred that application of 7 splits of nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) and band placement method was found to be best with respect to cane yield, millable stalks and other attributes, can be recommended as a nutrient management practice to sustain the productivity of sugarcane.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All the authors are thankful to All India Co-ordinated Research Project (AICRP) for financial support to conduct the experiment. This experiment is part of the thesis submitted for fulfillment of MSc (Agric) in Agronomy degree at Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar.

### REFERENCES

- Ashraf MY, Hussain F, Akhter J, Gul A, Ross M, Ebert G (2008) Effect of different sources and rates of nitrogen and supra optimal level of potassium fertilization on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by sugarcane grown under saline conditions. *Pak J Bot* 40 (4) : 1521–1531.
- Bell MJ, Moody P, Salter B, Connellan J, Garside AL (2014) Agronomy and physiology of nitrogen use in Australian sugarcane crops. A review of nitrogen use efficiency in sugarcane. *Sugar Res Aust*, pp 89–124.
- Chen WH, Ye SC, Sheen HK (2012) Hydrolysis characteristics of sugarcane bagasse pre-treated by dilute acid solution in a microwave irradiation environment. *Appl Energy* 93: 237–244.
- Gopalasundaram P, Bhaskaran A, Rakkiyappan P (2012) Integrated nutrient management in sugarcane. Sugar Technol 14 (1): 3—20.
- Ju XT, Xing GX, Chen XP, Zhang SL, Zhang LJ, Liu XJ, Zhang FS (2009) Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. In : *Procc National Acad Sci* 106 (9) : 3040—3046.
- Kolage AK, Pilane MS, Munde MS, Bhoi PG (2001) Effect of fertilizer levels on yield and quality of new sugarcane genotypes. *Ind Sugar* 51(6): 375–378.
- Rizk NS, Bashbishy AE, Rasian ME (2002) Effect of macro and micro-nutrients and farmyard manure on sugarcane. *Ann Agric Sci Mos* 40 (4) : 2311–2316.
- Shukla SK, Yadav RL, Singh PN, Singh I (2009) Potassium nutrition for improving stubble bud sprouting, dry matter partitioning, nutrient uptake and winter initiated sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp. hybrid complex) ratoon yield. *Eur J Agro* 30 (1): 27—33.